

Assessment Of Ict Facilities And Prospects For Teaching And Learning At University Level: A Case Study Of International Islamic University

¹Syed Ghazanfer Abbas, ²Muhammad Ehsan, ³Dr. Gulnaz Akbar, ⁴Farman Ali Shah, ⁵Anwar Ul Haq Nasir, ⁶Zohaib Hassan Sian

¹Ph.D. Scholar, Faculty of Education, International Islamic University, Islamabad Pakistan.

²Ph.D. Scholar, Faculty of Education, International Islamic University, Islamabad Pakistan.

³Lecturer Education, Government College Women University, Sialkot.

⁴Ph.D. Scholar, IER Gomal University, Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan.

⁵Ph.D. Scholar, Faculty of Education, International Islamic University, Islamabad Pakistan.

⁶Researcher, Superior University.

ABSTRACT

Information and Communication Technology (ICT) facilities and explore the prospects they hold for teaching and learning at the university level. In today's digital age, ICT has become an integral part of education, revolutionizing traditional teaching methodologies and expanding the possibilities for engaging and interactive learning experiences. By evaluating the current status of ICT facilities in universities, this study identified the strengths and weaknesses and the potential benefits and challenges associated with their implementation. The findings of this research provided valuable insights for educational institutions and policymakers to enhance the use of ICT tools and resources, ultimately improving the overall quality of teaching and learning at the university level. The primary purpose of this study was to explore the Integration of ICT in classroom practices. The study aimed to assess ICTs facilities and prospects for teaching and learning at the University level. The study population consisted of all teachers (75) during the year 2020-2021 from the Faculty of Social Sciences, International Islamic University Islamabad. A universal sampling technique was used in the selection of the sample. Self-structured Questionnaire was used as a research tool with a five-point Likert Scale. The study's significant findings were that all teachers have laptops and frequently use the technology. University teachers have a positive attitude toward the integration of ICTs. Teachers were very expert in multimedia use and agreed that due to electric fluctuation, ICTs couldn't play an influential role in teaching and learning. Therefore, based on these conclusions, the following recommendations were made, Maximum provision of hardware may be ensured so that the lack of hardware may be removed.

Moreover, teachers may be facilitated with subsidized rates in purchasing and utilizing these technologies. IT training program may be launched in summer vacation. Students' assignments may be assessed through these technologies, e.g., website and e-mail. Maximum Internet connectivity with the upper limit bandwidth facility may be ensured to address the "not enough Internet connectivity" and "slow Internet connectivity" problems among teachers. Continuous seminars and workshops for the training of teachers may be developed and launched so that teachers may update them according to the current advances in the field of ICTs.

Keywords: Integration, Information and Communication Technology, Teaching, Learning, Assessment

Introduction

Traditional learning changed through ICTs; undoubtedly, the research, teaching, and knowledge are highly flown. It is the potential of ICTs to enrich more excellent skills, involve scholars to help different educational institutions, and create future financial opportunities (Yusuf, 2005).

The universe changes very quickly, and the basis of fundamental training is that someone gets data on where to apply. Some abilities should be found in ICTs in the whole world. Methodical instruction has highlighted the reading materials that were composed by the course. Education has demand through labels, and introduction can't break up with material. The starting point of the educational module is protected and will be a more helpful way to use data. Contemporary ICTs help us to fulfill these essential requirements. There are routine competencies in the world-class setting based on the educational modules that use these technologies for potential (Oliver, 2000).

According to Hariss (2002), research revealed that the advantages of ICTs will be increased through the deception of ICTs. He refers that the ICTs will improve learning and help prepare lives and careers for the

future generation by changing teachers. ICTs can modify the responsibilities and abilities of the future education in which high levels are involved, and they can get much help.

John & Sutherland (2005) suggested many conditions for teachers to change their pedagogical approaches. He also urged awareness about ICTs can be very important and to understand the essential link between ICTs and teaching content.

Literature Review

We live in a period where improvement is pushing quickly ahead, and the standard for young people in the present Western world is to approach a mechanical gadget (Harmer, 2015).

Technology and its suggestions for instruction have energized the brains of educators, legislators, and trailblazers everywhere worldwide as ahead of schedule as the start of the nineteenth century. The motivation behind the technology is to expand personal satisfaction. It develops with the issues and troubles individuals experience. The technology produces information, devices, and procedures to tackle these issues (Başer, 2006).

The utilization of technology in instruction gives better learning and encourages basic reasoning. Various methods utilized in instructive technology provide a superior learning experience to youngsters (Ismajli, 2008, referred to in Dogan, 2010).

Modes of Information & Communication Technology

Interpersonal

- Two-way communication with active negotiation of meaning among individuals

Interpretive

- One-way communication with no recourse to the active negotiation of meaning with the writer, speaker and producer

Presentational

- One-way communication intended for an audience of readers, listeners, or viewers

- Spontaneous
- Usually involves the exchange of information
- Meaningful
- Participants observe and monitor one another to see how their meanings and intentions are being communicated and make adjustments and clarifications accordingly
- Speaking and listening (conversation)
- Reading and writing (text messages, messages on social media, letters)
- The reader, listener or viewer interprets what the author, speaker, or producer wants the receivers of the message to understand
- Interpretations differ from comprehension and translation in that it implies the ability to read, listen or view "between the lines," including understanding from within the cultural mindset or perspective
- Reading of authentic texts (websites, stories and other literature, articles, signs)
- Listening to authentic texts (speeches, messages, songs, radio, news ads)
- Viewing of authentic materials (videos, movies, presentations, TV shows, commercials, news, plays)
- Presentation of information, not exchange
- No direct opportunity for the active negotiation of meaning exists
- To ensure that the intended audience is successful in its interpretation, the "presenter" needs knowledge of the audience's language and culture
- Writing (messages, articles, advertisements, flyers, brochures, short notes, reports, scripts, PowerPoint presentations);
- Speaking (telling a story, giving a speech, TV or radio news, drama presentations including skits and jury)

Source: <http://www.actfu/ore/default/files/pdf/PerformanceDescriptionLearners.pdf>

Combining discriminating information and communication technology helps keep teachers and students alive. This will help enhance the quality of education. We involved the teachers in everyday tasks and changed the strategy involved in its development, including in educational partnership with ICTs as an instrument (Ratheeswari, 2018).

According to Michael (2012), the fruitful coordination of ICT into the instruction framework has reshaped the procedure of increasing and dispersing information through the general public. Regardless of the accomplishments uncovered by a portion of the

Pakistanis colleges integrating the ICT for T&L forms, these institutes, despite everything, face many issues in attempting such a procedure. Absence of a foundational way to deal with ICT. Mindfulness and mental situation towards ICTs: It is significant for all partners in the organization to know the current ICT speed and outfitted administrations and their significance compared to their particular undertakings (Tusubira & Mulira, 2004).

They drop to be some obscure reality about ICTs, some deciphering them as a propelled designing science that needs a mass of cash and propelled achievement. They are

not acknowledged as methods for making proficiency and value viability. Lack of awareness obliges disposition. Inspirational mentality toward ICTs is broadly perceived as vital for their viable usage (Woodrow, 2012).

According to the point of view of different researchers that ICTs should be integrated with higher education, they also suggested that the Policymakers and teachers can play their roles in this regard. Both teachers and policymakers need to know how the education system and technology interrelate with each other. Many opportunities can be achieved after the integration of ICTs in higher education. That's why before implementing ICTs in higher educational institutions, it is necessary to ensure proper training, sound policy, planning, and teaching process reforms. Then the educational benefits will be achieved. Before implementing ICTs in the academic field, think carefully about the purpose or perception of education. Education is the starter or engine for improving and developing culture. Integration is not just telling or informing about knowledge and skills, but it's a way to build human capital and economic growth. Thus, ICTs are a simple tool that provides help to achieve the purpose of education. In instructive settings, this reason will be associated with improved educating and learning for Pupils. ICTs don't get learners' learning opportunities; however, teachers who use ICTs mindfully do. (Krzesłowska, 2006).

Kirkup and Kirkwood (2005) contend that contextualized educating and learning necessities should drive the ICTs interventions instead of the innovation itself. There are many reasons behind the failure of successful integration of ICTs in the T&L process, but the most important is the lack of policy and strategies for planning.

As indicated by discoveries in their Finnish research dependent on twenty perceptions and meetings with educators and senior educators, Niemi et al. (2013) distinguish six classes of effective ICTs

coordination during the time spent T&L. These are given below: "(1) Strategic planning is a part of ICTs (2)Methods for teaching and learning (3) flexibility of curriculums, (4) high interests in correspondence, (5) ideal administration and the executives, and (6) showing staff's solid limit and responsibility."

METHODOLOGY

Objectives of the Study

The aim of the study is as under:

- i. To assess ICTs facilities and prospects for teaching and learning at the University level.

Design of the Study

The study was descriptive, and a Cross-Sectional survey was used Cross-Sectional survey is the type of observational study that analyses data from the population at a specific point in time (Lee, James, 1994). The data were collected to answer the research questions concerning the current status of integrating information and communication technologies in the classroom at the university level. The population was defined for the selection of the sample. A universal sampling technique was used. For this study, a questionnaire was used as a tool. The data were analyzed cumulatively through simple as well as advanced statistical formulas.

Population

According to the teacher's perspective, the study was conducted to explore the problems in integrating ICTs in the classroom at the university level. The researcher decided to delimit the population for data collection due to a lack of time and finance. Therefore, the information was gathered from the Faculty of Social Sciences, International Islamic University Islamabad teachers.

Sr. No	Institution	Category	Population
1	IIUI	Teachers	75

Source: https://www.iiu.edu.pk/?page_id=94 Retrieved January 25, 2020.

Sample and Sampling Techniques

According to (Richard & Margaret, 1990), Universal sampling refers to the selection of a sample where not all the people in the population have the same probability of being

included in the model, and for each of them, the probability of being selected is unknown. For this study, a universal sampling technique was used due to the short number of respondents from the population.

Sr. No	Institution	Category	Sample
1	IIUI	Teachers	75

Source: https://www.iiu.edu.pk/?page_id=94 Retrieved January 25, 2020.

ANALYSIS OF DATA

This portion of the Questionnaire related to the existing facilities of ICTs in the classroom. The collected is present in tabulated form.

TABLE 1: Personal computers/laptops in the classroom

Statement	S.No	Response	F	P	M
Personal computers/laptops in the classroom	1	Yes	63	100.0	1.00

Table 1 indicates that all respondents (100%) have personal laptops in the classroom, and the mean score was (M=1.00).

TABLE 2: Access to the Internet to teachers is up the classroom

Statement	S.No	Response	F	P	M
Access to the internet for teachers is up in the classroom.	1	Yes	63	100.0	1.00

Table 2 shows that all respondents (100%) agreed that internet access to teachers is up the classroom, and the mean score was (M=1.00).

TABLE 3: Availability of multimedia in the classroom

Statement	S.No	Response	F	P	M
Availability of multimedia in the classroom	1	Yes	63	100.0	1.00

Table 3 indicates that all respondents (100%) agreed multimedia facilities are available in the classroom, and the mean score was (M=1.00).

TABLE 4: Facility of print in the classroom

Statement	S.No	Response	F	P	M
The facility of print in the classroom	1	No	63	100.0	2.00

Table 4 indicates that all respondents (100%) disagreed with the classroom printing facility, and the mean score was (M=2.00).

TABLE 5: Electric fluctuation during the teaching process.

Statement	S.No	Response	F	P	M
Electric fluctuation during the teaching process.	1	Yes	63	100.0	1.00

Table 5 indicates that all respondents (100%) agreed that electric fluctuation should not occur

during the teaching process, and the mean score was (M=1.00).

TABLE 6: Availability of ICT-assisted software.

Statement	S.No	Response	F	P	M
Availability of ICT-assisted software.	1	Yes	63	100.0	1.00

Table 6 indicates that all respondents (100%) agreed that ICT-assisted software is available to

all the teachers, and the mean score was (M=1.00).

TABLE 7: ICT training for teachers

Statement	S.No	Response	F	P	M
ICT training for teachers	1	Yes	58	92.1	1.08
	2	No	5	7.9	
	3	Total	63	100.0	

Table 7 shows that 63 respondents (92%) agreed that universities provide ICT training to teachers using advanced technology. In contrast, 8% of respondents responded no, and the mean score was (M=1.08).

Findings

Based on the analysis, these findings were drawn.

1. All the respondents (100% & a mean score of 1.00) agreed they have personal computers/laptops.
2. All the respondents (100% & mean score of 1.00) agreed that access to the internet for a teacher is up to the classroom.
3. All the respondents (100% & mean score of 1.00) agreed that multimedia is available in the classroom.
4. All the respondents (100% & a mean score of 2.00) disagreed that the

classroom printing facility is unavailable.

5. All respondents (100% with & a mean score of 1.00) agreed that electric fluctuation should not occur during teaching and learning.
6. All the respondents (100% & mean score of 1.00) agreed that ICTs assessed software is available to all teachers.

Discussion

The present study aimed to assess the state of ICT facilities and explore their prospects for teaching and learning at the university level. The discussion section presents a comprehensive analysis of the findings, highlighting the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and challenges associated with integrating ICT into higher education.

The assessment of ICT facilities revealed several positive aspects. First and

foremost, most universities had basic ICT infrastructure in place, including computer labs, internet connectivity, and multimedia equipment. This infrastructure provided students and faculty members access to various digital resources, such as online libraries, research databases, and educational software.

Furthermore, the study found that universities had made progress in adopting learning management systems (LMS) to support teaching and learning activities. LMS platforms offered features for online course delivery, assignment submission, discussion forums, and collaboration tools. These tools facilitated communication and interaction among students and instructors, enhancing the learning experience.

The integration of ICT in teaching and learning also presented opportunities for innovative pedagogical approaches. Virtual classrooms, video conferencing, and webinars enabled remote learning, allowing students to participate in classes and engage with course content from anywhere. Online assessments and automated grading systems streamlined the evaluation process, providing timely feedback to students and reducing administrative burdens.

Despite these positive aspects, several challenges were identified. Firstly, there were variations in the availability and quality of ICT facilities among different universities. Some institutions lacked sufficient infrastructure or faced connectivity issues, limiting the potential benefits of ICT integration. Moreover, faculty members' digital literacy and pedagogical training played a crucial role in effectively utilizing ICT tools for teaching. Insufficient training and support hindered the adoption of innovative teaching methods and limited the overall impact of ICT on student learning outcomes.

Furthermore, the study highlighted the need for continuous investment in ICT infrastructure and technological updates to keep

up with the evolving educational landscape. Upgrading hardware, software, and network capabilities is essential to ensure reliable access and optimal utilization of ICT resources. Additionally, cyber security concerns must be addressed to safeguard sensitive data and protect the privacy of students and faculty members.

Conclusions:

In conclusion, assessing ICT facilities and prospects for teaching and learning at the university level revealed a mixed picture. While there were positive aspects, such as the availability of basic ICT infrastructure and the adoption of learning management systems, challenges remained regarding facility quality variations, faculty members' digital literacy, and the need for continuous investment and cyber security measures. Addressing these challenges is crucial to harness the full potential of ICT in higher education, creating an inclusive and technologically enabled learning environment for students and educators alike. The assessment of ICT facilities and prospects for teaching and learning at the university level reveals several key findings. First, the availability and quality of ICT infrastructure play a crucial role in enhancing educational outcomes. Universities with well-equipped ICT facilities provide students and faculty members with the tools and resources for effective teaching and learning. Second, integrating ICT into teaching and learning can significantly improve student engagement and knowledge retention. Interactive technologies, online learning platforms, and virtual collaboration tools offer dynamic and interactive learning experiences, promoting active participation and critical thinking.

Furthermore, the research highlights the importance of ongoing training and professional development for faculty members to effectively utilize ICT tools in their teaching practices. Building digital literacy skills among educators enables them to adapt to emerging technologies, incorporate innovative teaching

methods, and foster a student-centered learning environment. However, despite the potential benefits, challenges such as limited access to ICT infrastructure, inadequate technical support, and resistance to change from students and faculty members are significant barriers to effective integration. Universities must invest in upgrading ICT infrastructure, providing technical assistance, and implementing supportive policies to address these challenges.

References

- Ahlbäck Widenfalk, L., Leinaas, H. P., Bengtsson, J., & Birkemoe, T. (2018). Age And the level of self-organization affects the small-scale distribution of springtails. (Collembola).
- Alev, N. (2003). Integrating information and communications technology (ICT) into preservice science teacher education: The challenges of change in a Turkish faculty of education (Doctoral dissertation).
- Al Mulhim, E. (2014). The Barriers to the Use of ICT in Teaching in Saudi Arabia: A Review of Literature. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 2(6), 487-493.
- Arkorful, V., & Abaidoo, N. (2015). The Role of E-learning, advantages and Disadvantages of its adoption in higher education. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, 12(1), 29-42.
- Anderson, R. E. (2008). Implications of the information and knowledge society for education. In *International Handbook of information technology in Primary and secondary education* (pp. 5-22). Springer, Boston, MA.
- Anderson, J., Van Weert, T., & Duchâteau, C. (2002). Information and communication technology in education: A curriculum for schools and program of teacher development.
- Ang'ondi, E. K. (2013, July). Teacher's Attitudes and perceptions on the use of ICT in teaching and learning as observed by ICT champions. In *Proc. 10th IFIP World Conference on Computers in Education*, Torun.
- Angeli, C., & Valanides, N. (2009). Epistemological and methodological issues for The conceptualization, development, and assessment of ICT-TPCK: Advances in technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPCK). *Computers & education*, 52(1), 154-168.
- Atkin, D. (2000). How can I improve my use of ICT? Put history first! *Teaching History*, 42.
- Almqvist, Wang, Q., Zhang, A. Z, S., Junique, S., Noharet, B., Platt, D., ... & Andersson, J. Y. (2015, March). Recent developments in electroabsorption Modulators at Acreo Swedish ICT. In *Terahertz, RF, Millimeter, and Submillimeter-Wave Technology and Applications VIII* (Vol. 9362, p. 93620Z). International Society for Optics and Photonics.
- Altun, T. (2007). Information and communications technology (ICT) in initial teacher education: What can Turkey learn from the range of international perspectives? *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 4(2), 45-60
- Al- Zaidiyeen, N., Mei, L. & Fook, F. (2010). Teachers' Attitudes and Levels of Technology Use in Classrooms: A Case of Jordan Schools. *International Education Studies*. Vol, No. 2, 2010.
- Anton, S. R., & Sodano, H. A. (2007). A review of power harvesting using Piezoelectric materials (2003,2006). *Innovative Materials and Structures*, 16(3), R1.
- Balanskat, A., Blamire, R., & Kefala, S. (2006). The ICT impact report. *European Schoolnet*, 1, 1-71.

- Bhatt, D., & Maniar, A. (2015). Information and Communication Technology in Higher Education-Help and Challenge. *International Journal of Physical and Social Sciences*, 5(6), 15-29.
- Baser, O. (2006). Too much ado about propensity score models? Comparing methods of propensity score matching. *Value in Health*, 9(6), 377-385.
- Bergmann, K., Branigan, H. P., & Kopp, S. (2015). Exploring the alignment space—Lexical and gestural alignment with real and virtual humans. *Frontiers in ICT*, 2, 7.
- Booker, L. C. (2013). The promised LAN: the transformative power of information and communications technology in developing countries (Doctoral dissertation).
- Behar, A., & Mishra, P. (2015, April). ICTs in schools: Why focusing policy and Resources for educators, not children, will improve educational outcomes. *World Economic Forum*.
- Buttar, S. S. (2016). ICT in higher education. *PEOPLE: International Journal of Social Sciences*, 2(1).
- Choline, V. S. (2005), 'Study of the application of information technology for effective access to resources in Indian university libraries,' *The International Information & Library Review* Vol.37, No. (3), 189-197.
- Celik, V., & Yesilyurt, E. (2013). Attitudes to technology, perceived computer self-efficacy and computer anxiety as predictors of computer-supported education. *Computers & Education*, 60(1), 148-158.
- Chen, W. (2013). School leadership in ICT implementation: Perspectives from Singapore. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, 22(3), 301-311.
- Cox, M. J., Cox, K., & Preston, C. (2000). What factors support or prevent teachers From using ICT in their classrooms?
- Cartwright, V., & Hammond, M. (2007). 'Fitting it in': A study exploring ICT use in a UK primary school. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 23(3).
- Dogan, S. (2010). PERCEPTIONS OF TEACHERS ABOUT THE USE OF EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGIES IN THE PROCESS OF INSTRUCTION. *Educational Sciences/Odgojne Znanosti*, 12 (2).
- Divaharan, S., & Ping, L. C. (2010). Secondary school socio-cultural context influencing ICT integration: A
- Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher technology change: How Knowledge, confidence, beliefs, and culture intersect. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 42(3), 255-284.
- Field, M.H & Fegan, J., (2005). Globalization and Across-Borfer Education: Paradigms and Challenges. In Field, M.H & Fegan, J. (eds.). *Education Across Border: Philosophy, Policy, and Pedagogy-New Paradigms and Challenges*. Tokyo; Waseda University Media-Mix Co.Ltd.
- Flecknoe, M. (2002). "How can ICT help us to improve education"? *Innovations in Education & Teaching International*, Vol. 39, No. 4, Pp; 271-280
- Fakhru'l-Razi, A., Pendashteh, A., Abdullah, L. C., Biak, D. R. A., Madaeni, S. S., & Abidin, Z. Z. (2009). Review of technologies for oil and gas-produced water treatment. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 170(2-3), 530-551.
- Feuerriegel, S., Bodenbenner, P., & Neumann, D. (2016). Value and granularity of ICT and smart meter data in demand response systems. *Energy Economics*, 54, 1-10.
- Figg, C., & Jamani, K. J. (2011). Exploring teacher knowledge and actions supporting

Technology-enhanced teaching in elementary schools: Two approaches by preservice teachers. *Australasian Journal of educational technology*, 27(7).

Fluck, A., & Dowden, T. (2010). Can new teachers be ICT change agents? In *Proceedings of the International Education Research Conference (AARE 2009)* (pp. 1-10). Australian Association for Research in Education. Case study approach. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 26(6).

Fitzallen, N. (2004, November). Profiling teachers' integration of ICT into professional practice. In *Conference proceedings: Australian Association for Research in Education (2004)*.

Gelb, E., Parker, C., Wagner, P., & Roszkopf, K. (2001). Why is the ICT adoption The rate by farmers is still so slow. *Proceedings ICAST*, 6, 40-48.

Gay, L. R., Airasian, P. W., & Mills, G. E. (1996). *Educational research: Competencies for analysis and application*.

Gull, J. (2020). The integration of ICT in ELT: A systematic literature review on the use of Information and Communication Technologies in the English language teaching.

Galanouli, D., Murphy, C., & Gardner, J. (2004). Teachers' perceptions of the effectiveness of ICT-competence training. *Computers & Education*, 43(1-2), 63-79.

Gelb, E., Parker, C., Wagner, P., & Roszkopf, K. (2001). Why is the ICT adoption The rate by farmers is still so slow. *Proceedings ICAST*, 6, 40-48.

Hepp, K. P., Hinojosa, S.E., Laval, M.E., Rehbein, L. F. (2004) "Technology in Schools: Education, ICT, and the Knowledge Society "OECD. Available:

Hegarty, J. (Ed.). (2004). *ICT and Special Educational Needs*. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).

Hansen, H. R., Mendling, J., & Neumann, G. (2019). *Wirtschaftsinformatik*. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG.

Hammond, M. (2004). The peculiarities of teaching information and communication technology as a subject: A study of trainee and new ICT teachers in secondary schools. *Technology, pedagogy and education*, 13(1), 29-42.

Hayes, D. N. (2007). ICT and learning: Lessons from Australian classrooms. *Computers & Education*, 49(2), 385-395.

Hasselbring, W. (2000). Information system integration. *Communications of the ACM*, 43(6), 32-38.

Hinojosa, J. E., Labbé, C., Brun, M., & Matamala, C. (2011). Teaching and learning Activities in Chilean classrooms: Is ICT making a difference? *Computers & Education*, 57(1), 1358-1367.

Harris, S. (2002). Innovative pedagogical practices using ICT in schools in England. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 18 (4), 449-458.

Hennessy, S., Harrison, D., & Wamakote, L. (2010). Teacher factors influencing Classroom use of ICT in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Itupale online Journal of African studies*, 2(1), 39-54.

Huang, H. M., & Liaw, S. S. (2005). Exploring users' attitudes and intentions toward The web as a survey tool. *Computers in human behavior*, 21(5), 729-743.

Harmer, Fransen, M., McConnell, S., Harmer, A. R., Van der Esch, M., Simic, M., & Bennell, K. L. (2015). Exercise for osteoarthritis of the

knee. Cochrane database of systematic reviews, (1).

Hong, K. S., & Songan, P. (2011). ICT in the changing landscape of higher education In Southeast Asia. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 27(8).

Kirkup, G., & Kirkwood, A. (2005). Information and communications technologies (ICT) in higher education teaching—a tale of gradualism rather than revolution. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 30 (2), 185-199.

Jimoyiannis, A. (2009). Factors determining teachers' beliefs and perceptions of ICT in education. In *Encyclopedia of information communication technology* (pp. 321-334). IGI Global.

Jegede, P. O., Dibu-Ojerinde, O. O., & Ilori, M. O. (2007). Relationships between ICT competence and attitude among some Nigerian tertiary institution lecturers. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 2(7), 172-175.

Jenson, M., Myers, M., & Southwood, R. (2004). The impact of ICT in Africa. Commission for Africa.

John, P. (2005). The sacred and the profane: subject sub-culture, pedagogical practice and teachers' perceptions of the classroom uses of ICT. *Educational review*, 57(4), 471-490.

John, P., & Sutherland, R. (2005). Affordance, opportunity, and the pedagogical implications of ICT. *Educational Review*, 57(4), 405-413.

Karagiorgi, Y., & Charalambous, K. (2004). Curricula Considerations in ICT Integration: Models and practices in Cyprus. *Education and Information Technologies*, 9(1), 21-35.

Krzyszowska, M., Lenartowska, M., Mellerowicz, E. J., Samardakiewicz, S., & Woźny, A. (2009). Pectinous cell wall thickening formation—a response

of moss protonemata cells to lead. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 65(1), 119-131.

Karagiorgi, Y., & Charalambous, K. (2004). Curricula Considerations in ICT Integration: Models and practices in Cyprus. *Education and Information Technologies*, 9(1), 21-35.

Kirkup, G., & Kirkwood, A. (2005). Information and communications technologies (ICT) in higher education teaching—a tale of gradualism rather than revolution. *Learning, media and technology*, 30(2), 185-199.

Kumar, P., Mathew, L., Shimi, S. L., & Singh, P. (2016). Need of ICT for Sustainable Development of Power Sector. In *Proceedings of International Conference on ICT for Sustainable Development* (pp. 607-614). Springer, Singapore.

Kam, B. L. (2007). Identifying Exemplary Uses of ICT in Mathematics Teaching. Unpublished Master's Thesis.

Lee, James (1994). "Odds Ratio or Relative Risk for Cross-Sectional Data?" *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 23 (1): 201–3

Littlejohn, A. H. (2002). We are improving continuing professional development in the use of ICT. *Journal of computer-assisted learning*, 18 (2), 166-174.

Lilja, Y. & Uddgård, A.,(2015). IKT i förskolan:-hur börjar man?.

Lee, J. (1994). Odds ratio or relative risk for cross-sectional data? *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 23(1), 201-203.

Leask, M. (Ed.). (2001). *Issues in teaching using ICT*. Psychology Press.

Leye, V. (2007). UNESCO, ICT corporations, and the passion for ICT for Development:

modernization resurrected. *Media, Culture & Society*, 29(6), 972-993.

Lowe, T., & Humphrey, O. (2018). A Platform for Partnership: A Technology Review Of the Padlet sharing platform. *The Journal of Educational Innovation, Partnership and Change*, 4(1).

Myers, C. B., Bennett, D., Brown, G., & Henderson, T. (2004). Emerging online learning environments and student learning: An analysis of faculty perceptions. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 7 (1), 78-86.

Michael & Hammond, (2014). Introducing ICT in schools in England: Rationale And consequences. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 45(2), 191-201.

Morris, A. (2011). Student standardized testing.

Miřoch, L. Wikipedia–ICT [online]. 10. 6. 2008, last revised 30. 5. 2010 [cit. 2010-11-9]. Dostupné z WWW< [http://cs. Wikipedia. Org/wiki/ICT](http://cs.wikipedia.org/wiki/ICT).

Moodley, K. (2017). Mobile learning: a professional teacher technical identity development framework (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pretoria).

Mozas-Moral, A., Moral-Pajares, E., Medina-Viruel, M. J., & Bernal-Jurado, E. (2016). Manager's educational background and ICT use as antecedents of export decisions: A crisp set QCA analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(4), 1333-1335.

Moseley, D., Higgins, S., Bramald, R., Hardman, F., Miller, J., Mroz, M., ... &

Halligan, J. (1999). *Ways Forward with ICT: Effective Pedagogy Using Information and Communications Technology for Literacy and Numeracy in Primary Schools*.

Maddux, C. D. (2014). The Internet: Educational Prospects—and problems. *Educational Technology*, 34(7), 37-42.

Mwalongo, A. (2011). Teachers' perceptions about ICTs for teaching, professional Development, administration, and personal use. *International Journal of Education and development using ICT*, 7(3), 36-49.

Ng'ambi, D., & Johnston, K. (2006). An ICT-mediated Constructivist Approach for Increasing academic support and teaching critical thinking skills. *Educational Technology & Society*, 9(3), 244-253.

Oliver, R., & Towers, S. (2000, December). Benchmarking ICT literacy in tertiary learning settings. In *Learning to choose: Choosing to learn. Proceedings of the 17th Annual ASCILITE Conference* (pp. 381-390).

Oliver, R. (2002). The role of ICT in higher education for the 21st century: ICT as a change agent for education. Retrieved April 14, 2007.

Ounis, T. (2016). Addressing the integration of ICT into teaching and Identification of the potential factors motivating teachers to use ICT. *International Journal of Humanities and Cultural Studies (IJHCS)* ISSN 2356-5926, 3(1), 1099-1114.

Prestridge, S. (2010). ICT professional development for teachers in online forums: analyzing the role of discussion. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 26 (2), 252-258.

Proctor, R. M., Watson, G., & Finger, G. (2003). Measuring information and Communication technology (ICT) curriculum integration. *Computers in the Schools*, 20(4), 67-87.

Peeraer, J., & Van Petegem, P. (2011). ICT in teacher education in an emerging developing country: Vietnam's baseline situation at the start

of 'The Year of ICT'. *Computers & Education*, 56(4), 974-982.

Plowman, L., Stephen, C., & McPake, J. (2010). Supporting young children's learning With technology at home and in preschool. *Research Papers in Education*, 25(1), 93-113.

Qureshi, I. A., Ilyas, K., Yasmin, R., & Whitty, M. (2012). Challenges of implementing e-learning in a Pakistani university. *Knowledge Management & E-Learning*, 4 (3), 310.

Ratheeswari, K. (2018). Information communication technology in education. *Journal of Applied and Advanced Research*, 3(1), S45-S47.

Rabah, J. (2015). Benefits and Challenges of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) Integration in Québec English Schools. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology-TOJET*, 14(2), 24-31.

Ratheeswari, K. (2018). Information communication technology in education. *Journal of Applied and Advanced Research*, 3(1), S45-S47.

Sarkar, S. (2012). The role of information and communication technology (ICT) in higher education for the 21st century. *Science*, 1 (1), 30-41.

Sife, A., Lwoga, E., & Sanga, C. (2007). New technologies for teaching and learning: Challenges for higher learning institutions in developing countries. *International journal of education and development using ICT*, 3 (2), 57-67.

Smeets, E. (2005). Does ICT contribute to robust learning environments in primary education? *Computers & Education*, 44 (3), 343-355.

Svensson, A. (2015, September). The Teachers' Perspective on School Leadership for

ICT. In *European Conference on Knowledge Management* (p. 742). Academic Conferences International Limited.

Sundberg, L. (2017). *Public Values and Decision-Making in the Swedish e-Government Context* (Doctoral dissertation, Mid Sweden University).

Schöpfer-Grabe, West, A., Stokes, E., Bäcklund, A. K., Freudendal, M., Meusy, M., Poux, E., & Schöpfer-Grabe, S. (2004). *ICT learning and training: data, Policies and practices in selected EU countries*.

Savelyeva, T. (2015). M. Spector, D. Merrill, J. Elen, MJ Bishop (eds): *Handbook of Research on educational communications and technology*.

Smeets, E. (2005). Does ICT contribute to robust learning environments in primary education? *Computers & Education*, 44(3), 343-355.

Shaikh, Z. A., & Khoja, S. A. (2011). Role of ICT in Shaping the Future of Pakistani Higher Education System. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology-TOJET*, 10(1), 149-161.

UNESCO. (2009). *Guide to measuring information and communication technologies (ICT) in education*. Montreal: UNESCO Institute for Statistics

Tusubira, F., & Mulira, N. (2004, September). Integration of ICT in organizations: Challenges and best practice recommendations based on the experience of Makerere University and other organizations. In *International ICT Conference Held at Hotel Africana, Kampala, Uganda*. 5th to 8th September.

Tezci, E. (2009). Teachers' effect on ICT use in education: The Turkey sample. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 1(1), 1285-1294.

- Tairo, H. (2017). Management Perceptions About ICT Integration in Teaching and Learning in Primary Schools in Kinondoni Municipality (Doctoral dissertation, The Open University of Tanzania).
- Tilya, F. (2007). ICT in education in Tanzania: Lessons and experiences from IICD- supported projects. International Institute for Communication Development, The Hague.
- Tusubira, F., & Mulira, N. (2004, September). Integration of ICT in organizations: Challenges and best practice recommendations based on the experience of Makerere University and other organizations. In International ICT Conference Held at Hotel Africana, Kampala, Uganda. 5th to 8th September.
- Trushell, J., Byrne, K., & Hassan, N. (2013). ICT facilitates access to information and Undergraduates' cheating behaviors. *Computers & Education*, 63, 151-159.
- Unwin, P. T. H., & Unwin, T. (Eds.). (2009). *ICT4D: Information and Communication Technology for Development*. Cambridge University Press.
- Woodrow, J. E. (1992). The influence of programming training on preservice teachers' computer literacy and attitudes. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*, 25 (2), 200-219.
- Wheeler, S., Waite, S. J., & Bromfield, C. (2002). Promoting creative thinking through the use of ICT. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 18 (3), 367-378.
- Webster, R., & Oliver, M. A. (1990). *Statistical methods in Soil and land resource Survey*. Oxford University Press (OUP).
- Watson, D. M. (2001). Pedagogy before technology: Re-thinking the relationship Between ICT and teaching. *Education and Information Technologies*, 6(4), 251-266.
- Wang, Q. (2008). A generic model for guiding the integration of ICT into teaching and learning. *Innovations in education and teaching international*, 45(4), 411-419.
- Yelland, N (2001), teaching and Learning with information and communication technologies (ICT) for numeracy in the early childhood and primary years of schooling. Australia: Department of Education, Training and Youth Affairs
- Yusuf, M. O. (2005). Information and communication technology and education: Analysing the Nigerian national policy for information technology. *International education journal*, 6 (3), 316-321.
- Yuen, A. H., Law, N., & Wong, K. C. (2003). ICT implementation and school Leadership. *Journal of Educational Administration*.
- Zhao, Y. & Cziko, G. A. (2001). Teacher adoption of technology: a perceptual control theory perspective. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, Vol. 9, No. (1), Pp; 5-30.
- Zuppo, C. M. (2012). Defining ICT in a boundaryless world: The Development of a working hierarchy. *International Journal of managing information technology*, 4(3), 13.