# Antimicrobial Resistance Properties And Enterotoxigenic Gene Profile Of Methicillin-Resistant And Methicillin-Susceptible Staphylococcus Aureus Isolates From Raw Milk

Elnaz Kabiri<sup>1</sup>, Zohreh Mashak\*<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Food Hygiene, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Shahrekord Branch, Shahrekord, Iran. \*<sup>2</sup>Clinical Care and Health Promotion Research Center, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran. Email address: Mashak@kiau.ac.ir.

### **Abstract**

Both methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus bacteria are emerging causes of food-borne diseases. Raw milk of animal species may consider a reservoir of MRSA and MSSA strains. The present survey was done to assess the prevalence and antibiotic resistance properties of MRSA and MSSA strains isolated from raw milk samples of animal species. Three hundred and eighty raw milk samples were collected from cow, sheep, and goat species. S. aureus was identified using culture and biochemical tests. MRSA and MSS identification were done according to cefoxitin and oxacillin antibiotic resistance and mecA gene presence. The pattern of antibiotic resistance was determined by disk diffusion. The distribution of antibiotic resistance genes was determined using PCR. Forty-two out of 380 (11.05%) raw milk samples were contaminated with S. aureus. MRSA and MSSA strains were identified in 64.28% and 35.72% of S. aureus isolates. MRSA isolates harbored the uppermost resistance rate toward tetracycline (100%), penicillin (100%), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (66.66%), erythromycin (66.66%), and ciprofloxacin (66.66%). MSSA isolates harbored the uppermost resistance rate toward tetracycline (46.66%), erythromycin (46.66%), penicillin (40%), azithromycin (40%), and gentamicin (40%). BlaZ (100%), aacA-D (62.96%), tetK (51.85%). cat1 (48.14%), and dfrA1 (44.44%) were the most commonly detected antibiotic resistance genes amongst the MRSA, while aacA-D (26.66%), ermA (26.66%), msrA (26.66%), tetK (26.66%), and gyrA (26.66%) were the most commonly detected amongst the MSSA strains. Raw milk samples may be sources of resistant MRSA and MSSA, which pose a hygienic threat in their consumption. MRSA harbored a higher prevalence of resistance and also the distribution of antibiotic resistance genes.

**Keywords:** Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, Methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus, Prevalence, Antibiotic resistance, Antibiotic resistance genes.

### Introduction

Milk is an integral part of nutrition for all sections of human societies. Milk has many fans due to its variety of vitamins and minerals, such as vitamins B, D, and E and calcium, iron, phosphorus, magnesium, zinc, as well as useful and nutritious fatty acids with high digestibility and absorption (1). However, milk has a risk of contamination in the production and supply stages which may cause dangerous foodborne diseases (2). In this regard, milk contamination with foodborne bacterial pathogens and then its consumption without significant boiling and also in traditional form may cause severe foodborne diseases (3).

Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) is a bacterium belonging to the Firmicutes family that originated from the human skin and nose. S. aureus is considered a cause of community-acquired and nosocomial infections, including skin, wound, and burn infections, meningitis, endocarditis, pneumonia and toxic shock syndrome (4). Milk contamination with this bacterium may cause after hand manipulation or through the respiratory droplets after cough and sneezing (5). S. aureus-induced foodborne disease is mostly known for vomiting, weakness, nausea, abdominal cramps and toxic shock syndrome (5). Death may occur in severe cases (6).

S. aureus has an emergence of resistance against several types of antibiotics. S. aureus can be susceptible or resistant to the common antibiotics used to treat it (cefoxitin and oxacillin), namely, methicillin-susceptible S. aureus (MRSA) and methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MSSA), respectively (7). MRSA strains caused more severe diseases and mainly resist several types of antibiotics with significant morbidity, mortality,

length of hospital stay, and economic burden (8). MRSA strains are responsible for around 100,000 morbidities with near to 20% mortality per year in the United States (9). Both MSSA and particularly MRSA strains isolated from environmental and human-based samples harbored a high resistance rate toward several types of antibiotic agents, particularly penicillins, cephalosporins, macrolides, aminoglycosides, tetracyclines, and quinolones (10). The occurrence of antibiotic resistance in such bacteria is mainly associated with the presence of certain specific antibiotic resistance genes, including tetK and tetM (tetracyclines resistance encoding genes), aacA-D (aminoglycosides resistance encoding gene), gyrA and grlA (quinolones resistance encoding genes), cat1 (chloramphenicol resistance encoding gene), ermA and msarA (macrolides resistance encoding genes), rpoB (ansamycins resistance encoding genes), blaZ (penicillin resistance encoding genes), and dfrA1 (folate inhibitors resistance encoding gene) (11).

Both MSSA and MRSA bacteria have infrequently been examined in raw milk of animal species to assess microbial security, sanitation circumstances through milking, and storage periods. Thus, existing research was done to assess the prevalence rate and antimicrobial resistance properties of the MSSA and MRSA bacteria recovered from raw cow, sheep, and goat milk samples collected from Iran.

## Methods Samples and study procedure

In the present study, the statistical population consisted of raw milk samples of cattle, sheep, and goats that were randomly collected from sales centers located in Alborz province, Iran in the spring and summer of 2021. The sampling method in the present study was simple random sampling. Samples were taken from milk and dairy products sales centers in Alborz province, Iran. Samples included raw milk of cows (120 samples), sheep (130 samples), and goats (130 samples). Totally, 100 ml of each sample was taken separately inside sterile test tubes. Milk samples were physically (color, odor, and consistency) healthy. The samples were transferred to the Microbiological Research Center of the Islamic Azad University of Karaj within 2 hours in a completely sterile condition and on the day of sampling within 2 hours in a refrigerator containing ice at a temperature of about 4 ° C.

### Isolation and identification of S. aureus

To isolate S. aureus from milk samples, the samples were first cultured in Tryptic Soy Broth (Merck, Germany) supplemented with 10% NaCl and incubated at 37 °C for 18 h. Colonies grown in Tryptic Soy Broth were then transferred to Baird Parker Agar (Merck, Germany) enriched with tellurite-egg yolk emulsion and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Black colonies with a surrounding sedimentary halo were considered typical colonies for S. aureus and were examined by biochemical tests of catalase, oxidase, O/F, urease, phosphatase, coagulase, DNAse, and mannitol fertilization (12).

### **Identification and MRSA and MSSA strains**

MRSA strains were determined using cefoxitin (30 μg) and oxacillin (1 μg) antibiotic susceptibility testing. Isolates that harbored simultaneous resistance against both antibiotics were considered MRSA (13). Confirmation of MRSA isolates was additionally performed using the Polymerase (PCR)-based Reaction detection of mecA gene (14). The S. aureus isolates susceptible to cefoxitin (30 µg) and oxacillin (1 µg) discs and negative for the presence of mecA gene by PCR were considered MSSA strains (14). The experiment was completed under the instructions of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (12, 15).

### **Antibiotic resistance examination**

MRSA and MSSA pattern of antibiotic resistance was determined using the disk diffusion method after growth on the Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA, Merck, Germany). Principles of CLSI were applied for this purpose (16). Diverse kinds of antibiotic including rifampin (5 μg/disk), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (25)μg/disk), chloramphenicol (30 µg/disk), tetracycline (30 μg/disk), penicillin (10 μg/disk), erythromycin (15 μg/disk), clindamycin (2 μg/disk), azithromycin μg/disk), ciprofloxacin (5 levofloxacin (5 µg/disk), gentamicin (10 µg/disk), and amikacin (30 µg/disk) was applied for this goal (Oxoid, UK). At first, a 0.5 McFarland standard concentration of bacteria was prepared and they were cultured on MHA. Antibiotic disks were located at suitable places on the MHGA plates that contained bacteria and plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h (17). The diameters of the growth inhibition zone of bacteria were measured and interpreted according to the CLSI (12).

# DNA extraction of PCR-based detection of antibiotic resistance genes

MRSA and MSSA isolates were sub-cultured on Trypton Soya broth (TSB, Merck, Germany) media and incubated aerobically for 48 h at 37 °C. According to the manufacturer's instructions, the genomic DNA was extracted from the isolates using the DNA extraction kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, St. Leon-Rot, Germany). The purity (A260/A280) and concentration of the extracted DNA were then checked (NanoDrop, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA. USA) (12).Furthermore, the DNA's quality was assessed on a 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μg/mL) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) (17, 18).

Table 1 shows the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) conditions used for the detection of toxigenic genes amongst the MRSA and MSSA isolates (19-24).A programmable DNA thermocycler (Eppendorf Mastercycler 5330, Eppendorf-Nethel-Hinz GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) was used in all PCR reactions. In addition, amplified samples were analyzed by electrophoresis (120 V/208 mA) in a 2.5% agarose gel stained with 0.1% ethidium bromide (0.4 µg/ml). Besides, UVI doc gel documentation systems (Grade GB004, Jencons PLC, London, UK) were used to analyze images. MRSA (ATCC 43300) and MSSA (ATCC 25923) were used as positive controls and PCR-grade water (Thermo Fisher Scientific, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) was used as a negative control in all PCR tests (12).

**Table 1.** PCR conditions (12, 17, 18, 19-24).

| Target<br>gene | Primer sequence (5'-3')   | PCR<br>product<br>(bp) | PCR programs  | PCR volume (50µL)  |  |  |
|----------------|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| AacA-<br>D     | F: TAATCCAAGAGCAATAAGGGC<br>R: GCCACACTATCATAACCACTA              | 227                    | 1 cycle:<br>94 <sup>60</sup> 5  | 5 μL PCR buffer 10X  |  |  |
| ermA           | F: AAGCGGTAAACCCCTCTGA<br>R: TTCGCAAATCCCTTCTCAAC                 | 190                    | min.<br>25 cycle:   | 1.5 mM Mgcl <sub>2</sub><br>200 µM dNTP  |  |  |
| tetK           | F: GTAGCGACAATAGGTAATAGT<br>R: GTAGTGACAATAAACCTCCTA              | 360                    | 55 °C 70 s  | 1.25 U Tao DNA   |  |  |
| tetM           | F: AGTGGAGCGATTACAGAA<br>R: CATATGTCCTGGCGTGTCTA                  | 158                    | 55 °C 70 s  | 5 µL PCR buffer 10X<br>2 mM Mgcl <sub>2</sub><br>200 µM dNTP 0.5 µM<br>of each primer F & R<br>1.5 U Tao DNA |  |  |
| msrA           | F: GGCACAATAAGAGTGTTTAAAGG<br>R:<br>AAGTTATATCATGAATAGATTGTCCTGTT | 940                    | 1 cycle: 94 °C 6 min. 34 cycle: 95 °C 60 s 50 °C 70 s 1 cycle: 72 °C 8 min            | μM of each primer F  |  |  |
| blaZ           | F: ACTTCAACACCTGCTGCTTTC<br>R: TGACCACTTTTATCA CAACC              | 490                    | 1 cycle: 94 °C 5 min. 30 cycle: 94 °C 20 s 60 °C 30 s 72 °C 90 s 1 cycle: 72 °C 5 min | 2 mM Mgcl <sub>2</sub><br>150 μM dNTP 0.75<br>μM of each primer F<br>& R                                     |  |  |

| catI | F. AGTTGCTCAATGTACCTATAACC<br>R. TTGTAATTCATTAAGCATTCTGCC | 547 | 32 cycle:<br>95 °C                                      | 1.5 U Taq DNA<br>polymerase 3 uL   |
|------|---|-----|---|--|
| gyrA | F: AATGAACAAGGTATGACACC<br>R: TACGCGCTTCAGTATAACGC        | 223 | 1 cycle:<br>94 °C 10<br>min.<br>25 cycle:<br>94 °C 20 s | 2 mM Mgcl <sub>2</sub><br>150 µM dNTP 0.75                                     |
| grlA | F: ACTTGAAGATGTTTTAGGTGAT<br>R: TTAGG AAATCTTGATGGCAA     | 459 | 52 °C 20 s<br>72 °C 50 s                                | μM of each primer F<br>& R<br>1.5 U Taq DNA<br>polymerase 3 μL<br>DNA template |

### Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed by SPSS Statistics 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Chi-square and Fisher's exact two-tailed tests were performed to assess any significant relationship between the MRSA and MSSA prevalence, enterotoxigenic gene profiles, and antibiotic resistance properties. Besides, P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results S. aureus, MRSA and MSSA distribution

Table 2 shows the S. aureus, MSSA, and MRSA prevalence amongst the examined raw milk samples. Forty-two out of 380 (11.05%) raw milk samples were contaminated with S. aureus. Raw cow milk samples harbored the highest contamination rate with S. aureus (16.66%), while raw goat milk samples harbored the lowest (8.46%). A significant difference was obtained in the S. aureus prevalence between different raw milk samples (P < 0.05). Twenty-seven out of 42 (64.28%) S. aureus isolates were determined as MRSA. However, only 35.71% of S. aureus isolates were determined as MSSA. Raw goat milk samples harbored the highest distribution of MRSA (72.72%). Raw cow milk harbored the highest distribution of MSSA (41.17%). From a statistical view, significant differences were obtained between MRSA and MSSA prevalence and sample type (P <0.05). Additionally, statistically significant differences were obtained between the distribution of MRSA and MSSA isolates (P < 0.05).

**Table 2.** S. aureus, MSSA, and MRSA prevalence amongst the examined raw milk samples.

| Raw milk<br>samples | N. collected | N. positive for S.<br>aureus (%) | N. MRSA out of<br>S. aureus (%) | N. MRSA out of<br>S. aureus (%) |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Cow                 | 120          | 17 (16.66)                       | 10 (71.42)                      | 7 (41.17)                       |
| Sheep               | 130          | 14 (10.76)                       | 9 (64.28)                       | 5 (35.71)                       |
| Goat                | 130          | 11 (8.46)                        | 8 (72.72)                       | 3 (27.27)                       |
| Total               | 380          | 42 (11.05)                       | 27 (64.28)                      | 15 (35.71)                      |

Antibiotic resistance pattern of MSSA and MRSA isolates

Table 3 shows the antibiotic resistance of MRSA and MSSA strains isolated from raw milk samples of animal species. MRSA isolates harbored the uppermost resistance rate toward tetracycline penicillin (100%).trimethoprim-(100%). sulfamethoxazole (66.66%),erythromycin (66.66%), ciprofloxacin (66.66%), clindamycin (62.96%), azithromycin (62.96%),levofloxacin (59.25%). The lowest resistance rate was found for chloramphenicol (14.81%) and rifampin (29.62%). MSSA isolates harbored the uppermost resistance rate toward tetracycline (46.66%), erythromycin (46.66%), penicillin (40%), azithromycin (40%), and gentamicin (40%). The lowest resistance rate was found toward rifampin (13.33%). From a statistical view, significant differences were obtained between sample type and MSSA and MRSA resistance rate (P <0.05). MRSA isolates harbored a higher prevalence of resistance than MSSA (P < 0.05).

**Table 3.** Antibiotic resistance of MRSA and MSSA strains isolated from raw milk samples of animal species.

| Raw   |              | N. MRSA isolates harbored resistance against each antibiotic agent (%) |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
|---|--------------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| milk<br>samples<br>(N.<br>MRSA<br>positive) | Rif*         | Tr-Sul   | C30          | T30          | P10          | E15          | Cln          | Az15         | Cip5         | Lev          | G10          | Amk          |
| Cow<br>(10)                                 | 3 (30)       | 7 (70)   | 2 (20)       | 10<br>(100)  | 10<br>(100)  | 7 (70)       | 6 (60)       | 6 (60)       | 7 (70)       | 6 (60)       | 5 (50)       | 4 (40)       |
| Sheep<br>(9)                                | 3<br>(33.33) | 6<br>(66.66)   | 1<br>(11.11) | 9 (100)      | 9 (100)      | 6<br>(66.66) | 6<br>(66.66) | 6<br>(66.66) | 6<br>(66.66) | 5<br>(55.55) | 4<br>(44.44) | 4<br>(44.44) |
| Goat (8)                                    | 2 (25)       | 5<br>(62.50)   | 1 (12.50)    | 8 (100)      | 8 (100)      | 5<br>(62.50) | 5<br>(62.50) | 4 (50)       | 5<br>(62.50) | 5<br>(62.50) | 3<br>(37.50) | 3<br>(37.50) |
| Total                                       | 8            | 18   | 4            | 27           | 27           | 18           | 17           | 17           | 18           | 16           | 12           | 11           |
| (27)  | (29.62)      | (66.66)  | (14.81)      | (100)        | (100)        | (66.66)      | (62.96)      | (62.96)      | (66.66)      | (59.25)      | (44.44)      | (40.74)      |
| Raw   |              |  | N. M         | SSA isola    | tes harbore  | ed resistan  | ce against   | each antib   | niotic agen  | ıt (%)       |              |              |
| milk<br>samples<br>(N.<br>MSSA<br>positive) | Rif          | Tr-Sul   | C30          | T30          | P10          | E15          | Cln          | Az15         | Cip5         | Lev          | G10          | Amk          |
| Cow (7)                                     | 1 (14.28)    | 2<br>(28.57)   | -            | 4<br>(57.14) | 3<br>(42.85) | 4<br>(57.14) | 2<br>(28.57) | 3<br>(42.85) | 2<br>(28.57) | 3<br>(42.85) | 3<br>(42.85) | 2<br>(28.57) |
| Sheep<br>(5)                                | 1 (20)       | 2 (40)   | -            | 2 (40)       | 2 (40)       | 2 (40)       | 2 (40)       | 2 (40)       | 2 (40)       | 2 (40)       | 2 (40)       | 2 (40)       |
| Goat (3)                                    | -            | 1<br>(33.33)   | -            | 1<br>(33.33) | 1 (33.33)    | 1 (33.33)    | 1 (33.33)    | 1 (33.33)    | 1 (33.33)    | -            | 1<br>(33.33) | 1 (33.33)    |
| Total<br>(15)                               | 2<br>(13.33) | 5<br>(33.33)   | -            | 7<br>(46.66) | 6 (40)       | 7 (46.66)    | 5 (33.33)    | 6 (40)       | 5 (33.33)    | 5<br>(33.33) | 6 (40)       | 5 (33.33)    |

\*Rif: rifampin (5 µg/disk), Tr-Sul: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (25 µg/disk), C30: chloramphenicol (30 µg/disk), T30: tetracycline (30 µg/disk), P10: penicillin (10 µg/disk), E15: erythromycin (15 µg/disk), Cln: clindamycin (2 µg/disk), Az15: azithromycin (15 µg/disk), Cip5: ciprofloxacin (5 µg/disk), Lev: levofloxacin (5 µg/disk), G10: gentamicin (10 µg/disk), Amk: amikacin (30 µg/disk).

# Distribution of antibiotic resistance genes amongst the MRSA and MSSA isolates

Table 4 shows the distribution of antibiotic resistance genes amongst the MRSA and MSSA isolates. Amongst the MRSA isolates, blaZ (100%), aacA-D (62.96%), tetK (51.85%). cat1 (48.14%), and dfrA1 (44.44%) harbored the highest distribution. Amongst the MSSA isolates, aacA-D (26.66%), ermA (26.66%), msrA (26.66%), tetK (26.66%), and gyrA (26.66%) were the most commonly detected antibiotic resistance genes. From a statistical view, significant differences were obtained between sample type and distribution of antibiotic resistance genes (P <0.05). MRSA isolates harbored a higher distribution of antibiotic resistance genes than MSSA (P <0.05).

**Table 4.** Distribution of antibiotic resistance genes amongst the MRSA and MSSA isolates.

| Raw milk                            | N. MRSA isolates harbored each antibiotic resistance gene (%) |              |              |               |              |             |               |              |              |               |              |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| samples<br>(N.<br>MRSA<br>positive) | aacA-<br>D*   | ermA         | msrA         | tet.K         | tetM         | blaZ        | catl          | gyrA         | grlA         | dfrA1         | гроВ         |
| Cow (10)                            | 7 (70)  | 3 (30)       | 3 (30)       | 6 (60)        | 4 (40)       | 10<br>(100) | 1 (10)        | 3 (30)       | 2 (20)       | 5 (50)        | 4 (40)       |
| Sheep (9)                           | 5<br>(55.55)  | 2<br>(22.22) | 2<br>(22.22) | 5<br>(55.55)  | 2 (22.22)    | 9 (100)     | 1 (11.11)     | 2<br>(22.22) | 1 (11.11)    | 4 (44.44)     | 2<br>(22.22) |
| Goat (8)                            | 5<br>(62.50)  | 1<br>(12.50) | 3<br>(37.50) | 3<br>(37.50)  | 2 (25)       | 8 (100)     | 1 (12.50)     | 2 (25)       | 1<br>(12.50) | 3<br>(37.50)  | 1<br>(12.50) |
| Total (27)                          | 17<br>(62.96)   | 6<br>(22.22) | 8<br>(29.62) | 14<br>(51.85) | 8<br>(29.62) | 27<br>(100) | 13<br>(48.14) | 7<br>(25.92) | 4 (14.81)    | 12<br>(44.44) | 7 (25.92)    |
| Raw milk                            | N. MSSA isolates harbored each antibiotic resistance gene (%) |              |              |               |              |             |               |              |              |               |              |
| samples<br>(N.<br>MSSA<br>positive) | aacA-<br>D  | ermA         | msrA         | tetK.         | tetM         | blaZ        | catl          | gyrA         | grlA         | dfrAl         | гроВ         |
| Cow (7)                             | 2<br>(28.57)  | 2<br>(28.57) | 2<br>(28.57) | 2<br>(28.57)  | 1<br>(14.28) | 1 (14.28)   | 1 (14.28)     | 2<br>(28.57) | 1 (14.28)    | 2<br>(28.57)  | 2<br>(28.57) |
| Sheep (5)                           | 1 (20)  | 1 (20)       | 1 (20)       | 1 (20)        | 1 (20)       | 1 (20)      | 1 (20)        | 1 (20)       | 1 (20)       | 1 (20)        | 1 (20)       |
| Goat (3)                            | 1<br>(33.33)  | (33.33)      | 1<br>(33.33) | 1<br>(33.33)  | -            | 1 (33.33)   | -             | 1<br>(33.33) | ,            | -             | -            |
| Total (15)                          | 4<br>(26.66)  | 4<br>(26.66) | 4<br>(26.66) | 4<br>(26.66)  | 2<br>(13.33) | 3 (20)      | 2<br>(13.33)  | 4<br>(26.66) | 2<br>(13.33) | 3 (20)        | 3 (20)       |

\*aacA-D: aminoglycosides, ermA: erythromycin, msrA: macrolides, tetK and tetM: tetracyclines, blaZ: penicillin, cat1: chloramphenicol, gyrA, and grlA: quinolones, dfrA1: trimethoprim, rpoB: rifampin.

### Discussion

Milk contamination by S. aureus may occur during the milking through the transmission of bacteria from animal skin, wool, and feces and also through milking by the hands of milking room staff. Additionally, it will be contaminated during maintenance and supply through manipulation and bacterial; transmission by airdrops (cough and sneezing). S. aureus can also be originated from sub-clinical mastitis milk which may show normal characteristics of milk. Thus, it is important to assess the main route of S. aureus transmission to raw milk samples.

In the present study, S. aureus was detected in 11.05% of examined raw milk samples. MRSA and MSSA strains were identified in 64.28% and 35.71% of isolates, respectively. Rahi et al. (2020) (25) stated that reported that the S. aureus prevalence amongst the bovine, ovine, caprine, camel, and buffalo milk samples were 8.46%, 7.50%, 5%, 2.27%, and 9.09%, respectively. They showed that the distribution of MRSA strains amongst the S. aureus isolates of raw bovine, ovine, caprine, camel, and buffalo milk samples were 72.72%, 77.77%, 66.66%, 33.33%, and 80%, respectively. Alghizzi and Shami (2021) (26) stated that the distribution of S. aureus amongst the raw cow, horse, camel, and goat milk samples was 80%, 90.90%, 80%, and 100%, respectively. MRSA strains were detected in 75%, 70%, 91.70%, and 100% of S. aureus isolates, MRSA distribution amongst the examined samples of the present survey was 64.28%, which was higher than those reported from Turkey (17%) (27), Italy (20%) (28), United Kingdome (2.30%) (29), and Germany (2.30%) (30), while was lower than that of Turkey (75.40%) (31). A meta-analysis review (32) showed that the pooled S. aureus prevalence rates amongst the raw cow's and caprine milk samples were 33.5% (29.5-37.7%) and 25.8% (17.5–35.0%), respectively. The pooled MRSA prevalence rates were 2.3% (1.3–3.6%), and 1.1% (0.5–1.8%), respectively. According findings, MRSA had a higher distribution than MSSA. This finding was not reported in any other survey. Additionally, raw goat milk samples harbored the highest distribution of MRSA strains. The high distribution of MRSA amongst the raw goat milk samples was similarly reported by Tamendjari et al. (2021) (33) and Chai et al. (2020) (34). However, it was not detected amongst the raw goat milk samples collected from Sweden (35). Such a large variation across countries in MRSA prevalence rate from raw milk samples may show real regional differences or may be affected by the use of various detection techniques. Additional developments in the MRSA detection techniques in foods are desirable.

MRSA and MSSA strains isolated from raw milk different prevalence harbored resistance against examined antibiotic agents. MRSA strains harbored the higher resistance rate toward tetracycline, penicillin, trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole, erythromycin, ciprofloxacin, while MSSA strains harbored the highest resistance rate against tetracycline, erythromycin, penicillin, azithromycin, gentamicin. A similar pattern of resistance was reported in Bangladesh (36), Iran (37), and Turkey (38). Improper and unauthorized administration of antibiotics. of antibiotics overuse and disinfectants, and finally self-medication with antibiotics can be possible reasons for the high prevalence of antibiotic resistance. Shrestha et al. (2021) (39) reported that the prevalence of antibiotic resistance of MRSA isolates of raw milk against ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, samples teicoplanin, ampicillin, clindamycin, erythromycin, amikacin, gentamycin, cefotaxime, cefepime, and cefazoline was 69%, 20.60%, 89.70%, 100%, 72.40%, 48.30%, 86.20%, 93%, 44.8%, 69%, and 17.20%, respectively, which was surprising as all of the MRSA strains should resist cephalosporins and toward all penicillins antimicrobials. A Chinese survey (40) reported that the S. aureus strains isolated from raw milk of animal species harbored a high prevalence of resistance against erythromycin (32.30%). clindamycin (30.60%), penicillin (72.60%),trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (19.40%),ceftaroline (8.10%),linezolid (25.80%),tetracycline (11.30%), vancomycin (3.20%), gentamicin (30.60%), ciprofloxacin (16.10%), oxacillin (37.10%), and rifampin (11.30%). The higher prevalence of resistance in the present survey compared to that of the Chinese study may be due to the assessment of antibiotic resistance of MRSA strains in the present survey. As some of these antibiotics are only used in the hospital environment, especially for the treatment of human clinical diseases (such as rifampin, trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole, clindamycin, azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, and amikacin), resistant S. aureus strains may be originated from cross contamination through hand manipulation and airdrops. The differences reported in the pattern of antibiotic resistance in various studies are probably due to the availability or nonavailability of antibiotics, the level of strict rules in prescribing antibiotics, and the opinion of physicians and veterinarians on prescribing antibiotics. The prevalence of resistance to chloramphenicol was lower than that of other antibiotics. Chloramphenicol is an illicit drug with a limited prescription. The use of this antibiotic illegally is done only in poultry farms in Iran. Probably the reason for the low prevalence of antibiotic resistance against chloramphenicol is the keeping of cattle, sheep, and goats traditionally poultry and the transfer chloramphenicol-resistant strains from poultry to farm animals.

MRSA and MSSA strains harbored different distribution of antibiotic resistance genes. blaZ, aacA-D, tetK, cat1, and dfrA1 were the most commonly detected antibiotic resistance genes amongst the MRSA strains, while aacA-D, ermA,

msrA, tetK, and gyrA were the most commonly detected amongst the MSSA isolates. This finding may show that these two phenotypes may have so many additional differences in microbiological and epidemiological properties, which need further analysis. A similar survey conducted in the United States (41) reported that the prevalence of aadD, blaZ, mecA, ermB, msrA, tetK, and tetM antibiotic resistance genes amongst the S. aureus strains isolated from raw milk samples was 0.8%, 4%, 0.8%, 0.8%, 2.4%, 1.6%, and 0.8%, respectively, which was much lower than our findings. The total distribution of ermA, blaZ, tetK, tetM, aacA, and rpoB antibiotic resistance genes amongst the S. aureus strains isolated from raw milk samples in China was 4.80%, 25.80%, 6.50%, 11.30%, 19.40%, and 61.30%, respectively. Feng et al. (2016) (42) described that the distribution of blaZ, ermA, aacA, tetK, tetM, and rpoB antibiotic resistance genes were 95.45%, 0%, 2.27%, 22.73%, 2.27%, and 100%, respectively. According to our findings, both MRSA and MSSA strains harbored a higher prevalence of resistance in the disk diffusion than those detected by the specific antibiotic resistance genes. this finding may be because the presence of antibiotic resistance genes is one of the ways for the occurrence of antibiotic resistance. Thus, it is not surprising that for example resistance to rifampin was identified in 29.62% of MRSA isolates, while rpoB gene was only detected in 25.92% of MRSA strains.

Food safety and hygiene, especially in foods with animal origins and its relation to the human health have so many importance in the development of the community (44-50).

### Conclusion

In conclusion, the considerable prevalence of MRSA strains was accompanied by the high rate of bacterial resistance toward commonly used antibiotic agents, particularly trimethoprimerythromycin, sulfamethoxazole, ciprofloxacin. The findings may show the high antibiotic resistance of MRSA and the potential role of raw milk samples in its transmission to the human population. MSSA strains were identified in the lower number of samples. They also harbored the lowest antibiotic resistance. Some MRSA strains harbored different antibiotic resistance genes, particularly blaZ, aacA-D, tetK, cat1, and dfrA1. These findings may show the role of raw milk samples as a source of antibiotic resistance genes. It seems that the consumption of contaminated milk with resistant S. aureus may cause severe food-borne diseases that resist

antibiotic therapy. According to the higher distribution of MRSA strains in raw goat milk samples, higher hygienic measurements should perform for their production and supply.

### References

- [1]. Rostami F, Rahimi E, Yahaghi E, Khodaverdi Darian E, Bagheri Moghadam M. Isolation and evaluation virulence factors of Salmonella typhimurium and Salmonella enteritidis in milk and dairy products. Iranian Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2014 Jun 10;8(1):54-61.
- [2]. Mousavi S, Safarpoor Dehkordi F, Valizadeh Y. Genotyping of Helicobacter pylori strains isolated from raw milk and dairy products. Journal of Food Microbiology. 2017 Nov 22;4(3):41-53.
- [3]. Mousavi S, Dehkordi FS. Virulence factors and antibiotic resistance of Helicobacter pylori isolated from raw milk and unpasteurized dairy products in Iran. Journal of Venomous Animals and Toxins including Tropical Diseases. 2015 Jan 20;20:1-7.
- [4]. Momtaz H, Dehkordi FS, Rahimi E, Asgarifar A, Momeni M. Virulence genes and antimicrobial resistance profiles of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from chicken meat in Isfahan province, Iran. Journal of Applied Poultry Research. 2013 Dec 1;22(4):913-21.
- [5]. Ayele Y, Gutema FD, Edao BM, Girma R, Tufa TB, Beyene TJ, Tadesse F, Geloye M, Beyi AF. Assessment of Staphylococcus aureus along milk value chain and its public health importance in Sebeta, central Oromia, Ethiopia. BMC microbiology. 2017 Dec;17(1):1-7.
- [6]. Bai AD, Lo CK, Komorowski AS, Suresh M, Guo K, Garg A, Tandon P, Senecal J, Del Corpo O, Stefanova I, Fogarty C. Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clinical Microbiology and Infection. 2022 Mar 23.
- [7]. Hidalgo-Tenorio C, Gálvez J, Martínez-Marcos FJ, Plata-Ciezar A, La Torre-Lima D, López-Cortés LE, Noureddine M, Reguera JM, Vinuesa D, García MV, Ojeda G. Clinical and prognostic differences between methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus infective endocarditis. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2020 Dec;20(1):1-1.
- [8]. Nandhini P, Kumar P, Mickymaray S, Alothaim AS, Somasundaram J, Rajan M.

Recent Developments in Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) Treatment: A Review. Antibiotics. 2022 May;11(5):606.

- [9]. Klevens RM, Morrison MA, Nadle J, Petit S, Gershman K, Ray S, Harrison LH, Lynfield R, Dumyati G, Townes JM, Craig AS. Invasive methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infections in the United States. Jama. 2007 Oct 17;298(15):1763-71.
- [10].La Vecchia A, Ippolito G, Taccani V, Gatti E, Bono P, Bettocchi S, Pinzani R, Tagliabue C, Bosis S, Marchisio P, Agostoni C. Epidemiology and antimicrobial susceptibility of Staphylococcus aureus in children in a tertiary care pediatric hospital in Milan, Italy, 2017—2021. Italian Journal of Pediatrics. 2022 Dec;48(1):1-8.
- [11]. Abdolmaleki Z, Mashak Z, Safarpoor Dehkordi F. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of antibiotic resistance in the methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains isolated from hospital cockroaches. Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control. 2019 Dec;8(1):1-4.
- [12].Safarpoor Dehkordi F, Gandomi H, Akhondzadeh Basti A, Misaghi A, Rahimi E. Genotypic and phenotypic characterization of antibiotic resistance of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolated from hospital food. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2017;6(1):104.
- [13].Fijałkowski K, Peitler D, Karakulska J. Staphylococci isolated from ready-to-eat meat-identification, antibiotic resistance and toxin gene profile. Int J Food Microbiol. 2016;238:113–120.
- [14]. Yousefi M, Pourmand MR, Fallah F, Hashemi A, Mashhadi R, Nazari-Alam A. Characterization of Staphylococcus aureus biofilm formation in urinary tract infection. Iran J Public Health. 2016;45(4):485.
- [15].CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 17<sup>th</sup> Informational Supplement. CLSI document M100-S17 Wayne: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2007.
- [16].CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Twenty-Fifth Informational Supplement. CLSI document M100-S25 Wayne: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2015.
- [17].Hasanpour Dehkordi A, Khaji L, Sakhaei Shahreza MH, Mashak Z, Safarpoor Dehkordi F, Safaee Y, Hosseinzadeh A, Alavi I, Ghasemi E, Rabiei-Faradonbeh M. One-year

- prevalence of antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus recovered from raw meat. Trop Biomed. 2017;34(2):396-404.
- [18].Dehkordi FS, Tavakoli-Far B, Jafariaskari S, Momtaz H, Esmaeilzadeh S, Ranjbar R, Rabiei M. Uropathogenic Escherichia coli in the high vaginal swab samples of fertile and infertile women: virulence factors, Oserogroups, and phenotyping and genotyping characterization of antibiotic resistance. New Microbes and New Infections. 2020 Nov 1:38:100824.
- [19]. Johler S, Layer F, Stephan R. Comparison of virulence and antibiotic resistance genes of food poisoning outbreak isolates of Staphylococcus aureus with isolates obtained from bovine mastitis milk and pig carcasses. Journal of food protection. 2011;74:1852-9.
- [20].Lina G, Quaglia A, Reverdy M-E, Leclercq R, Vandenesch F, Etienne J. Distribution of genes encoding resistance to macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramins among staphylococci. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 1999;43:1062-6.
- [21].Strommenger B, Kettlitz C, Werner G, Witte W. Multiplex PCR assay for simultaneous detection of nine clinically relevant antibiotic resistance genes in Staphylococcus aureus. Journal of Clinical Microbiology. 2003;41:4089-94.
- [22]. Schmitz FJ, Köhrer K, Schering S, Verhoef J, Fluit A, Heinz HP, Jones ME. The stability of grlA, grlB, gyrA, gyrB and norA mutations and MIC values of five fluoroquinolones in three different clonal populations of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Clinical microbiology and infection. 1999;5:287-90.
- [23].Shittu AO, Okon K, Adesida S, Oyedara O, Witte W, Strommenger B, Layer F, Nübel U. Antibiotic resistance and molecular epidemiology of Staphylococcus aureus in Nigeria. BMC microbiology. 2011;11:92.
- [24]. Aboshkiwa M, Rowland G, Coleman G. Nucleotide sequence of the Staphylococcus aureus RNA polymerase rpoB gene and comparison of its predicted amino acid sequence with those of other bacteria. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Gene Structure and Expression. 1995;1262:73-8.
- [25].Rahi A, Kazemeini H, Jafariaskari S, Seif A, Hosseini S, Dehkordi FS. Genotypic and phenotypic-based assessment of antibiotic resistance and profile of staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec in the methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus recovered

- from raw milk. Infection and drug resistance. 2020;13:273.
- [26].Alghizzi M, Shami A. The prevalence of Staphylococcus aureus and methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus in milk and dairy products in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences. 2021 Dec 1;28(12):7098-104.
- [27].Paterson G, Morgan F, Harrison E, et al. Prevalence and characterization of human mecC methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates in England. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2013;69(4):907–910.
- [28].Riva A, Borghi E, Cirasola D, et al. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in raw milk: prevalence, SCC mec typing, enterotoxin characterization, and antimicrobial resistance patterns. J Food Prot. 2015;78(6):1142–1146.
- [29].Türkyılmaz S, Tekbıyık S, Oryasin E, Bozdogan B. Molecular epidemiology and antimicrobial resistance mechanisms of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolated from bovine milk. Zoonoses Publ Health. 2010;57(3):197–203.
- [30].Kreausukon K, Fetsch A, Kraushaar B, et al. Prevalence, antimicrobial resistance, and molecular characterization of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus from bulk tank milk of dairy herds. J Dairy Sci. 2012;95(8):4382–4388.
- [31].Keyvan E, Yurdakul O, Demirtas A, Yalcin H, Bilgen N. Identification of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in bulk tank milk. Food Science and Technology. 2020 Jan 24;40:150-6.
- [32].Ou Q, Zhou J, Lin D, Bai C, Zhang T, Lin J, Zheng H, Wang X, Ye J, Ye X, Yao Z. A large meta-analysis of the global prevalence rates of S. aureus and MRSA contamination of milk. Critical reviews in food science and nutrition. 2018 Sep 2;58(13):2213-28.
- [33]. Tamendjari S, Bouzebda FA, Chaib L, Aggad H, Ramdani M, Bouzebda Z. Antibiotic resistance of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from raw cow and goat milk produced in the Tiaret and Souk Ahras areas of Algeria. Veterinary World. 2021 Jul;14(7):1929.
- [34].Chai MH, Faiq TA, Ariffin SM, Suhaili Z, Sukiman MZ, Ghazali MF. Prevalence of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus in raw goat milks from selected farms in Terengganu, Malaysia. Tropical Animal Science Journal. 2020 Feb 26;43(1):64-9.
- [35].Persson Y, Börjesson S, Myrenås M, Pedersen K. No Detection of Methicillin-

- Resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Dairy Goats. Dairy. 2021 Jan 23;2(1):65-70.
- [36].Rana EA, Fazal MA, Alim MA. Frequently used therapeutic antimicrobials and their resistance patterns on Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli in mastitis affected lactating cows. International Journal of Veterinary Science and Medicine. 2022 Dec 31;10(1):1-0.
- [37].Mesbah A, Mashak Z, Abdolmaleki Z. A survey of prevalence and phenotypic and genotypic assessment of antibiotic resistance in Staphylococcus aureus bacteria isolated from ready-to-eat food samples collected from Tehran Province, Iran. Tropical Medicine and Health. 2021 Dec;49(1):1-2.
- [38].Kayili E, Sanlibaba P. Prevalence, characterization and antibiotic resistance of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from traditional cheeses in Turkey. International Journal of Food Properties. 2020 Jan 1;23(1):1441-51.
- [39]. Shrestha A, Bhattarai RK, Luitel H, Karki S, Basnet HB. Prevalence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and pattern of antimicrobial resistance in mastitis milk of cattle in Chitwan, Nepal. BMC Veterinary Research. 2021 Dec;17(1):1-7.
- [40]. Kou X, Cai H, Huang S, Ni Y, Luo B, Qian H, Ji H, Wang X. Prevalence and characteristics of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from retail raw milk in Northern Xinjiang, China. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2021 Aug 9;12:705947.
- [41].Patel K, Godden SM, Royster EE, Crooker BA, Johnson TJ, Smith EA, Sreevatsan S. Prevalence, antibiotic resistance, virulence and genetic diversity of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from bulk tank milk samples of US dairy herds. Bmc Genomics. 2021 Dec;22(1):1-3.
- [42].Feng YA, Qi W, Wang XR, Ling WA, Li XP, Luo JY, Zhang SD, Li HS. Genetic characterization of antimicrobial resistance in Staphylococcus aureus isolated from bovine mastitis cases in Northwest China. Journal of integrative agriculture. 2016 Dec 1;15(12):2842-7.
- [43].Ranjbar R, Yadollahi Farsani F, Safarpoor Dehkordi F. Antimicrobial resistance and genotyping of vacA, cagA, and iceA alleles of the Helicobacter pylori strains isolated from traditional dairy products. Journal of Food Safety. 2019 Apr;39(2):e12594.
- [44].Dehkordi FS. Prevalence study of Coxiella burnetii in aborted ovine and caprine fetuses by evaluation of nested and real-time PCR

assays. American Journal of Animal and Veterinary Sciences. 2011.

- [45].Dehkordi FS, Tirgir F, Valizadeh Y. Effects of Guajol® ointment synthesized from medicinal smoke condensate of jennet feces on burn wound healing on Wistar rat. InVeterinary Research Forum 2017 (Vol. 8, No. 3, p. 215). Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran.
- [46].Dehkordi FS, Momtaz H, Doosti A. Application of Real-Time PCR for detection of Aspergillus species in aborted ruminant foetuses. Bulgarian Journal of Veterinary Medicine. 2012 Mar 1;15(1):30-6.
- [47].Mashak Z, Jafariaskari S, Alavi I, Shahreza MS, Dehkordi FS. Phenotypic and genotypic assessment of antibiotic resistance and genotyping of vacA, cagA, iceA, oipA, cagE, and babA2 alleles of Helicobacter pylori bacteria isolated from raw meat. Infection and drug resistance. 2020;13:257.
- [48].Dehkordi FS, Yahaghi E, Darian EK. Prevalence of antibiotic resistance in Escherichia coli isolated from poultry meat supply in Isfahan. Iran J Med Microbiol: Volume. 2014 Jul;8(2).
- [49].Ranjbar R, Dehkordi FS, Heiat M. The frequency of resistance genes in Salmonella enteritidis strains isolated from cattle. Iranian Journal of Public Health. 2020 May;49(5):968.
- [50].Nayebpoor F, Momeni M, Dehkordi FS. Incidence of ochratoxin A in raw and salted dried fruits using high performance liquid chromatography. Am Eur J Toxicol Sci. 2013;5:1-6.