

# An Empirical Study On Socioeconomic Factors Affecting Producer's Participation In Commodity Markets In India

Dr. Divya Kumar Agrawal<sup>1</sup>, Ms. Brinda M<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Arti Singh<sup>3</sup>, Hemalatha S<sup>4</sup>, Chandrakala. M<sup>5</sup>, Prof. Mahabub Basha S<sup>6</sup>, Dr. M. Kethan<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Chief Manager (Research), State Bank Institute of Credit and Risk Management, Gurugram, [advocatedk@gmail.com](mailto:advocatedk@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, KIMS, Bangalore, [brindabrin77@gmail.com](mailto:brindabrin77@gmail.com)

<sup>3</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Kristu Jayanti College (Autonomous) Bangalore, [artisingh2501@gmail.com](mailto:artisingh2501@gmail.com)

<sup>4</sup> Assistant Professor, SB College of Management Studies, Bangalore, [hemalathas424@gmail.com](mailto:hemalathas424@gmail.com)

<sup>5</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Kristu Jayanti College (Autonomous) Bangalore, [hi4ckp@gmail.com](mailto:hi4ckp@gmail.com)

<sup>6</sup> Assistant Professor, IIBS, Bangalore, [mahabub@iibsonline.com](mailto:mahabub@iibsonline.com)

<sup>7</sup> Associate Professor, IIBS, Bangalore, [drkethan@iibsonline.com](mailto:drkethan@iibsonline.com)

## Abstract

India is an agricultural country, and agricultural goods and trade are vital to its economy. It has been decades since India's small and marginal farmers' pricing risk was reduced through better agricultural marketing. A futures market was created to stabilize prices, decrease poverty, and promote economic growth. Investors can use future derivatives to protect their cash market positions. Agricultural commodities futures market has yet to garner considerable producer participation. A survey was conducted in Karnataka state by producers involved in a variety of crops using a blend of judgement and convenient sampling method. MS Excel and IBM SPSS 20 were used as statistical software. A logit model revealed the primary determinants impacting commodity futures adoption in the area. It tries to identify institutional, socioeconomic, and farmer involvement factors that hinder or promote farmer participation in futures markets in India and Abroad.

**Keywords:** Commodity, futures, NCDEX, FMC, logit.

**Contribution/Originality:** This study is one of very few studies to have investigated the factors affecting producer's participation in commodity markets. This study discovered that various socioeconomic parameters, such as the age of the household member who administers the farm, had a positive significant link with a person's ability to work in the commodity market in India.

## 1.1 Introduction

Trading futures contracts has been increasingly popular in recent decades in agriculture-based countries like India. The futures market has attracted a lot of investor attention due to its significance in price hedging. Decades of policy have focused on improving agricultural markets and lowering price risk for India's small and marginal farmers despite the government's efforts to strengthen smallholder market ties and maintain prices, the benefits have been limited, particularly in terms of price risk management. Farmers are underrepresented in derivatives markets. Agricultural futures markets can help farmers make educated planting decisions and decrease market risk. In reality, farmers make planting decisions based on last year's prices

rather than expected harvest prices. Policymakers, on the other hand, regard it as a black box and a primary cause of inflation (Gulati et al., 2017). ITC-ABD, a major aggregator and exporter of Indian agricultural commodities, uses these exchange platforms to hedge price risk. A questionnaire survey, semi-structured interviews, and focus groups were utilized to gather information from tomato producers about their price risk management practices. The value chain is market-governed, with no vertical or horizontal integration activities. (Shula, 2020). The agricultural marketing is saddled with long chain of agents/brokers/middlemen between the farmers and customers. New agricultural extension strategies are concentrated on decentralization and attracting more participation in

commodities markets (Mishra, et al 2018). Many farmers are facing marketing problems in rural areas after cultivation the commodities. Even though after creating social and economic flat forms to the farmers but price of the commodities may not able match with the investment. In India like a country where many farmers are depends only rain for their cultivation of agricultural products and capital investment again depends on banks for financial support. After cultivation of the production farmers don't get minimum price for their products and middle man involvement will be more to divert the profits from farmers. Age also one of the factors to participate the commodity markets in India.

The modern concept of agribusiness development in the country from 1950's around based on tradition business carried out. After independence, commodities market started growing in cotton, coffee and cocoa etc, but it's shifted to over the period of time various commodities like fruits, berries, vegetables etc. This is because agriculture is the highest potential for sustainable growth in the many under developing country (Aggarwal, A. 2020). Creation of platforms and technical aspects to farmers can lead to precision farming for successful marketing. The functional linkages of producers with various system involved in transfer of technology have impact on quality and quantity of the production of agricultural products in India. Marketing of agricultural products has an important role in developing country like India. However, New technologies, innovation methods and cross function markets are helpful to farmers to meet the best price (M Rana, et al 2021).

The study's overarching goal is to investigate the socio-economic factors affecting producer's participation in commodity markets. Overarching purpose is to explore socio-economic aspects determining producer participation in Indian commodity markets. Futures markets were created to help farmers manage their risks. However, producers in emerging markets like India are unable to actively participate in futures markets. For risk management and trading in commodity markets, this study seeks to uncover socioeconomic characteristics that influence producer decisions in India. It examines the

policy framework required to promote commodities markets in India. Current paper will study the factor affecting producer's participation in commodities markets in India.

### 1.1 Background of the Study


Futures markets were formed in India to help farmers and provide options to hedge through participation. However, manufacturers in emerging economies have been seen to be unable to directly participate in futures market systems. Agri-futures have been on a roller coaster ride since its establishment in 2003, and its primary beneficiary has not realized the rewards. Farmers' engagement in commodities markets has been low, and risk reduction tools for sharing ITC-ABDs trading technique have been provided to Indian farmers. Hedging serves as a substitute for a farmer with a high risk aversion, and the benefits of hedging are described as certainty equivalent income gain, which is positively associated to natural disasters. (Ranganathan & Ananthakumar, 2014). Giving FPOs the ability to trade in commodity markets can benefit both parties, and it may assist farmers make cropping decisions based on future year's pricing rather than prior year's prices. This will weaken the grip of middlemen and traders, ultimately increasing the income of agricultural families. (Hindu, 2020). In-depth discussions with Mr. Siva Kumar, Divisional Chief Executive of ITC Ltd.'s agricultural division, yielded insights and perspectives on growing farmers' participation in commodities derivatives are trading and comprehending ITC's hedging tactics. He discussed risk mitigation services for Indian farmers, as well as ITC-ABD trading tactics. (Rajib, 2015). The Forward Market Commission was recently replaced as a commodity market regulator by the Securities and Exchange Board of India SEBI (Ghosh & Dey, 2015).

### Theoretical framework

The most prevalent vanilla derivatives are forward, futures, and options contracts. Exotic derivatives are more complex derivatives. A Forward Contract is a contract in which the buyer and seller agree to provide an asset at a future date. These are OTC contracts that are tailored to specific requirements such as price, quality, and delivery terms. A standard agreement can include size, maturity, trading method, and delivery terms. Contracts sold on exchanges require both parties to pay a margin. Unlike futures, an option contract offers the buyer the right but not the responsibility to buy (call option) or sell (put option) a strike price or exercise price.

In 1875, the Bombay Cotton Association Ltd. established India's first commodity futures exchange. Various objects could be traded in future exchanges. In

2003, the government abolished the restriction on futures trading and recognized three electronic trading exchanges as national multi commodity exchanges: NMCE, MCX, and NCDEX. Commodity trading has grown rapidly since then. Indian Commodity Exchange Limited (ICEX), Ace subsidiary and ware trade Limited (ACE), and Universal Commodity Exchange (UCX) are also active in India (UCE). It will be statistically analyzed from January 2015 to January 2020.



**Source:** Literature review by author

## 2.1 Review of Literature

(Bizikova, L et al 2020) conducted study on review of the literature on farmer's organization services and its influence on small scale producers in sub-Saharan Africa and India. It is revealed that 57% reported positive farmer's organization on farmer's income and few reported crop yield 19% and production quality 20%. (Thuy, T et al 2021) analyzed present situation of the commodity market in the ethnic minorities and mountainous areas of Vietnam. It is found that accessibility to government policies has certain methods to influence on the commodity markets in Vietnam. (Salignac, F., 2021) brought insights to fair trade exchanges by casting different commodities beyond material and pecuniary of gains. Study analyzed in Vietnam and India with fair trade certified small holder farmers. It is found that fair trade is association with benefits to both individual and society and these co-integrated to participation in a democratic way.

The study examined scholarly literatures on producer participation in various markets from reputable national and international sources. The Logit model was used to identify various socioeconomic factors that influence farmers' decisions to adopt farm forestry in commodities business. Structured questionnaires were used to interview 200 persons. The primary determinants impacting farm forestry adoption by households on farms surveyed in the district were identified using a logit model. At the 0.05 level of

significance, the logit analysis discovered that respondents, age, and land size were all significant. Formal education is required to the farmers and value of farm forestry should be taught to farmers. Farmers above the age of 55 should be prioritized because they determine the majority of family decisions. (Lwayo & Maritim, 2003).

(Bitzer, V., & Marazzi, A. 2021) applied framework to Trustea, an Indian MSI for sustainable tea production and further investigated on inclusiveness paradigm of southern sustainability. It is found that replication of exclusionary patterns also impact on global MSI and small scale producers in agricultural value chains. (Balakrishna A 2021) it advocates for a novel method that educates the farmers or small farm holders on how to use their expertise skills to enhance their productivity to greater level. This strategy referred as sustainable agricultural for long term to the farmers to enhance their skills and technical enhancement to rural farmers like county India. It is also found that green marketing is more effective in 21<sup>st</sup> century as compare to traditional farming.

Farmers' participation in India's futures markets was explored by identifying elements that limit or potentially promote farmer participation in futures markets, such as institutional, socioeconomic, and farmer participation behavior (direct and indirect) (Dey et al., 2019). The decision of smallholder farmers to participate in the agro-processing industry, as well as the extent to which they participate, was investigated in the Gauteng Province using a double-hurdle model to examine the factors that influence the decision to participate in agro-processing as well as the level of participation. According to the findings, only 19 percent of smallholder farmers are involved in the agro-processing industry. The studies also demonstrated that educational level, land tenure, agro-processing training, and knowledge all have a beneficial impact on participation decision. (Khoza et al., 2019). It is found that farmer's revenue, their crop choices, long term investment decisions and their short term labour allocation is impacted significantly by volatile harvest price movements (Dercon, 1996; Hill, 2009, 2010). Farmers control price risk with the help of FPOs. Over the last ten months, over 25000 small and marginal farmers have successfully hedged their crops using the NCDEX trading platform. Women in Rajasthan's Bundi area organized an FPO with 2,300 members and used future marketplaces to sell produce at a

greater price than wholesale markets gave two months later. Due to concerns about speculation and inflation, the trading of pulses and rice has not followed this trend. Pravesh Sharma, former head of the Small Farmers' Agribusiness Consortium, which was entrusted with creating FPOs and connecting them to markets, believes that future and forward markets for a range of commodities should be authorized and vigorously pushed. (Bera, 2020). The number of small and marginal farmers engaged in the agro processing business is minimal, which could be attributed to a number of factors, including a lack of access to agro processing-related training and knowledge, as well as the distance to it. Farmers' engagement in the agro-processing business is influenced by socioeconomic factors, demographic features, agricultural characteristics, and support services. (Khoza et al., 2019). Market institutions such as public warehousing and commodities exchanges, as well as their combined use, can aid in immediate and long-term marketing decisions. It enables manufacturers, customers, and traders to create their own business strategies. (Kozár & Fodor, 2006).

(Khoza et al 2019) analyzed factors influencing small farmer's decision to participate in the agro-processing companies in Gauteng province, South Africa. Primary data collected from 102 small holder farmers through structured questionnaire. Study found that only 19% farmers are participating agro-processing in Gauteng province. It also reveals that factors influencing positively like educational, land, training and development etc. to participate in agro processing. Study also recommended that governments should bring new innovative technology and effective training to agro processing farmers in the country. (Sulewski, P et al 2020) studied assessment of farmer's preferences towards risk from farm accountancy data networks with 600 polish farms. Analyzed farmers risk towards attitudes strong with the self-assessment regarding the own way managing the risk in commodity markets. Study found that the application of specified risk managing tools by other country farmers and their potential impact on income drop and risk level increases.

The Heckman two-stage selection model was used to examine the factors influencing small rice farmers' decisions to participate in the agriculture market, with a focus on Myothit Township in Myanmar's Magway region. The decision to participate in the rice market was

influenced by a variety of characteristics such as household age, family size, education, total rice output, livestock ownership, farmers association membership, distance to market, access to extension services, and market knowledge. (Kyaw et al., 2018). Large FPOs play a critical role in risk management via derivatives. According to Kapil Dev, Head Products and Business Development NCDEX, FPOs must be consolidated and awareness raised among these FPOs to participate in future and spot trading. Around 300 FPOs have registered with NCDEX, and 100 are trading on the exchange. He noted that there are numerous challenges on the ground because FPOs represent farmers. FPOs and farmers do not have a clear picture of future markets. (Panel discussion in commodity markets, NCDEX 2020). A case study on coriander hedging with future contracts based on the experience of P.C. Kannan & Co., Madhya Pradesh, India's largest coriander exporter, who used future contracts to stabilize cash flows and enhance company. Commodity futures allow exporters to offer an acceptable price and, as a result, set a contract for export in a competitive market. (Hedging in commodity futures A COHERENT APPROACH TO CORPORATE RESILIENCE Case Study of P.C Kannan & Co., n.d.)

(Huynh, T. L. D et al 2020) examined the connectedness of financial markets and possible various sources of risk in the commodity markets. Studied commodity spot and future prices from 1979 to 2019 it include gold, silver, crude oil. Study found that commodities futures volatility transmission is higher than spot price volatility to the future commodity prices in markets. (Cariappa et al 2021) analyzed radical changes in prices and consumption behavior during covid 19 times. It investigated 729 consumers and 225 farmers in India. Study found that pandemic has caused a significant impact of price change and unprecedented panic purchase that lead to the food wastage in other end. It suggested that contract forming; farmer producer organizations, policy and reforms, social scale production, production and price shocks, etc. will create capacity of building on food wastage across the country.

(Vijayakumar, A. N. 2020) studied farmer produced organization are considered as one of the effective way to improve competitiveness and socio-economic conditions in Karnataka. It is found that positive impact of social issues on migration from rural to urban area. And also found that a positive impact of economic

concerns in realizing commodity prices in rural Karnataka. (Das, R., & Mandal, S. 2021) evaluated farmer producer companies through survey of 120 households in west Bengal. The functioning of farmer producer companies was very aggressive in terms of income, easy input buying and establishing relationship with financial institutions and banks. Major key identification are social economic factors are influencing participation of small holder farmers in farmer producer companies in the West Bengal. It is concluded that farmer producer companies should be promoted in different parts of the county.

(Hagos A et al 2020) analyzed small holder commercialization of mango production and mango farmer's participation in internationally for better price. Based on 150 sample of households which included the 16 variables and found 10 variable significantly influence the quality and quantity mangos sold with in the country. The regression model results showed the determination of mango volume of sales impact on resources ownership, access to institutions, social network and economic on mango market participation Study recommended that quality can be improved through value chain actors, creating marketing linkages and endowment. (Zhang, J. et al 2021) investigated the determinants of market oriented farming activities and its impacts on farm performance in China. It is analyzed national representative data from a rural household in China. Study found that socioeconomic attributes natural, physical assets and institution have significant impact on market oriented farming. It is also revealed that renting the land doing farming its increase crop yield by 47%. And moreover, increasing farm size its impact on crop yield fell by 40%. Farming different crops in same land it's increased both the profits and crop output by 64% and 98% respectively. Study concluded that joint participation in renting in land and different cropping of agricultural commodities increased profits by 167%. (Worku, A., & Ülkü, M. A. 2021) study assessed the covid 19 pandemic in Ethiopia with the factors that influence significantly on onion market supply in Northwestern Ethiopia. Primary data used for the study. It is revealed

that covid 19 outbreaks in globally its impact on agents and brokers removed from market channel to pay as commission from the agricultural farmers. Study suggested that policy interventions by government to overcome the post covid challenges with sustainable development in Ethiopia.

### 3.1 Methodology

The study is analytical and descriptive in nature. A structured questionnaire is used to collect data from primary sources from a sample of 150 producers. Producers are here defined as people involved in the agri-value chain of agriculture commodities such as farmers, aggregators, millers, traders, and others who may be interested in commodity markets. A survey was conducted in Karnataka state by producers involved in a variety of crops using a blend of judgement and convenient sampling method. MS Excel and IBM SPSS 20 were used as statistical software. Data was also collected by secondary sources through journals, paper publications and websites.

### 3.2 Research Objectives

The purpose of this research is to identify various socioeconomic variables that influence producers' decisions to participate in commodity markets for risk management and trading in India.

1. To identify the Socio-economic factors affecting producer's participation in commodity markets.
2. Give suitable measures producer's participation can be improved in commodity markets in India.

### 3.3 Statistical tests

The respondents' level of commodity market adoption in India (adopters or non-adopters) was separated into number of groups, and a contingency table was generated for each socioeconomic component. The data from the contingency table was analyzed using the chi-square statistic. The data from the contingency table was analyzed using the chi-square statistic. The following is the formula: The usage of chi-square assists in identifying whether two variables in a population are independent or dependent. It was employed in the analysis to see whether the explanatory factors differed between adopters and non-adopters.

**Table 3.1 Description of dependent and independent variables**

Dependent and Independent Variables					
Dependent Variable					
Participation in Commodity markets	Yes		No		
Binary Variable	1		2		
Independent Variables -Social factors					
Age(years)	<21	21-40	41-60	>60	
Ordinal Variable	1	2	3	4	
Gender	Male		Female		
Binary Variable	1		2		
Education Level	Uneducated	Matriculate	Intermediate	Graduate	Postgraduate and above
Ordinal Variable	1	2	3	4	5
Family size	<4	4 to 6	7 to 9	>10	
Binary Variable	1	2	3	4	
Independent Variables -Economic Factors					
Land size	<1 Ha	1-2 Ha	2-10 Ha	>10 H	Nil
(Ordinal Variable)	1	2	3	4	5
Off farm income	Yes	No			

(Binary Variable)	1	2			
Disposable income	<2 Lacs/annum	2-5 Lacs/annum	5-10 Lacs/annum	10-15 Lacs/annum	>15 Lacs/annum
Binary Variable	1	2	3	4	5
Distance from near town	Scale data				

**Source:** Literature review

The logit model was chosen because it accurately reflected the empirically observed state of commodity markets on any given form. Such findings reflect a binary variable, adoption or non- adoption. This 'adoption behavioral model' with dichotomous (or binary) dependent variables can be used as a conceptual framework to investigate variables related to adoption. The use of logit, which provides maximum likelihood estimates, eliminates the majority of the issues associated with linear probability models and provides estimators (Engelman, 1981 and Gujarati,

1988).

### 3.4 Limitations of the Study

The research has certain limitations the study aims to understand the producer's participation in commodity markets by conducting field surveys and results are dependent on Survey responses, sampling errors and other issues which are involved with questionnaire. Time is the constraint as we have limited time to complete this research.

## 4.1 Data analysis

**Table 4.1 I Frequency distribution of response given by Producers**

Gender of Respondents	Male		Female		
Response	142(95.9)		6(4.1)		
Participation in Commodity markets	Yes		No		
Response	89(60.1)		59(39.9)		
Awareness on price risk management	Yes		No		
Response	78(42.7)		70(57.3)		
Trainings by NCDEX	Yes		No		
Response	94(63.5)		56(36.5)		
Age	<21(years)	21-40 (years)	41-60(years)	>60(years)	
Response	3(2)	81(54.7)	58(39.2)	6(4.1)	
Education Level	Uneducated	Matriculate	Intermediate	Graduate	Postgraduate and above
Response	21(14.2)	0	31(20.9)	96(64.9)	0
Land size	Nil	<1 Ha	1-2 Ha	2-10 Ha	>10 H
Response	0	61(41.2)	16(10.8)	54(36.5)	17(11.5)
Awareness on commodity markets	<1 years	1 to 3 years	4 to 6 years	7 to 9 years	>10 years
Response	31(20.9)	53(35.8)	34(23)	10(6.8)	20(13.5)
Source of information on commodity markets	Fellow farmer	Extension Agent	NCDEX Agent	Dealer	Media
Response	18(12.2)	18(12.2)	50(33.8)	8(5.4)	26(17.6)

**Source:** SPSS output, Questionnaire analysis by Researcher; \*Response percentage in parenthesis

**Interpretation:** Majority of respondents were farmers and traders and have land size less than 2 hectares. This is because majority of Indian farmers are small and marginal farmers. Most of them were males of age 21-60 years who were graduates. This shows literacy rate is good in Karnataka.

Respondents are aware about commodity markets from 1-3 years and have similar trading experience but respondents they have not attended any trainings on commodity markets. Producers of Karnataka are aware about price risk management approaches of

commodity markets. Majority of respondents got information about commodity markets from NCDEX agent, media, fellow farmers and extension agents.

## 5.1 Results and Discussion

Agriculture product marketing is crucial to attaining the overall aims of sustainable agriculture, food security, and poverty alleviation, particularly among rural smallholder farmers. This study discovered that various socioeconomic parameters, such

as the age of the household member who administers the farm, had a positive significant link with a person's ability to work in the market. It also has an impact on one's ability to adapt to new ideas and changes. Gender, education, family size, and the decision to adopt producers all showed a non-significant association, according to the logit model. Distances from town and non-farm income were major variables in commodity market participation, although land size and disposable income were not. After taxes, disposable income is the amount of money accessible to the household for spending. Money accumulated from various sources and used for both home needs and savings is covered.

### 6.1 Conclusions

This study provides techniques for increasing market participation and smallholder income in India. To reduce poverty, food security and economic development in Karnataka, the public sector must establish and implement balanced policies for small-scale producers. Agricultural management has recently been challenged by new scenarios such as novel variety creation and rapid ICT and IOT development. Rather than just boosting output, it is critical to consider how much extra value may be created. Other socioeconomic and technological elements that influence farmer participation in the market, as well as innovative aspects that influence added value, should be investigated in future research.

### References

- Bera, S. (2020, October 17). Farmers are using futures contracts to counter price risks. *Livemint*, 1–14.
- BMDP Statistical Software 1981. (Ed. Dixon, W.J.), Berkeley: University of California Press, pp.330-346.
- Dercon, S. (1996), "Risk, crop choice, and savings: evidence from Tanzania", *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, Vol. 44 No. 3, pp. 485-513.
- Dey, K., Gandhi, V. P., & Debnath, K. (2019). FARMERS ' PARTICIPATION IN INDIA ' S
- Engelman, L., 1981. PLR: Stepwise Logistic Regression.
- FUTURES MARKETS : POTENTIAL , EXPERIENCE , AND Farmers ' Participation in India ' s Futures Markets : Potential , Experience , and (Issue February). <https://static.mendeley.com/weblet-article/build/374/images/elsevier.png>
- Ghosh, N., & Dey, K. (2015). Opportunities and challenges of regulatory convergence in India's financial sector: The case of the SEBI'FMC merger. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 50(36), 64–70.
- Gulati, A., Chatterjee, T., & Hussain, S. (2017). *Agricultural Commodity Futures: Searching for Potential Winners*. ICRIER Working Paper 349, 349. [http://icrier.org/pdf/Working\\_Paper\\_349.pdf](http://icrier.org/pdf/Working_Paper_349.pdf)
- Basha, S. M., & Ramaratnam, M. S. (2017). Construction of an Optimal Portfolio Using Sharpe's Single Index Model: A Study on Nifty Midcap 150 Scrips. *Indian Journal of Research in Capital Markets*, 4(4), 25-41
- JagadeeshBabu, M. K., SaurabhSrivastava, S. M., & AditiPriya Singh, M. B. S. (2020). INFLUENCE OF SOCIAL MEDIA MARKETING ON BUYING BEHAVIOR OF MILLENNIAL TOWARDS SMART PHONES IN BANGALORE CITY. *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*, 17(9), 4474-4485.
- Shaik, M. B., Kethan, M., Rani, I., Mahesh, U., Harsha, C. S., Navya, M. K., & Sravani, D. (2022). WHICH DETERMINANTS MATTER FOR CAPITAL STRUCTURE? AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON NBFC'S IN INDIA. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 26, 1-9.
- Basha, S. M., Kethan, M., & Aisha, M. A. A Study on Digital Marketing Tools amongst the Marketing Professionals in Bangalore City.
- Hedging in commodity futures A COHERENT APPROACH TO CORPORATE RESILIENCE Case Study of P.C Kannan & Co.
- Hill, R.V. (2009), "Using stated preferences and beliefs to identify the impact of risk on poor households", *The Journal of Development Studies*, Vol. 45 No. 2, pp. 151-171.
- Hill, R.V. (2010), "Liberalisation and producer price risk: examining subjective expectations in the Ugandan coffee market", *Journal of African Economies*, Vol. 19 No. 4, pp. 433-458.
- influencing smallholder farmers' decision to participate in agro-processing industry in Gauteng province, South Africa. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2019.1664193>
- JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES, DEBRECEN, 24, 58–65.
- Khoza, T. M., Senyolo, G. M., Mmbengwa, V. M., & Soundy, P. (2019). Socio-economic factors
- Kozár, L., & Fodor, L. (2006). Price Risk



- Management by Futures Markets and Public Warehousing.
20. Kyaw, N. N., Ahn, S., & Lee, S. H. (2018). Analysis of the factors influencing market participation among smallholder rice farmers in Magway Region, Central Dry Zone of Myanmar.
  21. Lwayo, M. K., & Maritim, H. K. (2003). Socio-Economic Factors Affecting Farmers' Decisions To Adopt Farm Forestry: an Application of Multivariate Logistic Analysis in Busia District, Kenya. In *World Forestry Congress* (pp. 1–7).
  22. NCDEX. (2020). SIES-FPJ: Farmer price risk can be best addressed through large FPOs & commodity exchanges. <https://www.freepressjournal.in/>, 1–8. <https://www.freepressjournal.in/business/sies-fpj-farmer-price-risk-can-be-best-addressed-through-large-fpos-commodity-exchanges>
  23. Oram, P.A., 1988. Moving Towards Sustainability; Building the Agroecological Framework: In *Environment* (30)(9)
  24. Rajib, P. (2015). Indian agricultural commodity derivatives market – In conversation with S Sivakumar, Divisional Chief Executive, Agri Business Division, ITC Ltd. *IIMB Management Review*, 27(2), 118–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iimb.2015.02.002>
  25. Ranganathan, T., & Ananthakumar, U. (2014). Does hedging in futures market benefit Indian farmers? *Studies in Economics and Finance*, 31(3), 291–308. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SEF-12-2012-0143>
  26. Shula, A. M. (2020). Price Risk Management among SmallScale Tomato Farmers- A case of Mwalumia area in Chongwe district of Zambia, Copyright © Anthony Mulenga Shula 2020 . All Rights Reserved PRICE RISK M ... (Issue September) [Van Hall Larensten, University of applied sciences].
  27. Khoza, T. M., Senyolo, G. M., Mmbengwa, V. M., & Soundy, P. (2019). Socio-economic factors influencing smallholder farmers' decision to participate in agro-processing industry in Gauteng province, South Africa. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 5(1), 1664193.
  28. Sulewski, P., Wąs, A., Kobus, P., Pogodzińska, K., Szymańska, M., & Sosulski, T. (2020). Farmers' Attitudes towards Risk—An Empirical Study from Poland. *Agronomy*, 10(10), 1555.
  29. Bizikova, L., Nkonya, E., Minah, M., Hanisch, M., Turaga, R. M. R., Speranza, C. I., ... & Timmers, B. (2020). A scoping review of the contributions of farmers' organizations to smallholder agriculture. *Nature Food*, 1(10), 620–630.
  30. THUY, T. T. T., BINH, N. T., HUONG, T. T. T., THUY, N. T., PHUONG, D. T., KHANH, T. T. B., & YEN, N. T. (2021). An Empirical Study of Commodity Market Patterns in Ethnic Minorities and Mountainous Areas: Evidence from Vietnam. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(11), 107-120.
  31. Huynh, T. L. D., Burggraf, T., & Nasir, M. A. (2020). Financialisation of natural resources & instability caused by risk transfer in commodity markets. *Resources Policy*, 66, 101620.
  32. Perera, D., Białkowski, J., & Bohl, M. T. (2020). Does the tea market require a futures contract? Evidence from the Sri Lankan tea market. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 54, 101290.
  33. Cariappa, A. A., Acharya, K. K., Adhav, C. A., Sendhil, R., & Ramasundaram, P. (2021). COVID-19 induced lockdown effects on agricultural commodity prices and consumer behaviour in India—Implications for food loss and waste management. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 101160.
  34. Vijayakumar, A. N. (2020). Coconut farmer producer organisation in socio-economic transformation of rural economy in Karnataka. *International Journal of Agricultural Resources, Governance and Ecology*, 16(3-4), 301-319.
  35. Das, R., & Mandal, S. (2021). Determinants of Smallholders' Participation in Farmer Producer Companies—Insights from West Bengal, India. *Decision*, 48(3), 327-342.
  36. Aggarwal, A. (2020). Revisiting the land use assumptions in forest carbon projects through a case from India. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 267, 110673.
  37. Mishra, A. K., Kumar, A., Joshi, P. K., & D'Souza, A. (2018). Production risks, risk preference and contract farming: Impact on food security in India. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*, 40(3), 353-378.
  38. Bitzer, V., & Marazzi, A. (2021). Southern sustainability initiatives in agricultural value chains: a question of enhanced inclusiveness? The case of Trustea in India. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 38(2), 381-395.
  39. Dr. N Krishnamoorthy, Mahabub Basha S. An empirical study on construction portfolio with reference to BSE. *Int J Finance Manage Econ* 2022;5(1):110-114. DOI: 10.33545/26179210.2022.v5.i1.130
  40. Balkrishna, A., Phour, M., Thapliyal, M., & Arya, V. (2021). Current Status of Indian Agriculture: Problems, Challenges and Solution. Vol 13, No 3, page 361 – 374.
  41. Hagos, A., Dibaba, R., Bekele, A., & Alemu, D. (2020). Determinants of market participation among smallholder mango producers in Assosa Zone of Benishangul Gumuz Region in Ethiopia. *International Journal of Fruit Science*, 20(3), 323-349.
  42. Zhang, J., Mishra, A. K., & Hirsch, S. (2021). Market-oriented agriculture and farm performance: Evidence from rural China. *Food*

- Policy, 100, 102023.
43. Rana, M., Maharjan, D., & Lall, K. (2021). Analysis of Factors Affecting Market Participation By Brinjal Farmers: A Case Study of Jashore and Narsingdi District, Bangladesh.
  44. Salignac, F., Bhatia, B., & Tallontire, A. (2021). The nature of fair trade exchanges and their outcomes: Producer voices in Vietnam and India. *Business Strategy & Development*.
  45. Worku, A., & Ülkü, M. A. (2021). Analyzing the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on vegetable market supply in Northwestern Ethiopia. *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies*.
  46. Basha, M., Singh, A. P., Rafi, M., Rani, M. I., & Sharma, N. M. (2020). Cointegration and Causal relationship between Pharmaceutical sector and Nifty—An empirical Study. *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*, 17(6), 8835-8842.