

Green HRM Practices in The Banking Sector – An Employee Perspective

G. SRIVIDYA,

Research Scholar, Department of Business Management,
Sri Padmavati Mahila Visvavidyalayam, (Women's University), Tirupati-517502.
Mail ID: vidya.8819@gmail.com, Ph: 9490966086

Dr. G. SUBASHINI

Assistant Professor, Department of Business Management
Sri Padmavati Mahila Visvavidyalayam, (Women's University), Tirupati-517502
Mail ID: gsubamba123@gmail.com, Ph: +91-9849319491

Prof. B. VIJAYALAKSHMI

Professor, Department of Business Management
Sri Padmavati Mahila Visvavidyalayam, (Women's University), Tirupati-517502
Mail ID: vijayamba65@yahoo.com, Ph: +91-9849552836

ABSTRACT

Green Human Resource Management has become a strategic business option for the organizations in order to gain competitive advantage, increased efficiencies and sustainable use of resources. This paper aims to analyze the perceptions of the employees towards Green HRM practices and it assess the correlation between the demographic factors of the employees and their perceptions towards the Green HRM practices in the selected study units. The present research study adopts Exploratory Research Design. Both the primary and secondary data sources will be utilized by the researcher in order to draw conclusions from the findings of this study. Primary data will be collected from the selected sample respondents through questionnaire method. The sampling units identified for the present study involves seven banking organizations comprising both public and private sector banking organizations located in the Hyderabad Metropolitan Region. Stratified Random Sampling Technique was applied in order to draw the sample respondents from the identified sampling units in the study area. 20 employees from each selected banking organization were identified as the sample respondents and hence, the sample size fixed for this study purpose was 140 from the selected seven banking organizations in the study area. In order to draw the statistical inferences from the data analysis, statistical tools like correlation matrix, Factor Analysis, Anova, Kaiser Meyer Olkin and Bartlett's test were applied. The study concludes that there exists a significant association between the educational qualifications and experience of the employees and their perception towards Green HRM practices.

KEYWORDS: Banking Organizations, Employees Perception, Green Human Resource Management

INTRODUCTION

The global economy is transforming into talent based economy from the traditional industrial based financial system. The economy is also entering into the phase popularly known as green economy under which the expectations of the consumers and employees and other environmental issues will require the business aspects to address the green issues. There is also an impending need for the integration of human resource management (HRM) into environmental management under research practices echoing the term Green HRM. Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) has become the fundamental strategy in the business sphere for the prominent organizations where the aspects of human resource management departments play the key role in going green at their offices.

Green Human Resource Management is said to be the application of human resource management policies in order to promote the protracted use of resources within the business units and propagating the fundamental cause of environmental sustainability. The human resource professionals had proclaimed that motivating their employees in order to be more eco-friendly in their working places is their top priority and practice within their organizations. This indicates that business organizations are encouraging their human resources (employees) to perform the activities such as powering down the electronic devices like computers and laptops, ensuring blinds are narrowed in the summer period in order to conserve energy, using energy efficient lights for the desk lamps and going for charity practices in terms of their used furniture and their office fittings to the local charities as a part of environmentally responsible practices.

The present century has been depicting the increased interest in terms of environmental issues across the world. The modern trends in the global environmentalism had paved the way for the emergence of specific policies to combat the changes of climate (Victor 2001). The harmful effects of industrial waste and pollution across the world had promoted the regulations for reversing the destruction of natural resources and necessary environmental policies are being formulated for the welfare of the society mankind (Shrivastava and Berger, 2010).

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

In the present day scenario, the business organizations are implementing environmental management system has a strategic business tool for attaining the competitive advantage. It provides better coordination and control of organization's environmental impacts and comprises policy, commitment, planning, execution, measurement, assessment and review that fit with the culture and long term goals of business organizations. The Green Human Resource Management policies will touch all the facets of employee career cycle in the business organizations.

The term Green Human Resource Management is used to refer the contribution of management policies and practices in the business organizations towards the broader corporate agenda in terms of environmental issues. GHRM refer to promote sustainable practices and increased awareness of employees and their commitments towards the issues of environmental sustainability and it comprises environment friendly HR initiative that results in lower cost, greater efficiencies and enhanced engagement of employees towards work culture. These Green HRM practices further reduces the employee carbon foot prints like electronic filing, electronic recruiting, car sharing, paperless office, job sharing, virtual interviews and teleconferencing, online training and green rewards.

The employee focused green initiatives will have a significant impact on the eco-friendly issues as the workforce in the organization will act as the major contributor to the pollution and wastage. Though, the green initiatives are often upheld by the operation groups, human resources will play a significant role in reviewing the process of implementation and they will identify how the people will act in a different manner to reduce the usage of materials and energy. The Green HRM practices motivates and engages the employees through a shared set of values and improves the health conditions of the work force. The GHRM policies will encourage the sustainable use of materials or resources within the business units and they will promote the basic cause of environmentalism and in turn they will promote the employee morale and satisfaction more particularly in the service sector organizations like banking, insurance, hospitality administration etc.,. Thus, the present study

was carried out in order to examine and assess the employee perspectives towards Green HRM practices in the Banking sector.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Green Human Resource Management is said to be the manifesto which helps to promote green workforce that appreciates green culture in the business organizations. The green initiatives will maintain the green objectives in the HRM process like hiring, recruiting, training, compensating and increasing the human capital of the organizations (Dutta, 2012). Human resource process plays the significant role in transforming green HR policies into practices (Renwick, 2008); therefore human management and human capital are very instrumental for the fulfillment of environmental management objectives (Hersey, 1998).

The centrality of the success for any organization lies in the processes of selection, compensation, employee environment, performance management system and training activities of that particular organization (Huselid, 1995). The commitment towards environmental issues by the organization adds a profile to it and recruiting the employees with green bend of mind makes easy for the organizations to induct employees who are well aware of environment with sustainable processes like recycling and conservation etc., (Grolleau et al., 2012).

In order to gain the competitive advantage in the business world, business organizations across the globe are incorporating GHRM practices. The total integration and adoption of GHRM practices in business organizations is not possible but it requires a transformed approach towards the prevailing HR practices on part of both the employees as well as the management simultaneously. The HR executives shall guide the line managers in terms of attaining full cooperation from the staff members in the process of implementing the environmental policies (Sathyapriya, Kanimozi and Adhilakshmi, 2019).

The impact of Green HRM practices will be multifaceted in its nature and it requires constant supervision and monitoring in order to recognize their latent impact on the issues of human resource management. The GHRM involves the specific policies and practices of HR those aligned with the sustainable pillars like economic, social and environment balance (Yusliza, Ramayah and Othman, 2021).

OBJECTIVES

1. To examine the demographic profile of the sample respondents in the study units.

2. To analyze the perceptions of the employees towards Green HRM practices in the study units.
3. To assess the correlation between the demographic factors of the employees and their perceptions towards the Green HRM practices in the selected study units.
4. To suggest certain policy measures for the effective implementation of Green HRM practices in the study units by basing on the findings of the study.

NULL HYPOTHESES

In order to verify the empirical validity of the research objectives, the following Null-hypotheses were framed and tested for their statistical significance.

Ho1: There exists no significant association between the educational qualifications of the employees and their perception towards Green HRM practices

Ho2: There exists no significant association between the experience of the employees and their perception towards Green HRM practices

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present research study adopts Exploratory Research Design . Both the primary and secondary data sources will be utilized by the researcher in order to draw conclusions from the findings of this study. Primary data will be collected from the selected sample respondents through questionnaire method and secondary data sources will be gathered from Annual Reports, Journals , Magazines and other published material pertaining to the research topic.

The sampling units identified for the present study involves seven banking organizations comprising both public and private sector banking organizations located in the Hyderabad Metropolitan Region. Four public sector banking organizations namely State Bank of India, Union Bank of India, Indian Bank and United Commercial Bank and three private sector banking organizations namely ICICI, HDFC and Axis Bank were identified as the study units.

Stratified Random Sampling Technique was applied in order to draw the sample respondents from the identified sampling units in the study area. 20 employees from each selected banking organization were identified as the sample respondents and hence, the sample size fixed for this study purpose was 140 from the selected seven banking organizations in the study area. In order to draw the statistical inferences from the data analysis, statistical tools like correlation matrix, Factor Analysis, Anova, Kaiser Meyer Olkin and Bartlett’s test were applied.

DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

The data analysis in the present study comprises the aspects of data screening, testing the assumptions and sampling adequacy followed by testing the proposed Null-Hypotheses in the study.

The data analysis pertaining to table no.1 exhibits the abridged version of the correlation matrix (R-matrix). The values quoted at the top end of the table shows the Pearson Correlation coefficient between the total pairs of the identified factors of Green HRM and the bottom end of the table shows the values pertaining to the single tailed significance of the coefficients.

In the first phase, the significant values were examined for all the variables and it was found that majority of the derived values were greater than 0.05. In the second phase, the correlation coefficients were examined thoroughly in order to find the values more than 0.9 because if any value greater than 0.9 will result in the problem of data singularity and they have to be omitted from the data analysis. The statistical values in the present study under table no.1 were below 0.9 and hence there exists a significant correlation between each and every pair.

The third phase involves the examination of significant correlation between the variables and it was found that a major chunk of the derived values were below 0.05. The matrix determinant must be more than 0.00001. The present study shows the determinant value is 6.672. Hence, it can be assumed that multi co-linearity does not exist in the present data.

Table No.1: Pearson Correlation Matrix-a

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| Correlation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E-Recruiting | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E-Filing | 0.436 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Paperless office | 0.632 | 0.663 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Car sharing | 0.457 | 0.479 | 0.731 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | |
| Job sharing | 0.728 | 0.481 | 0.723 | 0.536 | 1.000 | | | | | | | |
| Teleconference | 0.616 | 0.584 | 0.678 | 0.476 | 0.642 | 1.000 | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Re-cycling | 0.488 | 0.596 | 0.434 | 0.419 | 0.554 | 0.486 | 1.000 | | | | | |
| Telecommuting | 0.506 | 0.682 | 0.576 | 0.423 | 0.592 | 0.629 | 0.712 | 1.000 | | | | |
| Online training | 0.096 | 0.192 | 0.273 | 0.188 | 0.254 | 0.102 | 0.142 | 0.295 | 1.000 | | | |
| Green rewards | 0.396 | 0.742 | 0.634 | 0.465 | 0.462 | 0.586 | 0.621 | 0.883 | 0.358 | 1.000 | | |
| Energy efficient office space | 0.328 | 0.413 | 0.292 | 0.373 | 0.384 | 0.395 | 0.727 | 0.539 | 0.038 | 0.469 | 1.000 | |
| Virtual interviews | 0.203 | 0.117 | 0.278 | 0.403 | 0.194 | 0.075 | 0.0162 | 0.201 | 0.679 | 0.231 | 0.162 | 1.000 |
| Sig. (1-tailed) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E-Recruiting | 0.000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E-Filing | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Paperless office | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Car sharing | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | | | | | | |
| Job sharing | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | | | | | |
| Teleconference | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | | | | |
| Re-cycling | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | | | |
| Telecommuting | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | | |
| Online training | 0.142 | 0.015 | 0.002 | 0.018 | 0.002 | 0.126 | 0.057 | 0.001 | 0.000 | | | |
| Green rewards | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | |
| Energy efficient office space | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.321 | 0.000 | |
| Virtual interviews | 0.012 | 0.096 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.201 | 0.036 | 0.012 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.036 | 0.000 |
| a. Determinant – 6.672 E – 005 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table No.2
KMO and Bartlett’s test

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Kaiser Meyer Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy | 0.815 |
| Bartlett’s test of sphericity | Approx. Chi-square |
| | 1088.624 |
| | Sig. |
| | 0.000 |

The results from table no.2 show that the KMO value is 0.815 and it is neither close to 1 nor nearer to 0. It can be presumed that the existing value range is good and the test measures the original correlation matrix in terms of identity matrix. In order to conduct factor analysis, it is required to establish the relationship among the existing variables and the correlation

coefficients would be zero if the R-matrix is an Identity matrix and the significant value should be less than 0.05 level of significance. The test result shows that the derived chi-square value is highly significant (p <0.001) and hence the factor analysis will be an appropriate step in this direction.

Table No.3
Anti-Image Correlation matrix

| Factors | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----|----|----|
| E-Recruiting | 0.866a | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E-Filing | 0.012 | 0.923a | | | | | | | | | | |
| Paperless office | -0.179 | -0.297 | 0.822a | | | | | | | | | |
| Car sharing | 0.125 | 0.017 | -0.486 | 0.822a | | | | | | | | |
| Job sharing | -0.354 | 0.122 | -0.411 | -0.072 | 0.796a | | | | | | | |
| Teleconference | -0.202 | -0.084 | -0.186 | 0.006 | -0.121 | 0.952a | | | | | | |
| Re-cycling | -0.136 | -0.262 | 0.194 | -0.086 | -0.195 | 0.112 | 0.854a | | | | | |
| Telecommuting | -0.074 | 0.004 | 0.223 | 0.082 | -0.331 | -0.127 | -0.184 | 0.824a | | | | |
| Online training | 0.248 | -0.002 | -0.022 | 0.282 | -0.318 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 0.071 | 0.518a | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Green rewards | 0.091 | -0.236 | -0.324 | -0.043 | 0.385 | -0.044 | -0.027 | -0.752 | -0.256 | 0.778a | | |
| Energy efficient office space | 0.087 | 0.046 | 0.124 | -0.112 | -0.038 | -0.124 | -0.531 | -0.117 | 0.174 | -0.056 | 0.825a | |
| Virtual interviews | -0.248 | 0.094 | -0.002 | -0.396 | 0.237 | 0.098 | 0.017 | -0.066 | -0.717 | 0.118 | -0.149 | 0.525a |

The table No.3 shows the Bartlett’s test of sphericity and anti-image correlation matrix. It was recommended that the bare minimum value of 0.5 is ideal and the values in between 0.5 and 0.7 are mediocre and the

values between 0.5 and 0.7 are said to be good . The result in the present study shows that the minimum values of 0.5 were attained for all the variables and hence further analysis can be considered.

Table No.4
Communalities

| Factors | Initial | Extraction |
|---|---------|------------|
| E-Recruiting | 1.000 | 0.696 |
| E-Filing | 1.000 | 0.652 |
| Paperless office | 1.000 | 0.844 |
| Car sharing | 1.000 | 0.596 |
| Job sharing | 1.000 | 0.745 |
| Teleconference | 1.000 | 0.706 |
| Re-cycling | 1.000 | 0.782 |
| Telecommuting | 1.000 | 0.826 |
| Online training | 1.000 | 0.828 |
| Green rewards | 1.000 | 0.784 |
| Energy efficient office space | 1.000 | 0.646 |
| Virtual interviews | 1.000 | 0.826 |
| Extraction method :Principle Component Method | | |

The table no.4 shows the principle component analysis and the communalities of the extraction were clearly depicted. The initial assumptions for all the variances are common and hence the initial communalities are equal to 1. The communalities shown in the table under the heading extraction will reflect the common variance in the structure of the data. Paperless office was associated with 84.4 percent of the total variance. The differentiating values for each variable shows that e-recruiting is having the common variance of 69.6

percent, E-filing with 65.2 percent , car sharing with 59.6 percent , job sharing with 74.5 percent , teleconference with 70.6 percent , recycling with 78.2 percent , telecommuting with 82.6 percent , online training with 82.8 percent , green rewards with 78.4 percent , energy efficient office space with 64.6 percent and virtual interviews with 82.6 percent variance in the structure.

Table No.5
Total Variance Explained

| Components | Extraction sums of squared loadings | | | Rotation sums of squared loadings |
|------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Total | Percent of variance | Cumulative percentage | |
| 1 | 6.168 | 52.292 | 52.292 | 5.198 |
| 2 | 1.586 | 12.346 | 64.638 | 2.196 |

| | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| 3 | 1.152 | 8.598 | 73.236 | 4.884 |
| Extraction Method : Principle Component Analysis | | | | |

The table no.5 shows the Eigen values extracted from the principle component analysis. It depicts the Eigen values in terms of the percentage of variance. It shows that three factors out of the existing twelve factors identified for the study accounts for 73.236 percent of variance. It can be inferred from the study that the first three factors depicts relatively large amount of variance when compared to the subsequent factor those explain a small amount of variance comparatively.

Resource Management practices. The result shows that three factors had emerged from the principle component analysis obtained through Oblimin and Kaiser Normalization with the rotations converged in eight iterations. The three factors those emerged from the principle component analysis have the factor loading values greater than 0.5. Thus, these three factors shall be conceptualized as the most influential dimensions of Green HRM practices. All the dimensions were amalgamated to constitute the existing dimensions of Green Harm practices.

The table no.6 shows the details of the pattern matrix of the dimensions with regard to Green Human

Table No.6
Pattern Matrix - a

| Factors | Component-1 | Component-2 | Component-3 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Paperless office | 0.885 | | |
| E-Recruiting | 0.878 | | |
| Job sharing | 0.828 | | |
| Teleconference | 0.716 | | |
| Car sharing | 0.689 | | |
| Online training | | 0.904 | |
| Virtual interviews | | 0.892 | |
| Energy efficient office space | | | 0.882 |
| Recycling | | | 0.864 |
| Telecommuting | | | 0.768 |
| Green rewards | | | 0.732 |
| E-filing | | | 0.576 |
| Extraction Method : Principle Component Analysis | | | |
| Rotation method : Oblimin with Kaiser Normalization | | | |
| a. Rotation Converged in 8 iterations | | | |

Table No.7
Component Correlation Matrix

| Component | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| 1 | 1.000 | 0.238 | 0.579 |
| 2 | 0.238 | 1.000 | 0.168 |
| 3 | 0.579 | 0.168 | 1.000 |
| Extraction Method : Principle Component Analysis | | | |
| Rotation method : Oblimin with Kaiser Normalization | | | |

The table no.7 shows the component correlation matrix between the emerged three factors from the principle component analysis. It shows that all the factors are interrelating to some degree with each other.

Verification of Hypothesis –Ho1

Ho1: There exists no significant association between the educational qualifications Of the employees and their perception towards Green HRM practices
Test applied: Anova

Table No.8

Anova test on the perceptions of employees towards Green HRM practices by their educational qualifications

| Factors | Variance | Mean Square | F value | Sig. |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| E-Recruiting | Between the groups | 6.321 | 6.738 | 0.000* |
| | Within the groups | 0.939 | | |
| E-Filing | Between the groups | 2.149 | 2.182 | 0.062 |
| | Within the groups | 0.985 | | |
| Paperless office | Between the groups | 2.458 | 5.265 | 0.001* |
| | Within the groups | 0.467 | | |
| Car sharing | Between the groups | 3.357 | 4.294 | 0.002* |
| | Within the groups | 0.782 | | |
| Job sharing | Between the groups | 3.987 | 5.138 | 0.001* |
| | Within the groups | 0.776 | | |
| Teleconference | Between the groups | 2.881 | 3.492 | 0.008* |
| | Within the groups | 0.825 | | |
| Re-cycling | Between the groups | 1.435 | 1.078 | 0.352 |
| | Within the groups | 1.332 | | |
| Telecommuting | Between the groups | 0.711 | 0.932 | 0.398 |
| | Within the groups | 0.762 | | |
| Online training | Between the groups | 15.941 | 9.976 | 0.000* |
| | Within the groups | 1.598 | | |
| Green rewards | Between the groups | 0.649 | 1.012 | 0.376 |
| | Within the groups | 0.642 | | |
| Energy efficient office space | Between the groups | 5.299 | 2.792 | 0.024* |
| | Within the groups | 1.898 | | |
| Virtual interviews | Between the groups | 21.342 | 15.264 | 0.000* |
| | Within the groups | 1.366 | | |

*significant at 0.05 level of significance

The Anova test result shows that the factors of E-Recruiting, paperless office, car sharing, job sharing, teleconferencing, online training, energy efficient office space and virtual interviews were found to be significant at 0.05 level of significance and hence the propose Null hypothesis (Ho1) stands rejected. It can be inferred that there exists a significant association

between the educational qualifications of the employees and their perception towards Green HRM practices.

Verification of Hypothesis –Ho2

Ho2: There exists no significant association between the experienceof the Employees and their perception towards Green HRM practices
Test applied: Anova

Table No.9

Anova test on the perceptions of employees towards Green HRM practices by their experience

| Factors | Variance | Mean Square | F value | Sig. |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|-------|
| E-Recruiting | Between the groups | 2.058 | 1.892 | 0.102 |
| | Within the groups | 1.088 | | |
| E-Filing | Between the groups | 1.697 | 1.684 | 0.128 |
| | Within the groups | 1.008 | | |
| Paperless office | Between the groups | 0.285 | 0.526 | 0.698 |
| | Within the groups | 0.542 | | |
| Car sharing | Between the groups | 0.388 | 0.438 | 0.739 |
| | Within the groups | 0.886 | | |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------|-------|--------|
| Job sharing | Between the groups Within the groups | 2.549 0.822 | 3.102 | 0.015* |
| Teleconference | Between the groups Within the groups | 1.396 0.875 | 1.596 | 0.154 |
| Re-cycling | Between the groups Within the groups | 3.986 1.249 | 3.192 | 0.012* |
| Telecommuting | Between the groups Within the groups | 3.502 0.662 | 5.288 | 0.002* |
| Online training | Between the groups Within the groups | 3.516 2.072 | 1.697 | 0.138 |
| Green rewards | Between the groups Within the groups | 2.174 0.614 | 3.542 | 0.006* |
| Energy efficient office space | Between the groups Within the groups | 5.877 1.885 | 3.118 | 0.014* |
| Virtual interviews | Between the groups Within the groups | 1.138 2.112 | 0.539 | 0.696 |

*significant at 0.05 level of significance

The Anova test result shows that the factors of Job sharing, Recycling, Telecommuting, Green Rewards and Energy efficient office space were found to be significant at 0.05 level of significance and hence the propose Null hypothesis (Ho2) stands rejected. It can be inferred that there exists a significant association between the experience of the employees and their perception towards Green HRM practices.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

Green HRM practices are paving the way for the emergence of increased efficiency, reduction of costs, and retention of employees, improved quality of work life, improved employee performance, improved work life balance, increased level of production and other benefits of tangible nature. The business organizations shall establish the usefulness of employee linkage to participation in environmental management programs for improving the organizational environmental performance with a special focus on waste management recycling etc.,.

The study concludes that Green Human Resource Management practices has the potential to create green awareness among the new and incoming talented and the existing employee work force in the organizations and they will help to encourage the human resources to reduce environmental degradation programs through green programs, green movement, sustainable growth and development. Green HRM can enhance the commitment level, willingness and inspiration of the employees to contribute their ideas and efforts to the greening of their working organizations and helps to reduce employee carbon foot prints.

It is suggested that the organizations shall conduct awareness programs to their employees with regard to the importance of Green Human Resource management policies and practices in order to synchronize their workforce with the central theme of GHRM. It is further suggested that the business organizations shall promote green teams within their functional departments for imparting the training programs to their employees with regard to the practices of GHRM. Further research studies may be conducted on the assessment of Green Human Resource Management practices and their impact on employee morale and job satisfaction in the business organizations.

REFERENCES

- Christmann, P., & Taylor, G. (2002). *Globalization and the environment: Strategies for international voluntary environmental initiatives*. Academy of Management Executive, 16, 121–135.
- Daily, B. F., Bishop, J., & Steiner, R. (2007). *The mediating role of EMS teamwork as it pertains to HR factors and perceived environmental performance*. Journal of Applied Business Research, 23, 95–109.
- Dutta, S. (2012). *Greening people: A strategic dimension*. ZENITH: International Journal of Business Economics & Management Research, 2, 143–148.
- Glavas, A., Senge, P., & Cooperrider, D. L. (2010). *Building a Green City on a Blue Lake—A model for building a local sustainable economy*. People & Strategy, 33, 26–33.

- Govindarajulu, N., & Daily, B. F. (2004). *Motivating employees for environmental improvement*. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 104, 364–372.
- Grolleau, G., Mzoughi, N., & Pekovic, S. (2012). *Green not (only) for profit: An empirical examination of the effect of environmental-related standards on employees' recruitment*. *Resource and Energy Economics*, 34, 74–92.
- Hersey, K. (1998). *A close look at ISO 14000*. *Professional Safety*, 43, 26–29.
- 15. Huselid, M. (1995). *The impact of human resource management practices on turnover, productivity, and corporate financial performance*. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38, 635–672.
- Jackson, S., Renwick, D., Jabbour, C. J. C., & Muller-Camen, M. (2011). *state-of-the-art and future directions for Green Human Resource Management*. *Zeitschrift für Personal forschung: German Journal of Research in Human Resource Management*, 25, 99–116.
- Mampra, M. (2013, January 6–9). *Green HRM: Does it help to build a competitive service sector? A study*. In *Proceedings of tenth AIMS International Conference on Management* (pp. 1273–1281).
- Renwick, D. (2008). *Green HRM: A review, process model, and research agenda (Discussion Paper Series)*. The University of Sheffield.
- Renwick, D. W.S., Redman, T., & Maguire, S. (2013). *Green Human Resource Management: A review and research agenda*. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 15(1), 1–14. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.2013.15.issue-1>
- Sathyapriya, J., Kanimozhi, R., & Adhilakshmi, V. (2019). *Green HRM-Delivering high performance HR systems*. *International Journal of Scientific Research*, 3, 31–34.
- Shrivastava, P., & Berger, S. (2010). *Sustainability principles: A review and directions*. *Organization Management Journal*, 7, 246–261.
- Victor, D. G. (2001). *The collapse of the Kyoto Protocol and the struggle to slow global warming*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Wirtenberg, J., Harmon, K. D., Russell, W. G., & Fairfield, K. D. (2007). *HR's role in building a sustainable enterprise*. *Human Resource Planning*, 30, 10–20.
- Yusliza, M. Y., Ramayah, T., & Othman, N-Z. (2021). *While examining adoption factors, HR role and attitude towards using e-HRM is the start-off in determining the successfulness of green HRM?* *Journal of Advanced Management Science*, 3, 337–343
- Zoogah, D. (2011). *The dynamics of Green HRM behaviors: A cognitive social information processing approach*. *Zeitschrift für Personalforschung*, 25, 117–139.