

Self-regulated learning in university students apply in methodological strategies

¹Jenny Milagros MelchorCanevaro²Cecilia de los Milagros Miranda Jara³Ricardo Iván VértizOsores⁴Helen Ibeth CardenasSolis⁵Jacinto Joaquín Vértiz-Osores

¹Universidad César Vallejo, PerúORCID:<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5660-0908>
jmelchor@ucv.edu.pe

²Universidad César Vallejo, PerúOrcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2915-5685>
mmirandaj@ucv.edu.pe

³Universidad César Vallejo, PerúOrcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1223-2784>
rivertizv@ucvvirtual.edu.pe

⁴Universidad César Vallejo, PerúOrcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4011-3662>
hcardenass@ucv.edu.pe

⁵Universidad Nacional Tecnológica de Lima Sur, Perú
Orcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2774-1207>
jvertiz@untels.edu.pe

Summary

In higher education, students must face their learning in an intentional, autonomous and effective way; In this sense, the objective of the present study was to determine the influence of the application of methodological strategies in self-regulated learning in students of the curricular experience of philosophy of a Private University of Lima - Peru. The research had a quantitative approach and a pre-experimental design, with the participation of 50 V cycle students to whom the self-regulated learning inventory (SRL) was applied (Lindner et al., 1996). According to the descriptive analysis, the students presented improvements in self-regulated learning after the application of methodological strategies, going from a very low level of 36% in the pre-test to a high level with 46% in the post-test. Finally, it was determined that methodological strategies significantly influence students' self-regulated learning; being significant also in the 'executive, cognitive, motivational and environmental control' dimensions, so it is very important to apply the fundamental processes involved in self-regulated learning when the student is studying individually and in virtual contexts.

Keywords: Online learning, Active learning, Educational strategies, University student.

Introduction

Currently, education demands the implementation of strategies that promote self-management of knowledge and 'learning to learn' through which students develop learning autonomously and, in this scenario, self-regulation allows an increase in the possibility of academic success. To do this, the teacher has to provide the conditions by playing the role of facilitator of the educational process so that students take control of the learning

process and acquire self-regulatory skills (Zimmerman, 2001).

At all educational levels in the European Union, learning is encouraged to face challenges of competitiveness throughout life, giving special attention to the self-regulation of learning, as a predictor of academic achievement and important aptitude for education in postmodern societies, where people with self-regulatory skills are academically more outstanding (Diaz et al., 2015). In Latin

America, Mexico is the country with the highest dropout of university students, finding a lack of motivation to learn, thus relating to self-regulated learning (UNAM, 2006). In the Venezuelan case, the study of self-regulated learning in extra-academic settings placed greater interest in students focusing on non-academic areas (Lomelli, 2013), a situation that provides an interesting perspective for its approach.

In Peru, higher education students generally apply outdated methods and forms of study to solve their academic problems, which leads to poor learning, lack of self-regulation prioritizing cognitive processes that decreases the possibility of successfully facing their professional training. In this sense, Sternberg & Spear-Swerling (1996) highlighted memory before reasoning, low inability to infer when faced with a problem in university graduates; as well as the absence of logic before any specific question that determines the search for solutions. In addition, a meager reading habit, for which it is difficult for him to express what he understands, using short sentences or literary metaphors and the acceptance of questioning plot contents, without verifying the information provided. For this reason, these same authors mentioned that in the university academic context there is a lack of learning and metacognition strategies, which directs students to subsequent work and personal failure; Observing an educational system that does not promote the necessary scenario to alleviate the deficiencies from regular basic education, the same one that was not so solid in its preparation for higher education and, what is worse, the deficiencies are still accentuated at this level.

Undoubtedly, it is a challenge for university teachers that the student dynamically intervenes in his own

learning process, therefore, the educator performs an important task as a metacognitive mediator, making the student feel the protagonist and constructor of his own learning, where he can learn. to think critically (Chaudhary & Dey, 2013; Conrad, 2013). In the university where the research was carried out, a reality similar to the national educational problem and other Latino contexts (Stein et al., 2019) is shown due to the fact that the students have evident cognitive, metacognitive and affective-motivational deficiencies. With insufficient and inadequate resources to control the academic and social environment; Likewise, a lack of initiative to request support from competent people, which is linked to the self-regulatory process of learning, resulting in a decrease in its efficiency and effectiveness to enhance learning. Undoubtedly, there is a need for teachers to implement methodological strategies that mitigate the little interest in the development of the subjects, especially the philosophy course, through which the practice of reading and self-regulated learning is promoted.

Among the background to the study of the self-regulation variable, Martínez & Gaeta (2018) implemented a virtual support program through the Moodle platform with the aim of developing self-regulated learning in university students with a quasi-experimental design in two groups. They determined that the experimental group obtained significant improvements in the cognitive, motivational and resource management dimensions. They emphasized that the use of virtual learning environments is important to promote self-regulated learning. There are works that have evaluated the influence on student learning using platforms such as

Moodle having encouraging results (Aikina et al., 2020; De Medio et al., 2020), although there is evidence that indicated the effectiveness of others (Kadirov & Shukurov, 2020; Mpungose, 2019) which would reveal that in reality, there would not be exclusivity in the assignment of a greater or lesser effectiveness of some tool for self-regulation of learning, but rather it depends more on the way in which it is applied (Kadirov & Shukurov, 2020), in other words, it depends directly on the strategy methodological. Although this reasoning is not new in the academy, since they constitute a set of modes/ways of teaching that became more popular even before the Sars-CoV-2 pandemic (Díaz Agea et al., 2019; Mwandosya et al., 2019; Safapour et al., 2019), in Peru they have not been sufficiently implemented, in such a way that self-regulated learning is still part of the set of strategies in many current virtual environments, specifically the research problem was raised: What is the level of influence of the application of methodological strategies in self-regulated learning in students of the curricular experience of philosophy of a Private University of Lima - Peru? Proposing as an objective to determine the level of influence of this application in this same type of learning.

The research is technically based on the National Educational Project (PEN) to 2021 in Peru in strategic objective 5 (Minedu & CNE, 2007) which made reference that quality Higher Education is a favorable component for progress and national competitiveness, with expected result 3: by 2021 "(...) higher education training centers train professionals with ethical principles and a sense of social responsibility; highly competent in the face of demands in the economic,

productive and labor scenario at a national and international level". In addition, they take advantage of and produce new knowledge. This can only be achieved by developing reflective, critical and autonomous thinking, not only in front of their environment but also in front of themselves, capacities and limitations.

In relation to the theoretical foundation of the methodological strategies variable, it is found that they provide alternatives in the different ways of teaching and learning within university life, based on the principle of heterogeneity in learning (Díaz & Hernández, 2002), that is, that each student has their own way of learning or dispositions to learn with one methodology over another. Another definition of methodological strategies indicates it as the cooperation and competition that must synergize in the application of a strategy (Dixit & Nalebuff, 2010). According to Mintzberg & Waters (1989), strategies must be weighted based on criteria such as flexibility, security, surprise factor, conservation of initiative and clarity and viability of objectives.

There is a series of free-use software on the market, among which the Moodle platform stands out, as reported on its website, it involves the creation of courses on websites, based on a social constructivist orientation. According to Castillo et al. (2010) is the most used academic platform to date with presence in 212 countries and with more than 37 million users. For Martínez (2006) it is a virtual tool that comes from the acronym '*Modular object oriented dynamic learning environment*' and is used for the field of education in its virtual modality, whose main characteristics are its ease of use and being very intuitive.

In relation to self-regulated learning, Zimmerman (2001) indicated that it is a process where students systematically conduct their thoughts, feelings and actions to achieve their goals. In this regard, Gaeta & Cavazos (2016) defined it as a process where learners individually plan, organize, self-instruct, self-monitor and evaluate in the different phases of their learning process. Zimmerman (2001) dimensioned it in four components: the executive process, as the execution of the metacognitive process that the students carry out intentionally; that implies evaluation and construction strategies, considers the analysis of the task, as well as cognitive monitoring at the moment of learning; the cognitive process, which are mental processes that enable learning, such as reasoning, memory, problem solving or meaning production, being as a habitual or automatic phase that involves the processes of storage and retrieval of information, attention, and realization of the task: the motivational process, which consists of personal beliefs, the learner's perception of his ability to achieve the goal; and lastly, the environment control process, related to the use and control of the environment; the search for support, the management of tasks, the resources of the environment and time.

METHODOLOGY

According to Hernández-Sampieri & Mendoza (2018), the study was framed in the quantitative approach, experimental design - Pre-experimental, with evaluation of a single group 'before-after'. 50 university students from the Philosophy curricular experience were evaluated, previously complying with the ethical standards of the institution. The instrument used was the self-regulated learning inventory

(SRL) designed by Lindner et al. (1996). Its reliability was high (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.897). A baseline evaluation (pre test) was made and then the program was developed with the methodological strategies: Moodle Platform and case studies, over 10 sessions. After the intervention, the post test was applied to compare descriptive results and test hypotheses.

The interpretation of the results was considering the categories established in the instrument according to detail: Very High (252 – 300); High (204 – 251); Medium (156 – 203); Low (108 – 155) and finally Very Low (60 – 107). It was a 60-item instrument with a Likert scale and four dimensions: a) executive processing; b) cognitive processing; c) motivational and d) environmental control. The data was processed with SPSS® v 25 Software in Spanish. For the inferential analysis: the Wilcoxon signed rank test was applied ($\alpha = 0.05$).

RESULTS

In the descriptive results it was possible to show that in the pre-test, the highest percentage was located at the 'Very low' level with 36%; however, in the post test the highest level was 'High' with 46%; therefore, it follows that the methodological strategies would have positive effects on the level of self-regulated learning achievement. On the other hand, for the executive dimension in the pre-test, the highest percentage was at the 'Very low' level with 40%; however, in the post test the highest level was 'High' with 44%. The cognitive dimension was represented by 38% (Very low) in the pretest and in the post test with 40% in the 'High' level. Regarding the motivational dimension, in the pre-test, the highest percentage was at the 'Very low' level with 38%; however, in the post test the highest level was 'High'

with 42%. Finally, the environment control dimension was represented by 38%

(Very low) in the pre-test and in the post test with 48% in the high level.

Table 1 .

Wilcoxon signed rank test to test the influence of methodological strategies on self-regulated learning in university students according to ranks and contrast statistics.

Variable and Dimensions (Pretest & Posttest)		very low	Under	Medium	High	Very high	Wilcoxon Test (Sig / Z)	positive ranges
self-regulated learning	pre-test	18 (36%)	13 (26%)	11 (22%)	5 (10%)	3 (6%)	.000	Four. Five
	post-test	0 (0%)	7 (14%)	8 (16%)	23 (46%)	12 (24%)	-5.979b -	
'Executive' Dimension	pre-test	20 (40%)	13 (26%)	11 (22%)	3 (6%)	3 (6%)	.000	38
	post-test	4 (8%)	8 (16%)	6 (12%)	22 (44%)	10 (20%)	-5.494b -	
'Cognitive' dimension	pre-test	19 (38%)	12 (24%)	14 (28%)	2 (4%)	3 (6%)	.000	39
	post-test	3 (6%)	6 (12%)	9 (18%)	20 (40%)	12 (24%)	-5.525b -	
'Motivational' Dimension	pre-test	19 (38%)	13 (26%)	10 (20%)	6 (12%)	2 (4%)	.000	43
	post-test	2 (4%)	6 (12%)	9 (18%)	21 (42%)	12 (24%)	-5.811b -	
'Environment control' dimension	pre-test	19 (38%)	14 (28%)	8 (16%)	6 (12%)	3 (6%)	.000	44
	post-test	1 (2%)	7 (14%)	6 (12%)	24 (48%)	12 (24%)	-5.873b -	

b. It is based on negative ranges

A significant contrast of the hypothesis was observed ($p=0.000 < 0.05$), indicating that there are differences between the pre- and post-test tests applied to the students. In accordance with the previously described descriptive results, it is deduced that the effect of the use of methodological strategies was positive in self-regulated learning because 45 students managed to improve their achievement levels (positive ranges=45 after applying the experiment).

In relation to the specific hypotheses made, it was evidence that in all of them there was a significant effect of these same strategies on the learning evaluated, being notorious that in the 'executive' dimension the final positive ranks were observed in 38 students, while in the 'cognitive' dimension there were 39 students with a positive post-test rank. For its part, for the 'motivational' dimension, 43 students were observed with positive ranks after the experimental application, although the highest of all was the 'environment control' dimension,

which had 44 students in the same positive condition. Reaffirming that the use of these strategies is significantly good in self-regulated learning.

Discussion

From the analysis of the results of applying methodological strategies in self-regulated learning in students, it was established that these strategies significantly influence self-regulated learning in the students evaluated. Similar results were found in the study by Cepeda et al. (2017) who pointed out that the methodological strategy -using virtual classrooms- significantly influenced the teaching-learning process. The results obtained in this investigation and in previous investigations are related to what was stated by Suárez & Fernández (2004), who define it as a process where learners evaluate the effectiveness of a cognitive strategy based on whether the strategy is helping them to reach the goal and how much time and effort it takes to implement that strategy.

Likewise, of the specific results of the methodological strategies and how they significantly influence self-regulated learning, in the cognitive dimension of the students in comparison with what was found by Martínez & Gaeta (2018) in their study, they revealed that university students require that the teacher propose scientific learning activities that involve permanent cognitive demands and challenges that motivate them to feel emotionally committed. Although it is important to point out that without any affectation to teachers since they have enough with the abrupt changes caused by the Covid-19 pandemic (Vértiz et al., 2020), which would lead to evaluating how stressful the implementation of these strategies could be by part of teachers, in the process of seeking effectiveness in student learning. However, this remains to be investigated.

In reference to the specific results of the methodological strategies that significantly influence self-regulated learning, in the cognitive, motivational and environmental control dimensions of the students of the curricular experience of philosophy, it can be affirmed that there are similarities in the results obtained by Martínez & Gaeta (2018), where they showed that the students of the experimental group, unlike the control group, obtained significant improvements in the five dimensions studied, among which the cognitive, motivational and resource management dimensions stand out. They emphasized the importance of promoting self-regulated learning in the university through the use of virtual learning environments. On the other hand, in the results of Ventura et al. (2017) the various strategies of motivation, metacognition and time management and study environment were associated at high levels with the patterns of assessment of the task, beliefs of control and self-efficacy of the student body, likewise that the academic training facilitated an integration hierarchy between study strategies. This is interesting because it allows to demonstrate the credibility of the effectiveness in learning within the students themselves, which would facilitate the work of the teacher and, also, would promote the development of future professionals in a framework of improving the management of educational entities. (Guevara et al., 2021; Hernandez et al., 2021) and the rise of entrepreneurship as a philosophy of economic growth for the country (Tito et al., 2020).

conclusion

It has been determined that methodological strategies significantly influence students' self-regulated learning; being significant also in the

'executive, cognitive, motivational and environmental control' dimensions, so it is very important to apply the fundamental processes involved in self-regulated learning when the student is studying individually and in virtual contexts.

References

- Aikina, T., Aikina, T., & Bolsunovskaya, L. (2020). Moodle-Based Learning: Motivating and Demotivating Factors. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, 15 (2), 239–248.
- Castillo, M., Larios, VM, & Garcia, O. (2010). Teachers' perception of the use of Information and Communication Technologies. *Ibero-American Journal of Education*, 53 (6), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.35362/RIE5361711>
- Cepeda, L., Santos, O., Barba, M.A., & De la Calle, L. (2017). Methodological strategy of the use of virtual classrooms in the teaching-learning process in the school of educational psychology of the National University of Chimborazo. *Redipe Bulletin*, 6 (2), 55–66. <https://bit.ly/3scCQJO>
- Chaudhary, S., & Dey, N. (2013). Assessment in Open and Distance Learning System (ODL): A Challenge. *Open Praxis*, 5 (3), 207–216.
- Conrad, D. (2013). Assessment challenges in open learning: Way-finding, fork in the road, or end of the line? *Open Praxis*, 5 (1). <https://doi.org/10.5944/OPENPRAXIS.V5I1.17>
- De Medio, C., Limongelli, C., Sciarrone, F., & Temperini, M. (2020). MoodleREC: A recommendation system for creating courses using the moodle e-learning platform. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 104, 106168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHB.2019.106168>
- Díaz Agea, JL, Megías Nicolás, A., García Méndez, JA, Adánez Martínez, M. de G., & Leal Costa, C. (2019). Improving simulation performance through Self-Learning Methodology in Simulated Environments (MAES©). *Nurse Education Today*, 76, 62–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEDT.2019.01.020>
- Diaz, C., Haapakorpi, A., Särkijärvin-Martinez, A., & Virtanen, P. (2015). *The university in the training of trainers of pedagogical companions for innovation in the classroom*. Pontifical Catholic University of Peru.
- Diaz, F., & Hernandez, G. (2002). *Teaching Strategies for Meaningful Learning* (2nd ed.). McGraw Hill.
- Dixit, A. K., & Nalebuff, B. J. (2010). *The Art of Strategy: A Game Theorist's Guide to Success in Business and Life*. W. W. Norton & Co.
- Gaeta, M.L., & Cavazos, J. (2016). Relationship between study time, self-regulation of learning and academic achievement in university students. *e-CPU. Journal of Educational Research*, 23, 142–166. <https://bit.ly/3s8Vbaz>
- Guevara, HE, Huarachi, LA, Lozano, GA, & Vertiz-Osores, JJ (2021). Change management in post-pandemic educational organizations. *Venezuelan Journal of Management*, 26 (93), 178–191. <https://doi.org/10.52080/rvg93.13>
- Hernandez-Sampieri, R., & Mendoza, C.P. (2018). *Research methodology*:

- The quantitative, qualitative and mixed routes*. McGraw Hill Education.
- Hernandez, RM, Garay-Argandoña, R., Alberto Núñez Lira, L., Fuster-Guillén, D., Paola Palacios Garay, J., & Ocaña-Fernandez, Y. (2021). Investigating Instructors' and Students' Attitudes towards the Effectiveness of Having Target Cultural Knowledge on Learning English as a Foreign Language. *International Journal of Society, Culture & Language*, 9 (3), 64–72. http://www.ijscel.net/article_246248.html
- Kadirov, R.K., & Shukurov, R.S. (2020). The ways of the activation of the independent works of the students in the educational platform moodle. *Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research (AJMR)*, 9 (5), 27. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2278-4853.2020.00152.4>
- Lindner, R.W., Bruce, H., & Wayne, G. (1996). The design and development of the Self-Regulated Learning Inventory: A status report. *American Educational Research Association, Annual Convention*, 27–28.
- Lomelli, P. (2013). Self-regulated learning in extra-academic contexts. *Psychology Journal*, 1 (2), 36–45. http://190.169.30.98/ojs/index.php/rev_ps/index
- Martinez, C. (2006). The case study method Methodological strategy of scientific research. *Thought & Management*, 20, 165–193. <https://www.redalyc.org/pdf/646/64602005.pdf>
- Martinez, L., & Gaeta, M. (2018). Use of the Moodle virtual platform for the development of self-regulated learning in university students. *Educate*, 55 (2), 479–498. <https://doi.org/10.5565/rev/educar.88>
- 3
- Minedu, & CNE. (2007). *National educational project to 2021 The education we want for Peru* (Ministry of Education & National Council of Education (eds.)). Ministry of Education - Peru. <http://www.minedu.gob.pe/DeInteres/xtras/PEN-2021.pdf>
- Mintzberg, H., & Waters, J.A. (1989). Of Strategies, Deliberate and Emergent. *Readings in Strategic Management*, 4–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-20317-8_1
- Mpungose, C.B. (2019). Is Moodle or WhatsApp the preferred e-learning platform at a South African university? First-year students' experiences. *Education and Information Technologies 2019 25:2*, 25 (2), 927–941. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10639-019-10005-5>
- Mwandosya, GI, Montero, CS, Mbise, ER, & Oyelere, SS (2019). Self-regulated learning using Mobile Educational Tool for Innovative Learning in Tanzanian Higher Education: Students' Perceptions. *IEEE AFRICON Conference, 2019 - September*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/AFRICON46755.2019.9133872>
- Safapour, E., Kermanshachi, S., & Taneja, P. (2019). A Review of Nontraditional Teaching Methods: Flipped Classroom, Gamification, Case Study, Self-Learning, and Social Media. *Education Sciences 2019, Vol. 9, Page 273*, 9 (4), 273. <https://doi.org/10.3390/EDUCSCI9040273>
- Stein, A., Tomforde, S., Diaconescu, A., Hahner, J., & Muller-Schloer, C. (2019). A concept for proactive knowledge construction in self-learning autonomous systems.

- Proceedings - 2018 IEEE 3rd International Workshops on Foundations and Applications of Self* Systems, FAS*W 2018* , 204–213. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FAS-W.2018.00048>
- Sternberg, R.J., & Spear-Swerling, L. (1996). *Teaching for Thinking* . American Psychological Association.
- Suarez, JM, & Fernandez, AP (2004). *Self-regulated learning: strategic, motivational variables, evaluation and intervention* . UNED notebooks.
- Tito, JV, Ogosi, JA, Franco, JL, & Vertiz-Osores, JJ (2020). Management trends as a process in the effectiveness of management action. *Venezuelan Journal of Management* , 25 (91), 1234–1245. <https://doi.org/10.37960/rvg.v25i91.33199>
- UNAM. (2006). Leads the country in university dropout. *National Autonomous University of Mexico* , 1–5. <https://bit.ly/3Gllf7r>
- Ventura, A.C., Cattoni, M.S., & Borgobello, A. (2017). Self-regulated learning at the university level: A study with psychopedagogy students from different academic cycles. *Educare Electronic Journal* , 21 (2), 2. <https://doi.org/10.15359/ree.21-2.15>
- Vertiz, JJ, Cucho, RR, Vertiz-Osores, RI, Vilchez, GL, & Angulo, A. (2020). Virtual university education in the context of the health emergency due to COVID-19: Challenges in the evaluation processes. *International Journal of Early Childhood Special Education* , 12 (1), 467–477. <https://doi.org/10.9756/INT-JECSE/V12I1.201027>
- Zimmerman, B. (2001). Theories of self-regulated learning and academic achievement: An overview and analysis. In BJ Zimmerman & DH Schunk (Eds.), *Self-regulated Learning and Academic Achievement: Theoretical Perspectives* (pp. 1–37). Lawrence Erlbaum.