## DIRECTION OF AGRICULTURAL EXPORTS IN INDIA - AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

## <sup>1</sup>Dr. P. SEKAR, <sup>2</sup>Dr.MATHANRAJ. T

 <sup>1</sup>Associate professor, PG and Research, Department of Economics, Madurai DiraviumThaumanavar Hindu College, Affiliated to ManonmaniamSundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India.
<sup>2</sup>Researcher and Assistant professor, Research Institute for social science, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India Email id: drmathantraj@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

Exports of a nation assume an indispensable part in the development of an economy. The improvement of this area is a sector of the economic strength of a country. It helps in raising usefulness, creating business and furthermore upholds different areas of the Indian economy.Following is the main objective of the present study (i) To analyze the trends and growth rate of direction of India's agricultural exports during 1991-92 to 2016-17.(ii)To study the growth performance of India's Export to Various major agricultural commodities. This study had been carried out with the help of the secondary data only.Secondary data collected from various published sources of Government Agencies. Secondary data and information have been collected from various sources like Handbook of Statistics on Indian economy, Reserve Bank of India (RBI), Govt. of India. The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics such as tables. Linear Model has been applied to analyze the trends and growth rate of direction of India's agricultural exports during 1991-92 to 2016-17. Semi Log Model and Compound Growth Rate have been applied to study the growth performance of India's Export to Various major agricultural commodities. The analysis is based on the 26 years starting from 1991-92 to 2016-17. The analysis was done by the use of SPSS and Excel.

Keywords: Agricultural exports, Semi Log Model, Compound Growth Rate.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

External trade assumed a conspicuous part India's monetary development. Truth be told, the development of exports earns valuable foreign exchange for the country. Exports are the primary assets for a non-industrial nation to accomplish a quick development. Trades help in developing the size of the market expanding the global division of work and specialization and size of creation. Agriculture assumes a fundamental part in India's economy. Over 58 per cent of the rural households depend on agriculture as their principal means of livelihood. Agriculture, alongside fisheries and ranger service, is probably the biggest supporter of the Gross Domestic Product (Hari Babu 2017).Exports are a noticeable job of a country's public economy, and contribute considerably to the financial government assistance of individuals and the advancement of assets. Economies of scale and worldwide specialization as likewise the products of logical and innovative advancement on the planet become all the more effectively open through the unfamiliar exchange. Agricultural exportsof India had come to involve a crown position in the worldwide market throughout the long term (Agarwal 1975). Today, India is a significant provider of a few

agrarian items like tea, coffee, rice, flavors, cashew, oil meals, fresh fruits, fresh vegetables, meat and its preparations and Miscellaneous products to the worldwide market. Nonetheless, the nation faces vicious contest from other key part in the field, both the current and new contestants in the field. Incidentally, the significant test is from inside Asia itself where nations like China, Malaysia, Philippines, Thailand, and Singapore, United provinces of America, United Kingdom and Indonesia among others represent a major danger to Indian agricultural products (RajKumar and Varsha Dadhich, 2013). This paper tries to analyze the growth performance of India's export to Commoditywise direction of direction of India's agricultural exports during 1991-92 to 2016-17.

#### SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

Foreign Trade is the driving force of monetary development in any country. Following the liberalization of Indian economy in 1991, exports and imports of India have been developing massively. Be that as it may, the worth of imports is as yet bigger than the worth of exports. Thus there is a need to help the development pace of India. The effect of trade reforms on exports upgrades the degree of exports of India. In 1991, Export performance is one of the critical goals of liberalization reforms in India. At present, global trade is a vital part of development approach and it can be valuable instrument of poverty reduction create more employment, increases output, earns foreign currency, financial development, and mobilizes of domestic resources and saving optimally so that the economic benefits reach to the wider group of people (Mathanraj.T 2019). The review brings into light the growth rate of direction of India's agricultural exports. It would be useful for the policymaker to establish appropriate strategies for empowering exchange. This study will likewise be useful for the upcoming researcher andsocial scientist in the field of International economics.

#### **OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY**

Following is the main objective of the present study

- To analyze the trends and growth rate of direction of India's agricultural exports during 1991-92 to 2016-17.
- To study the growth performance of India's Export to Various major agricultural commodities.

## **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

This study had been carried out with the help of the secondary data only.Secondary data collected from various published sources of Government Agencies. Secondary data and information have been collected from various sources like Handbook of Statistics on Indian economy, Reserve Bank of India (RBI), Govt. of India. The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics such as tables. Linear Model has been applied to analyze the trends and growth rate of direction of India's agricultural exports during 1991-92 to 2016-17. Semi Log Model and Compound Growth Rate have been applied to study the growth performance of India's Export to Various major agricultural commodities. The analysis is based on the 26 years starting from 1991-92 to 2016-17. The analysis was done by the use of SPSS and Excel.

#### **TOOLS OF ANALYSIS**

The following statistical tools had been used to analyze the collected data to enable a meaningful interpretation of the results obtained. The researcher had analyzed the collected data with the basic objectives of the study in mind. Some of the tools involved in the study include

- 1. The Time Series Analysis
- 2. Linear Trend model
- 3. The Semi-log Model

#### 4. 't' test

#### LINEAR MODEL

Further the researcher has used percentage and the simple linear growth rate model. The linear growth rate model

## $Y = a + b_t$

Where, Y - Dependent variable, T - Time, 'a' and 'b' are the parameters. The linear growth rate is obtained from the 'b' value.

# SEMI LOG MODEL AND COMPOUND GROWTH RATE

Further the researcher has used the Semi log model, in order to compute the Instantaneous Growth Rate and the Compounded Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) the Semi-log is used and was computed using the following models.

If  $Y_t = Variable$  at time t and  $Y_t = initial$  year value of the variable, simple compounding is explained as

 $logY=a+b_t$ CGR= (Anti log b-1)100 'T'TEST b<sub>1</sub> - b<sub>2</sub> t = ------

 $(S.E. b_1)^{2+} (S.E. b_2)^2$ 

Here  $b_1$  represented the slope coefficient obtained in the regression model, which was estimated for the study period and  $b_2$  was the slope coefficient obtained in the regression model estimated for the study period S.E. is the standard error.

#### DIRECTION OF AGRICULTURAL EXPORTS IN INDIA- AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

The Direction of exports of some of the important primary, ores and minerals, manufacturing goods was examined. Exports to countries which had the major values were considered for this analysis. The major export commodities included Tea, Coffee, Rice Products, Tobacco, Spices, Cashew Oil, Meals, Marine Products and Iron Ore Products. The Table No. 1.1 shows that the Direction of agricultural Exports in India during 1991-92 to 2016-17.

TABLE NO.1.1 TREND AND GROWTH RATE OF TEA PRODUCTSIN 1991-92 TO 2016-17(US\$ MILLION)

Variables	Linear Mod	Semi Log	Model			CCD			
variables	a	b	t	R <sup>2</sup>	a	b	t	R <sup>2</sup>	CGK
Cormonu	16.309	0.845	6 200	0.622	2.896	0.029	6 1 1 1	0.611	2.0
Germany	(2.075)	(0.134)	0.290	0.022	(0.072)	(0.005)	0.144	0.011	2.0
Iron	-12.860	3.570	6 5 1 7	0.641	1.346	0.117	1 6 4 0	0.474	12.5
ITall	(8.422)	(0.545)	6.547	0.041	(0.390)	(0.025)	4.049	0.4/4	12.3
Iraq	10.626	-0.097	-0.285	0.103	-	-	-	-	-

	(5.239)	(0.339)							
Japan	5.899	0.720	8.958	0.770	2.036	0.047	9.683	0.796	4.8
Kazakhstan	-4.198	2.060	7 674	0.710	-	-	_	_	_
Kazakiistaii	(4.145)	(0.268)	7.074	0.710	_		_	_	_
Poland	22.414 (2.373)	-0.586 (0.154)	-3.811	0.377	3.038 (0.175)	-0.036 (0.011)	-3.173	0.296	9.6
Russia	134.519 (18.201)	-1.976 (1.179)	-1.677	0.105	4.773 (0.162)	-0.013 (0.010)	-1.225	0.059	9.8
U.A.E.	27.980 (5.977)	1.947 (0.387)	5.031	0.513	3.335 (0.128)	0.043 (0.008)	5.132	0.523	4.3
U.K.	39.745 (6.231)	1.213 (0.403)	3.006	0.274	3.720 (0.098)	0.020 (0.006)	3.124	0.289	2.0
U.S.A	-1.564 (2.518)	2.773 (0.163)	17.008	0.923	2.191 (0.060)	0.088 (0.004)	22.346	0.954	9.1
Others	18.882 (15.197)	8.521 (0.984)	8.659	0.758	3.891 (0.115)	0.063 (0.007)	8.541	0.752	6.5
Total	257.790 (45.020)	18.989 (2.915)	6.514	0.639	5.702 (0.085)	0.036 (0.005)	6.526	0.640	3.6

From the above Table No. 1.1 it could be known that the t values of the trend co-efficient were found to be statistically significant at one per cent level. The R<sup>2</sup> values were also found to be satisfactory. Among the India's export to tea exporting countries, Iran had the highest annual average export value of US \$3.57 million per year. USA had the second largest annual average export value of US \$2.77 million per annum. The third rank was secured by Kazakhstan which had the average annual export value of US \$2.06 million per year followed by UAE (US \$1.94 million), UK (US \$1.21 million), Germany (US \$0.84 million), Japan (US \$0.72 million), Poland (US \$-0.58 million) and Russia (US \$-1.97 million) per annum. Iraq had the lowest annual average export value of US -0.09

million per year. As far as the growth rate of the exports of tea exporting countries concerned, Iran had the top most growth rate of 11.7 per cent per year, USA had the second largest export growth rate of 8.8 per cent per year Japan secured third place in export with the growth rate of 4.7 per cent per year followed by UAE (4.3 Per cent) Germany (2.9 Per cent) UK (2.0 Per cent) and Russia (-1.3 Per cent) per year. The lowest export growth rate was witnessed in Poland which had -3.6 per cent per annum. Regarding the Compound growth rate of exports, Iran had the highest compound growth rate of 12.5 per cent per annum Germany and UK had the lowest compound growth rate of 2.0 per cent per annum.

## TABLE NO.1.2 TREND AND GROWTH RATE OF COFFEE PRODUCTS

IN 1991-92 TO 2016-17 (US\$ MILLION)										
Variables	Linear Mod	lel			Semi Log	Model			CCD	
variables	а	b	t	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	a	b	t	R <sup>2</sup>	UGK	
Belgium	-5.924	2.207	8 703	0.763	0.662	0.148	7 717	0.713	15.0	
Deigiuiii	(3.876)	(0.251)	0.795	0.703	(0.295)	(0.019)	/./1/	0.715	13.9	
Germony	26.722	1.777	2 1 2 2	0.200	3.284	0.038	3 040	0.278	28	
Germany	(8.759)	(0.567)	5.155	0.290	(0.192)	(0.012)	3.040	0.278	5.0	
Italy	-7.516	7.215	0.221	0.784	2.962	0.093	8 072	0.770	0.8	
Italy	(11.941)	(0.773)	9.551	0.764	(0.161)	(0.010)	0.972	0.770	9.0	
Latvia	1.194	0.169	2 052	0.280						
Latvia	(0.854)	(0.055)	5.055	0.280	-	-	-	-	-	
Nothonlonda	6.072	-0.011	0.124	0.121	4.447	1.010	64 572	0.017		
Netherlands	(1.375)	(0.089)	-0.124	0.121	(1.064)	(0.016)	04.372	0.017		
Duggio	56.075	0.233	0.454	0.100	51.039	1.007	114.22	0.027		
Kussia	(7.948)	(0.515)	0.434	0.109	(6.894)	(0.009)	114.52	0.027		
Spain	4.248	0.589	1 201	0.422						
Spain	(2.124)	(0.138)	4.284	0.433	-	-	-	-	-	

Switzerland	2.747 (2.747)	0.210 (0.051)	4.095	0.411	0.558 (0.318)	0.070 (0.021)	3.377	0.322	
U.K.	1.413 (0.789)	0.221 (0.051)	4.318	0.437	0.645 (0.186)	0.050 (0.012)	4.123	0.415	1.3
U.S.A	22.138 (5.894)	-0.079 (0.382)	-0.208	0.002	2.713 (0.314)	0.006 (0.020)	0.293	0.004	2.0
Others	-7.436 (27.222)	13.919 )1.763)	7.897	0.722	3.891 (0.159)	0.079 (0.010)	7.673	0.710	8.2
Total	99.718 (58.955)	26.451 (3.817)	6.929	0.667	5.152 (0.142)	0.061  (0.009)	6.596	0.644	6.3

From the above Table No. 1.2 it could be known that the t values of the trend co-efficient were found to be statistically significant at one per cent level. The  $R^2$  values were also found to be satisfactory. Among the India's export to coffee exporting countries, Italy had the highest annual average export value of US \$7.21 million per year. Belgium had the second largest annual average export value of US\$2.20 million per annum. The third rank was secured by Germany which had the average annual export value of US \$1.77 million per year. Spain (US \$0.589 million), Russia (US \$0.233 million), U.K (US \$0.22 million), Switzerland (US \$0.21 million), Latvia (US \$0.16 million) and Netherlands (US \$-0.01 million) USA had the lowest annual average export value of US \$ -0.07 per year. As far as the growth rate of the exports of coffee manufacturing exporting countries concerned, Belgium had the top most growth rate of 14.8 per cent per year. Italy had the second largest export growth rate of 9.3 per cent per year. Switzerland secured third place in export growth rate 7.0 per cent per year followed by U.K (5.0 per cent), Germany (3.8 per cent), Netherlands (1.0 per cent) and Russia (0.7 per cent) per year. The lowest export growth rate was witnessed in USA which had 0.6per cent per annum. Regarding the Compound growth rate of coffee exports, Belgium had the highest compound growth rate of 15.9 per cent per annum. U.K had the lowest compound growth rate of 1.3 per cent per annum.

TABLE NO.1.3 TREND AND GROWTH RATE OF RICE PRODUCTS IN 1991-92 TO 2016-17(US\$ MILLION)

Variables	Linear Moo	lel			Semi Log Model				CCD
variables	a	b	t	R <sup>2</sup>	a	b	t	R <sup>2</sup>	UGK
Bangladesh	87.161 (70.142)	4.105 (4.542)	.904	0.033	-	-	-	-	-
France	1.562 (1.906)	0.524 (0.123)	4.248	0.429	1.240 (0.382)	0.043 (0.025)	1.753	0.114	4.4
Kuwait	-38.320 (19.742)	10.859 (1.278)	8.495	0.750	2.630 (0.161)	0.120 (0.010)	11.522	0.847	12.7
Saudi Arabia	-19.099 (63.471)	37.164 (4.110)	9.043	0.773	4.857 (0.098)	0.082 (0.006)	12.889	0.874	8.6
Singapore	-15.484 (7.263)	2.797 (0.470)	5.948	0.596	0.418 (0.236)	0.147 (0.015)	9.627	0.794	15.8
South Africa	17.447 (19.879)	3.959 (1.287)	3.076	0.283	-	-	-	-	-
U.A.E.	-138.349 (65.617)	27.981 (4.249)	6.585	0.644	2.651 (0.272)	0.152 (0.018)	8.631	0.756	16.4
U.K.	3.204 (10.263)	5.028 (0.665)	7.566	0.705	3.153 (.109)	0.069 (0.007)	9.764	0.799	7.2
U.S.A	-14.313 (13.531)	5.329 (0.876)	6.082	0.607	2.370 (0.233)	0.096 (0.015)	6.349	0.627	10.0
Others	-959.98 (405.94)	158.57 (26.286)	6.033	0.603	4.065 (0.265)	0.159 (0.017)	9.279	0.782	17.3
Total	-1124.72 (520.01)	264.12 (33.672)	7.844	0.719	5.704 (0.148)	0.121 (0.010)	12.649	0.870	12.9

The Table No. 1.3 gives that the t values of the trend co-efficient were found to be statistically significant at one per cent level. The R<sup>2</sup> values were also found to be satisfactory. Among the India's export to rice exporting countries, Saudi Arabia had the highest annual average export value of US \$37.16 million per year. U.A.E had the second largest annual average export value of US \$27.981 million per annum. The third rank was secured by Kuwait which had the average annual export value of US \$10.859million per year followed by U.S.A (5.329 million), U.K (5.028 million), Bangladesh (4.10 million), South Africa (3.95 million) and Singapore (2.79 million) per annum. France had the lowest annual average export value of US\$ 0.52 million per year. As far as the growth rate of the exports of rice exporting countries concerned, U.A.E had the top most growth rate of 15.2 per cent per year. Singapore had the second largest export growth rate of 14.7 per cent per year. Kuwait secured the third place in export growth rate 12.0 per cent per year followed by U.S.A (9.6 Per cent), Saudi Arabia (8.2 Per cent) and U.K (6.9 Per cent) per annum. The lowest export growth rate was witnessed in France which had 4.3 per cent per annum. Regarding the Compound growth rate of rice exports, UAE had the highest compound growth rate of 16.4 per cent per annum and France had the lowest compound growth rate of 4.4 per cent per annum.

TABLE NO.1.4 TREND AND GROWTH RATE OF TOBACCO PRODUCTS IN 1991-92 TO 2016-17(US\$ MILLION)

Variablas	Linear Model			Semi Log	Model		CCD	
variables	a b	t	R <sup>2</sup>	a	b	t	R <sup>2</sup>	CGK
Dolgium	-37.688 8.114	0.047	0.772	1.786	0.141	10.200	0.912	15.1
Deigiuili	(13.850) 0.897)	9.047	0.775	(0.213)	(0.014)	10.200	0.815	13.1
Germany	7.557 0.939	3 8/1	0.381	1.987	0.061	4 083	0.410	63
Germany	(3.776) 0.244)	5.041	0.381	(0.231)	(0.015)	4.005	0.410	0.5
Netherlands	-5.462 1.707	8 135	0 734	0.764	0.122	10.014	0.807	13.0
Inculei lalleis	(3.241) 0.210)	0.155	0.754	(0.189)	(0.012)	10.014	0.007	15.0
Russia	29.421 0.368	1 169	0.054	3.177	0.021	1 739	0.112	2.1
Russia	(4.858) (0.315)	1.107	0.054	(0.183)	(0.012)	1.757	0.112	2.1
Saudi Arabia	-3.409 1.619	5 878	0 590	1.629	0.075	1 739	0.112	78
Saudi / Habia	(4.255) 0.276)	5.070	0.570	(0.168)	(0.011	1.757	0.112	7.0
Singapore	-1.395 0.942	11 107	0.837	.633	0.109	10 847	0.831	11.5
Singupore	(1.309) 0.085)	11.107	0.057	(0.155)	(0.109)	10.017	0.051	11.5
UAE	-18.906 4.118	10 952	0.833	1.275	0.137	27 528	0 969	14.6
0.71.12.	(5.807) 0.376)	10.952	0.055	(0.077)	(0.005)	27.320	0.909	11.0
UΚ	26.528 -0.501	-2 251	0 174	3.246	028	-2 307	0.182	27
0.11	(3.434) 0.222)	2.251	0.171	(0.184)	(0.012)	2.507	0.102	2.7
USA	-1.614 1.328	12 797	0.872	0.776	0.012	10 599	0.824	13.0
0.5.71	(1.603) 0.104)	12.191	0.072	(0.178)	(0.012)	10.099	0.021	15.0
Yemen	-7.015 2.775	2 833	0.251	_	-	_	-	-
Republic	(15.129) 0.980)	2.055	0.201					
Others	-85.759 32.588	10.356	0.817	3.235	0.123	13 312	0.881	13.1
Others	(32.588) 2.110)	10.550	0.017	(0.143)	(0.009)	13.312	0.001	13.1
T-4-1	-92.076 41.177	10 799	0.920	4.544	0.097	12 505	0.967	10.1
Total	(58.947) 3.817)	10.788	0.829	(0.119)	(0.008)	12.303	0.807	10.1
Russia Saudi Arabia Singapore U.A.E. U.K. U.S.A Yemen Republic Others Total	$\begin{array}{c cccc} 29.421 & 0.368 \\ (4.858) & (0.315) \\ \hline -3.409 & 1.619 \\ (4.255) & 0.276) \\ \hline -1.395 & 0.942 \\ (1.309) & 0.085) \\ \hline -18.906 & 4.118 \\ (5.807) & 0.376) \\ \hline 26.528 & -0.501 \\ (3.434) & 0.222) \\ \hline -1.614 & 1.328 \\ (1.603) & 0.104) \\ \hline -7.015 & 2.775 \\ (15.129) & 0.980) \\ \hline -85.759 & 32.588 \\ (32.588) & 2.110) \\ \hline -92.076 & 41.177 \\ (58.947) & 3.817) \\ \end{array}$	1.169     5.878     11.107     10.952     -2.251     12.797     2.833     10.356     10.788	0.054 0.590 0.837 0.833 0.174 0.872 0.251 0.817 0.829	3.177 (0.183) 1.629 (0.168) .633 (0.155) 1.275 (0.077) 3.246 (0.184) 0.776 (0.178) - 3.235 (0.143) 4.544 (0.119)	0.021 (0.012) 0.075 (0.011 0.109 (0.109) 0.137 (0.005) 028 (0.012) 0.012 (0.012) - 0.123 (0.009) 0.097 (0.008)	1.739     1.739     10.847     27.528     -2.307     10.599     -     13.312     12.505	0.112 0.112 0.831 0.969 0.182 0.824 - 0.881 0.867	2.1 7.8 11.5 14.6 2.7 13.0 - 13.1 10.1

Source: Calculated by the researcher (Figures in bracket indicate Standard Error)

Table No. 1.4 depicts that it could be known that the t values of the trend co-efficient were found to be statistically significant at one per cent level. The  $R^2$  values were also found to be satisfactory. Among the India's export to tobacco exporting countries, Belgium had the highest annual average export value of US \$8.11million per year. U.A.E had the second largest annual average export value of US \$4.11 million per annum. The third rank was secured by Yemen (Republic) which had the average annual export value of US \$2.77 million per year followed by Netherlands (1.70 million), U.S.A (1.32 million), Saudi Arabia (1.61 million), Singapore (0.94 million ), Germany (0.93 million , Russia (0.36 million) and U.K. -0.50 million per annum. U.K had the lowest annual average export value of US \$ -0.50 million per year. As far as the growth rate of the exports of tobacco exporting countries concerned, Belgium had the top most growth rate of 14.1per cent per year. U.A.E had the second largest export growth rate of 13.7 per cent per year. Netherlands secured the third place in export growth rate with 12.2 per cent per annum followed by Singapore (10.9 per cent), Saudi Arabia (7.5per cent), Germany (6.1 per cent), Russia

(2.1 per cent) and U.S.A (1.2 per cent) per year. The lowest export growth rate was witnessed in U.K which had -.2.8 per cent per annum.Regarding the Compound growth rate of exports, Belgium had the highest compound growth rate of 15.1 per cent per annum and Russia had the lowest compound growth rate of 2.1 per cent per annum.

TABL	E NO.1.5 TREND AN	D GROWTH RATE	<b>OF SPICES PRODUCTS</b>
IN 1991-92 TO 2016-17	(US\$ MILLION)		

Variablas	Variables Linear Model Semi Log Model				CCD				
variables	Α	b	t	R <sup>2</sup>	a	b	t	R <sup>2</sup>	CGK
Bangladesh	-10.745	2.891	7 659	0.710	1.101	0.128	7 743	0 714	13.6
Dangiadesii	(5.829)	(0.377)	7.037	0.710	(0.254)	(0.016)	7.745	0.714	15.0
Germany	-14.776	3.859	8 946	0 769	1.522	0.123	15 615	0.910	13.1
Germany	(6.663)	(0.431)	0.910	0.707	(0.122)	(0.008)	15.015	0.910	15.1
Ianan	1.466	2.112	12 504	0.867	2.065	0.084	13 291	0.880	8.8
Japan	(2.608)	(0.169)	12.504	0.007	(0.098)	(0.006)	13.271	0.000	0.0
Saudi Arabia	-20.606	3.817	8 686	0 7 5 9	0.958	0.140	17 383	0.926	15.0
Saddi / Habia	(6.786)	(0.439)	0.000	0.757	(0.124)	(0.008)	17.305	0.720	15.0
Singapore	-8.950	2.938	5 4 5 9	0 5 5 4	1.567	0.106	8 583	0 754	11.2
Singapore	(8.311)	(0.538)	5.457	0.554	(0.191)	(0.012)	0.505	0.754	11.2
Snain	-6.525	1.543	8 4 5 1	0 748	0.365	0.133	11 335	0.843	14 3
Spain	(2.820)	(0.183)	0.451	0.740	(0.182)	(0.012)	11.555	0.045	14.5
Sri Lanka	-14.776	3.533	10 604	0.824	1.298	0.128	13 592	0.885	137
511 Luika	(5.145)	(0.333)	10.001	0.021	(0.146)	(0.009)	15.572	0.005	15.7
ΠΔF	-18.857	4.955	118 234	0.886	1.891	0.116	13 691	0.886	123
0.71.12.	(8.694)	(0.563)	110.231	0.000	(0.131)	(0.008)	15.071	0.000	12.5
ΠK	-15.354	4.872	11 732	0.852	2.063	0.112	19 336	0.940	11.8
0.1.	(6.413)	(0.415)	11.752	0.052	(0.089)	(0.006)	17.550	0.740	11.0
USA	-46.494	17.435	8 774	0 762	3.560	0.100	11 144	0.838	10.6
0.5.7	(30.687)	(1.987)	0.774	0.702	(0.139)	(0.009)	11.177	0.050	10.0
Yemen	1.739	0.330	2 562	0.215					
Republic	(1.992)	(0.129)	2.302	0.213	-	-	-	-	-
0.1	-378.869	68.020			3.573	0.152	1 = 0.01	0.00	1.6.4
Others	117.082	(7.581	8.972	0.770	(0.136)	(0.009)	17.331	0.926	16.4
	-534 500	115 975			4 723	0.128			
Total	187 242	12 124	9.565	0.792	(0.118)	(0.008)	16.767	0.921	13.7
	107.272	12.127			(0.110)	(0.000)			

Source: Calculated by the researcher (Figures in bracket indicate Standard Error)

From the above Table No. 1.5 it could be known that the t values of the trend co-efficient were found to be statistically significant at one per cent level. The R<sup>2</sup> values were also found to be satisfactory. Among the India's export to spices exporting countries, U.S.A had the highest annual average export value of US \$17.43million per year. U.A.E had the second largest annual average export value of US \$4.95million per annum. The third rank was secured by U.K which had the average annual export value of US \$4.87million per year followed by Saudi Arabia (3.81 million) Sri Lanka (3.53 million) Singapore (2.93 million) Bangladesh (2.89 million) Japan (2.11 million) and Spain (1.54 million) per annum. Yemen (Republic) had the lowest annual average export value of US \$-0.63 and US 0.3

million per year. As far as the growth rate of the exports of spices exporting countries concerned, Saudi Arabia had the top most growth rate of 14.0 per cent per year, Spain had the second largest export growth rate of 13.3 per cent per year, Bangladesh secured the third place in export growth rate 12.8per cent per year followed by Sri Lanka (12.8 per cent), Germany (12.3 per cent), U.A.E (11.6 per cent), U.K (11.2 per cent), Singapore (10.6 per cent) and U.S.A (10.0 per cent)per year. The lowest export growth rate was witnessed in Japan which had 8.4 per cent per annum. Regarding the Compound growth rate of spices exports, Saudi Arabia had the highest compound growth rate of 15.0 per cent per annum and Japan had the lowest compound growth rate of 8.8 per cent per annum.

IN 1771-72 TO 2010-17(US\$ WILLION)										
IN 1991-92 IVVariablesCanadaFranceIsraelItalyJapanNetherlandsSaudi ArabiaU.A.E.U.K.U.S.AOthers	Linear Mod	lel			Semi Log	Model			CCD	
variables	a	b	t	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	a	b	t	R <sup>2</sup>	UGK	
Canada	3.741	0.061	1 1 2 5	0.050	1.100	0.022	1 704	0.100	2.2	
Canada	(0.842)	(0.055)	1.125	0.030	(0.203)	(0.013)	1./04	0.108	2.3	
Enemos	0.744	0.916	14 6 4 1	0.800	0.556	0.123	7.041	0.674	12.1	
France	(0.966)	(0.063)	14.041	0.899	(0.270)	(0.017)	/.041	0.074	13.1	
Icroal	4.665	-0.004	109	0.100	1.381	0.006	566	0.012	0.6	
Israel	(0.607)	(0.039	108	0.100	(0.165)	(0.011)	.300	0.015	0.0	
Itoly	0.919	0.269	5 018	0 503	-0.219	0.104	5 083	0.500	11.0	
Italy	(0.701)	(0.045)	5.910	0.393	(0.269)	(0.017)	5.985	0.399	11.0	
Ianan	15.100	1.304	5 136	0.524	2.956	0.034	1 532	0.461	3.1	
Japan	(3.920)	(0.254)	5.150	0.324	(0.115)	(0.007)	4.332	0.401	5.4	
Netherlands	76.890	586	1 441	0.080	4.329	-0.009	1 527	0.080	01	
Inculeitallus	(6.285)	(0.407)	-1.441	0.080	(0.091)	(0.006)	-1.327	0.089	9.1	
Saudi Arabia	-12.849	2.381	0 175	0 780	0.248	0.156	26 300	0.967	16.8	
Saudi Alabia	(3.881)	(0.251)	9.475	0.789	(0.091)	(0.006)	20.399	0.907	10.8	
ΠΛΕ	-33.944	6.789	10 704	0.820	1.572	0.143	21 600	0.051	15 /	
U.A.L.	(9.713)	(0.629)	10.794	0.829	(0.102)	(0.007)	21.099	0.931	13.4	
ΠK	24.497	-0.152	786	0.025	3.117	-0.004	0.481	010	9.6	
0.1.	(2.990)	(0.194)	780	0.023	(0.132)	(0.009)	-0.401	.010	7.0	
USA	131.243	4.316	3 709	364	4.840	0.027	7 808	0.718	27	
0.5.A	(17.968)	(1.163)	5.709	.304	(0.098)	(0.006)	7.000	0.718	2.1	
Others	12.808	8.168	7 000	710	3.702	0.068	6 806	650	7 1	
Others	(16.156)	(1.046)	7.808	./18	(0.155)	(0.010)	0.800	.039	/.1	
<b>T</b> + 1	223.899	23.458	11.002	0.027	5.629	0.044	10 40 (	0.67	4.5	
Total	(32.690)	(2.117)	11.082	0.837	(0.055)	(0.004)	12.496	.867	4.5	

TABLE NO.1.6 TREND AND GROWTH RATE OF CASHEW PRODUCTS2 TO 2016-17(US\$ MILLION)

From the above Table No. 1.7 it could be known that the t values of the trend co-efficient were found to be statistically significant at one per cent level. The R<sup>2</sup> values were also found to be satisfactory. Among the India's export to cashew exporting countries, U.A.E had the highest annual average export value of US \$6.78million per year. U.S.A had the second largest annual average export value of US \$4.31million per annum. The third rank was secured by Saudi Arabia which had the average annual export value of US \$2.38million per year followed by Japan (1.30 million), France (0.91 million), Italy (0.26 million), Canada (0.06 million),UK (-0.15 million), Netherlands (-0.58 million) and Israel (-0.004 million) per annum. Netherlands had the lowest annual average export

value of US \$ -0.58million per year. As far as the growth rate of the exports of cashew exporting countries concerned, Saudi Arabia had the top most growth rate of 15.6 per cent per year. U.A.E had the second largest export growth rate of 14.3per cent per year. France secured the third place in export growth rate 12.3per cent per year followed by Italy (10.4 Per cent), Japan (3.4Per cent), U.S.A (2.7 Per cent), Canada (2.2 Per cent), Netherlands(-0.9 Per cent) and Israel (0.6 Per cent) per year. The lowest export growth rate was witnessed in UK which had -0.4 per cent per annum. Regarding the Compound growth rate of cashew exports, Saudi Arabia had the highest compound growth rate of 16.8 per cent per annum. Israel had the lowest compound growth rate of 0.6 per cent per annum.

TABLE NO.1.7TREND	AND GROWTH R	ATE OF OIL ME	ALS PRODUCTS
IN1001 02 TO 2016 17/USS MILLION			

111771-72 10 2010-17(0.5\$ 1011LLIO1)										
Variables	Linear Mod	lel			Semi Log	Model			CCD	
variables	а	b	t	R <sup>2</sup>	a	b	t	R <sup>2</sup>	UGK	
Dangladash	-44.583	8.935	0 155	0.725						
Daligiauesii	(16.920)	(1.096)	0.133	0.755	-	-	-	-	-	
Indonesia	76.455	1.390	0.065	0.027	4.334	0.005	0.025	0.022	1.0	
muonesia	(22.247)	(1.441)	0.905	0.037	(0.316)	(0.020)	0.023	0.033	1.0	
Ionon	-16.086	9.562	2 078	0 282	2.476	0.113	4 421	0.440	12.0	
Japan	(47.968)	(3.106)	5.078	0.203	(0.395)	(0.026)	4.421	0.449	12.0	

Pakistan	-21.093 (28.965)	6.356 (1.876)	3.389	0.324	2.406 (0.492)	0.074 (0.032)	2.332	0.185	7.7
Russia	11.157 (2.124)	492 (0.138)	3.579	0.348	-	-	-	-	-
Singapore	127.763 (17.539)	-5.024 (1.136)	-4.423	0.449	5.293 (0.321)	-0.129 (0.021)	-6.214	0.617	8.7
Sri Lanka	1.085 (5.374)	1.777 (0.348)	5.106	0.521	1.834 (0.192)	0.082 (0.012)	6.581	0.643	8.5
Thailand	28.438 (24.656)	3.970 (1.597)	2.486	0.205	3.581 (0.365)	0.036 (0.024)	1.527	0.089	3.7
Vietnam	-22.280 (61.717)	12.714 (3.996)	3.181	0.297	-	-	-	-	-
Others	57.171 (123.077)	25.720 (7.970)	3.227	0.303	4.989 (0.273)	0.053 (0.018)	3.007	0.274	5.5
Total	256.153 (271.125)	66.463 (17.556)	3.786	0.374	6.067 (0.224)	0.056 (0.014)	3.839	0.380	5.7

The Table No.1.7 gives that the t values of the trend co-efficient were found to be statistically significant at one per cent level. The R<sup>2</sup> values were also found to be satisfactory. Among the oil meals products exporting countries, Vietnam had the highest annual average export value of US \$12.71million per year. Japan had the second largest annual average export value of US \$9.56 million per annum. The third rank was secured by Bangladesh which had the average annual export value of US \$8.93million per year followed by Pakistan (6.35 million), Thailand (3.97 million), Sri Lanka (1.77 million), Indonesia (1.39 million) and Singapore (-5.02 million) per annum. Russia had the lowest annual average export value of US\$ -0.49million per year. As far as the growth rate of the exports of oil meals exporting countries concerned, Japan had the top most growth rate of 11.3per cent per year. Sri Lanka had the second largest export growth rate of 8.2per cent per year. Pakistan secured the third place in export growth rate 7.4per cent per year followed by Thailand (3.6 Per cent), Indonesia (0.5 Per cent) per annum. The lowest export growth rate was witnessed in Singapore which had -12.9 per cent per annum. Regarding the Compound growth rate of oil meal exports, Japan had the highest compound growth rate of 12.0 per cent per annum and Indonesia had the lowest compound growth rate of 1.0 per cent per annum.

Variables	Linear Model				Semi Log Model				CCD
	Α	b	t	$\mathbb{R}^2$	a	b	t	$\mathbf{R}^2$	UGK
Hong Kong	0.338	4.055	5.848	0.588	2.725	0.077	7.073	0.676	8.0
	(10.708)	(0.693)			(0.168)	(0.011)			8.0
Italy	-2.565	5.010	8.725	0.760	2.948	0.075	8.058	0.730	7.8
	(8.867)	(0.574)			(0.144)	(0.009)			
Japan	392.353	-1.815	-0.691	0.100	5.922	-0.004	-0.514	0.111	0.4
	(40.552)	(2.626)			(0.113)	(0.007)			
China	10.528	7.573	6.828	0.660	_	-	-	-	-
	(7.573)	(1.109)			-				
Spain	-5.942	8.035	13.326	0.881	3.233	0.087	11.559	0.848	9.0
	(9.312)	(0.603)			(0.116)	(0.007)			
South Korea	45.614	3.210	1.715	0.109	-	-	-	-	-
	(28.907)	(1.872)							
Thailand	-26.420	6.109	7.507	0.701	1.891	0.123	10.752	0.828	13.1
	(12.567)	(0.814)			(0.177)	(0.011)			
U.A.E.	42.792	2.817	2.966	0.268	3.770	0.036	2.916	0.262	3.7
	(14.665)	(0.950			(0.192)	(0.012)			
U.K.	17.247	4.312	9.187	0.779	3.430	0.058	9.337	0.784	5.0
	(7.249)	(.469)			(0.095)	(0.006)			5.9
U.S.A	-221.334	49.304	6.401	0.631	4.133	0.112	11.066	0.836	11.9

TABLE NO.1.8 TREND AND GROWTH RATE OF MARINE PRODUCTS IN 1991-92 TO 2016-17(US\$ MILLION)

	(118.95)	(7.703)			(0.157)	(0.010)			
Others	-473.573 (167.72)	88.944 (10.861)	8.189	0.736	4.232 (0.097)	0.135 (0.006)	21.526	0.951	14.4
Total	-273.365 (327.99)	177.014 (21.238)	8.335	0.743	6.351 (0.085)	.081 (0.006)	14.573	0.898	8.4

Table No.1.8 depicts that it could be known that the t values of the trend co-efficient were found to be statistically significant at one per cent level. The  $R^2$  values were also found to be satisfactory. Among marine exporting countries, USA had the highest annual average export value of US \$ 49.30million per year. Spain had the second largest annual average export value of US \$8.03million per annum. The third rank was secured by People's Republic of China which had the average annual export value of US \$7.57 million per year followed by Thailand (6.10 million), Italy (5.01 million), U.K. (4.31 million), Hong Kong (4.05 million), South Korea (3.21 million) and U.A.E. (2.81 million) per annum. Japan had the lowest annual average export value of US \$-1.81million per year. As far as the growth rate of the exports of marine exporting countries concerned, Thailand had the top most growth rate of 12.3 per cent per year. U.S.A had the second largest export growth rate of 11.2per cent per year. Spain secured the third place in export growth rate with 8.7 per cent Per annum followed by Hong Kong (7.7 per cent), Italy (7.5 per cent), and U.K. (5.8 per cent) and U.A.E (3.6 per cent) per year. The lowest export growth rate was witnessed in Japan which had -0.4 per cent per annum. Regarding the Compound growth rate of exports, Thailand had the highest compound growth rate of 13.1 per cent per annum and Japan had the lowest compound growth rate of 0.4 per cent per annum.

## **MAJOR FINDINGS**

The study finding thegrowth performance of India's Export to Various major agricultural commodities was concerned,

## ANNUAL AVERAGE EXPORT VALUE

- India's export to tea exporting countries, Iran had the highest annual average export value of US \$3.57 million per year. Iraq had the lowest annual average export value of US -0.09 million per year.
- India's export to coffee exporting countries, Italy had the highest annual average export value of US \$7.21 million per year. USA had the lowest annual average export value of US \$ -0.07 per year.
- India's export to rice exporting countries, Saudi Arabia had the highest annual average export value of US \$37.16 million per year. France had the lowest annual average export value of US\$ 0.52 million per year.

- India's export to tobacco exporting countries, Belgium had the highest annual average export value of US \$8.11million per year. U.K had the lowest annual average export value of US \$ -0.50 million per year.
- India's export to spices exporting countries, U.S.A had the highest annual average export value of US \$17.43million per year. Yemen (Republic) had the lowest annual average export value of US \$-0.63 and US 0.3 million per year.
- India's export to cashew exporting countries, U.A.E had the highest annual average export value of US \$6.78million per year. Netherlands had the lowest annual average export value of US \$ -0.58million per year.
- India's export to oil meals products exporting countries, Vietnam had the highest annual average export value of US \$12.71million per year. Russia had the lowest annual average export value of US\$ -0.49million per year.
- India's export to marine exporting countries, USA had the highest annual average export value of US \$ 49.30million per year. Japan had the lowest annual average export value of US \$-1.81million per year.

## ANNUAL AVERAGE GROWTH RATE

- The growth rate of the exports of tea exporting countries concerned, Iran had the top most growth rate of 11.7 per cent per year The lowest export growth rate was witnessed in Poland which had -3.6 per cent per annum.
- The growth rate of the exports of coffee exporting countries concerned, Belgium had the top most growth rate of 14.8 per cent per year. The lowest export growth rate was witnessed in USA which had 0.6per cent per annum.
- ↓ The growth rate of the exports of rice exporting countries concerned, U.A.E had the top most growth rate of 15.2 per cent per year. The lowest export growth rate was witnessed in France which had 4.3 per cent per annum.
- The growth rate of the exports of tobacco exporting countries concerned, Belgium had the top most growth rate of 14.1per cent per year. The lowest export growth rate was

witnessed in U.K which had -.2.8 per cent per annum.

- The growth rate of the exports of spices exporting countries concerned, Saudi Arabia had the top most growth rate of 14.0 per cent per year; the lowest export growth rate was witnessed in Japan which had 8.4 per cent per annum.
- The growth rate of the exports of cashew exporting countries concerned, Saudi Arabia had the top most growth rate of 15.6 per cent per year. The lowest export growth rate was witnessed in UK which had -0.4 per cent per annum.
- The growth rate of the exports of oil meals exporting countries concerned, Japan had the top most growth rate of 11.3per cent per year. The lowest export growth rate was witnessed in Singapore which had -12.9 per cent per annum.
- The growth rate of the exports of marine exporting countries concerned, Thailand had the top most growth rate of 12.3 per cent per year. The lowest export growth rate was witnessed in Japan which had -0.4 per cent per annum.

## **COMPOUND GROWTH RATE**

- The Compound growth rate of tea exports, Iran had the highest compound growth rate of 12.5 per cent per annum Germany and UK had the lowest compound growth rate of 2.0 per cent per annum.
- The Compound growth rate of coffee exports, Belgium had the highest compound growth rate of 15.9 per cent per annum. U.K had the lowest compound growth rate of 1.3 per cent per annum.
- The Compound growth rate of rice exports, UAE had the highest compound growth rate of 16.4 per cent per annum and France had the lowest compound growth rate of 4.4 per cent per annum.
- The Compound growth rate of tobacco exports, Belgium had the highest compound growth rate of 15.1 per cent per annum and Russia had the lowest compound growth rate of 2.1 per cent per annum.
- The Compound growth rate of spices exports, Saudi Arabia had the highest compound growth rate of 15.0 per cent per annum and Japan had the lowest compound growth rate of 8.8 per cent per annum.
- The Compound growth rate of cashew exports, Saudi Arabia had the highest compound growth rate of 16.8 per cent per

annum. Israel had the lowest compound growth rate of 0.6 per cent per annum.

- The Compound growth rate of oil meal exports, Japan had the highest compound growth rate of 12.0 per cent per annum and Indonesia had the lowest compound growth rate of 1.0 per cent per annum.
- The Compound growth rate of marine exports, Thailand had the highest compound growth rate of 13.1 per cent per annum and Japan had the lowest compound growth rate of 0.4 per cent per annum.

## REFERENCE

- Agarwal, A.N. (1975), Indian Economy: Problems of Development and Planning, Vikas Publishing House Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. p. 577.
- Dr. C Paramasivan, 2 R Pasupathi (2017)"Study on growth and performance of Indian agro based exports", International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Research Volume 3; Issue 9; September 2017; Page No. 01-05ISSN: 2455-2070, Impact Factor: RJIF 5.22 www.socialsciencejournal.in
- Dr.RajKumar and Varsha Dadhich (2013) "Growth and Performance of India's Agriculture Export" International Journal of 3600 Management Review, Vol. 01, Issue 01, April 2013 ISSN: 2320-7132. IJ360MR, www.ij360mr.com.
- Mathanraj T and Dr. P. Jaya Prapakaran (2020) India's External Trade in the Post Liberalization Period Studies in Indian Place Names ISSN: 2394-3114Vol-40-Issue-1-January-2020.
- Mathanraj.T, (2019), "Impact of trade liberalization on India's manufactured exports" Think India, ISSN: 0971-1260, UGC Approved CARE journal, Volume-22 Issue-4, Impact factor-6.2, October-December 2019.
- Nageshwara M R and S Srinivas Rao, (2009), Direction of Trade in Indian Agricultural Commodity Exports, Southern Economist, Vol47 (19) pp-23.
- P. Hari Babu (2017) "Export Performance of Spices in India: An Empirical Study" parikalpana - KIIT Journal of Management Page No. 66-74.
- Prakash Brahm, Sushila Shrivastava and S Lal, (1995), Impact of New Economic Policy on Export of Agricultural Commodities from India, Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, Vol50(3), July-Sept.

- Suresh And Mathur (2016) "Export of Agricultural Commodities from India: Performance and Prospects"Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 86 (7): 876–83, July 2016/Article.
- Kumar, S. (2022). Strategic management of carbon footprint using carbon collectible non-fungible tokens (NFTS) on blockchain. Academy of Strategic Management Journal, 21(S3), 1-10
- Kumar, S. (2021). Review of geothermal energy as an alternate energy source for Bitcoin mining. Journal of Economics and Economic Education Research, 23(1), 1-12
- Ritika Malik, AarushiKataria and Naveen Nandal, Analysis of Digital Wallets for Sustainability: A Comparative Analysis between Retailers and Customers, International Journal of Management, 11(7), 2020, pp. 358-370.
- Aarushi, Naveen Nandal, Parul Agrawal. AN EXPLORATORY RESEARCH IN PRODUCT INNOVATION IN AUTOMOBILE SECTOR. JCR. 2020; 7(2): 522-529. doi:10.31838/jcr.07.02.98
- Directorate General of Commercial Intelligence and Statistics (DGCI&S)(Economic survey, 2017),
- Handbook of Statistics on Indian Economy, Reserve Bank of India (RBI), Government of India.
- World Trade Organization Trade Statistics 2017,
- World Bank, United Nations Trade Data, Commodity Trade Statistics.