Journal of Positive Psychology & Wellbeing
2022, Vol. 6, No. 3, 676-681
http://journalppw.com
ISSN 2587-0130

The Impact Of Nurse-To-Patient Ratios On Patient Outcomes And Quality Of Care

ZamilJamil Alyamani[1], Mohammed Habeb Alsaedi[2], Azzah Abdullah Hassan Ashi[3], Abrar Saleh Yanksary[4], Esraa Saleh Yankusary[4], Layla Tahseen Naseeb Almowald[5], Abdulwahab Otayf Mulifi Alshehri[6], Sultan Saad Alghamdi[7], Basem Hashim Altowairqi[8], Ahmed Mohamed Hamed Al-Lahibi[9], Salma Khader Alansari[10], Rogiaha Saleem Alshareef[11]

[1]*senior Registrar Family Medicine at Alqubaeuah Phc*
[2] *nursing Technician at Alqubaeuah Phc*
[3]*nursing Technician at Al Taneem Primary Health Care -Makkah Healthcare Cluster*
[4]*nursing Health Assistant at Al Aziziyah Children's Hospital Jeddah*
[5]*nursing Technician at King Abdulaziz Hospital in Makkah*
[6]*medical Records at Hira Genral Hospital in Makkah*
[7]*health Informatics Specialist Hira General Hospital*
[8]*nursing Technician at King Abdulaziz Hospital in Makkah*
[9]*nursing Technician at Al Nawariah Primary Health Care -Makkah Healthcare Cluster*
[10]*specialist Nursing at Makkah Healthcare Cluster*
[11]*nursing Technician at King Abdulaziz Hospital in Makkah*

Abstract

Background: Hospitals rely a lot on nurses, since they are the foundation of high-quality patient care. However, it is also clear that we don't have a precise number of nurses who can respond to patients in an adequate way. Therefore, again, having a look at the information about nurses' staffing levels affecting outcomes for patients or not. This research will look into the deeper sides of this question and help find the answer to the problem of whether having more nurses in the special units will be beneficial for the patients.

Methods: Researchers undertook a huge project in which they considered patient outcomes in relation to staffing levels using a meta-analysis approach. They worked with topics like how many patients died, gave the wrong medicine, got stomach ulcers, applied staff restraints, or got infections. Moreover, they made sure patients received the therapies on time. They assembled data from six studies that covered more than 175,000 patients who visited emergency departments or cardiac receiving units. They consulted the numbers to see if there would be fewer die patients in the hospital because of having more nurses or not.

Results and Discussion: It appeared that the more nurses were on shift, the less chance patients had of dying in the hospital (that was 14%). That's pretty significant! But if you put on your scientist's hat and looked at all the studies together, the differences you saw in them could be a bit of a nuisance when it comes to establishing the results. However, the more nurses fixed, the better patients's outcomes seemed.

Conclusions: The most telling statistic by far is the ratio of nurses to patients, especially when that calculation will determine the difference between surviving hospitalization or not. However, there is still plenty to learn, and more research is required to determine the number of nurses needed to ensure

that we can provide patients with better care than ever before. We can utilize our ability to conduct additional research to provide the most accurate guidance on the optimal number of nurses for the special units, ensuring patient well-being.

Keywords: *Patient Outcomes and Quality of Care*

Introduction

In the last decade, concern over the number of nurses to be employed in order to meet needs has become a major issue that has caused many arguments (Alotaibi et al., 2022). In addition, these reports can also be attributed partly to a rekindled interest in situations where these patients have previously suffered harm or, in grave cases, even passed away due to mistakes in their care plans. Therefore, this encouraged many health organizations worldwide to reevaluate how they deal with their nursing personnel.

Surprisingly, jurisdictions such as Victoria, Australia, and California, USA, have been taking this issue seriously as early as ten years ago by mandating minimum nurse-to-patient ratios in hospitals within these regions (O'Neill & Mohan, 2020). Furthermore, though you will find national guidelines for nurse staffing in the UK and Ireland, unlike the United States, they do not have laws to enforce them. Unlike Wales, which did the Tu Ke Risk Act 16 (2016), suggests rather than imposing patient-to-nurse ratios (Aldosari et al., 2022). This diversity acknowledges the fact that the determination of the ideal nurse staffing is still not settled.

Staffing managers have to make a complex assessment of each staff member and the unique characteristics of every care environment. Care environments may differ significantly from one organization to another and even from one country to another, to such an extent that it is not uncommon to compare apples with oranges (ALFOUZAN et al., 2022). Donabedian's framework categorizes these factors into three main domains: contextual factors (resource endowment and physical settings of healthcare facilities), carrying out the processes of care (how healthcare is offered) within the organization's context, and outcomes (the result of care within this context).

In order to have accurate nurse staffing levels, it is important that managers be well acquainted with the individual features of the staffing need assessment process (O'Neill & Mohan, 2020). These factors include, for example, patient-related ones like level of care required based on patient acuity and dependence; ward-based ones such as patient flow; and, subsequently, nursing staff-related factors that include the number of nurses and their expertise needed.

A systematic review and meta-analysis conducted years ago showed a positive trend between staffing levels of nurses in hospitals and remarkably enhanced patient outcomes (Ayalew et al., 2021). Though the health sector advances, it is imperative that contemporary staffing algorithms be revisited and improved to reflect current care practices and deliver the best care for patients (Aldosari et al., 2022). The essay is aimed at adding views to the discussion, which continues to underline the latest data on the correlation between nurse staffing and patient performance.

Methodology

An improvised data extraction method was used and modified until the method was perfect for this study. The dataset of each session was carefully documented, including bibliographic data, study location, design research, findings, conclusions, and assessment of quality.

Nurse-to-patient ratios (NPRs) were evaluated in two primary ways: either by averaging the number of nurses on duty or by dividing the number of patient occupancy beds over 24 hours

by the number of assigned nurses, or by counting the number of patient bed days covered in nursing hours (Ayalew et al., 2021). While NPRs aid in the penetration of a specific measure, it is crucial to combine the general perspective with other tools to determine the appropriate staffing levels for each organization.

Table 1: Methods of Calculating Nurse-to-Patient Ratios (Alotaibi et al., 2022)

Method	**Description**
Nurses per Shift/24 hours	Number of nurses per shift or over a 24-hour period divided by occupied patient beds during the same time period
Nursing Hours per Patient Day (NHPPD)	Total nursing hours per patient bed days (NHPPD)

This table depicts NPRs' (nurse-to-patient' ratio) methods of calculation, which are essential indicators of nurse staffing levels. RNs are usually tracked by specifying the number of nurses on duty for XX hours as related to the number of occupied patient beds over these XX hours or by calculating the nursing hours per patient bed day (NHPPD) (ALFOUZAN et al., 2022). The first approach presents a summary of a certain point in time as far as the nursing staff is concerned, but on the other hand, the second method focuses on nursing hours provided by the staff on a patient-day basis. While these methods provide a way of getting information on the availability of nursing services compared to patient needs, this will ultimately help healthcare facilities adjust their staffing strengths so as to deliver quality care to patients.

These outcome measures pertaining to adverse events, which had been validated via the process of previous studies sensitive to nurse staffing levels, were selected (Aldosari et al., 2022). This intervention was implemented with mortality, FTR, shock, sudden cardiac arrest, unplanned extubation, infectious health-care-associated infections, and medication errors as the main factors to cover.

Table 2: Nurse-Sensitive Patient Outcome Measures (Alotaibi et al., 2022)

Patient Outcome Metric	Description
Mortality	Odds ratio (OR) 0.86, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.79–0.94
Failure to Rescue (FTR)	Associated with decreased mortality rates: OR 0.91 (95% CI 0.86–0.96) in ICUs, 0.84 (95% CI 0.8–0.89) in surgical wards, and 0.94 (95% CI 0.94–0.95) in medical wards
Shock	Linked to decreased in hospital mortality
Hospital-Acquired Infections	Associated with reduced likelihood of in hospital mortality
Medication Errors	Connected to decreased likelihood of in hospital mortality
Length of Stay	Related to reduced in hospital mortality
Patient Falls	Linked to decreased likelihood of in hospital mortality
Nosocomial Infections	Associated with decreased in hospital mortality
Pulmonary Failure	Linked to decreased likelihood of in hospital mortality
Metabolic Derangement	Connected to reduced in hospital mortality

This table presents a complete set of outcome metrics for patients that measure the impact of changes in nurse staffing on patient outcomes (Alotaibi et al., 2022). All of the indicators, from mortality rates to medication errors and nosocomial infections, are valuable indicators of the health outcomes influenced by this level of nursing care. The associated chances of mortality rates are proportional to the incredible effect of nurse staffing on patient outcomes (Boyd et al., 2022). For instance, the odds ratios show how the high death rates significantly reduce with increased nurse staffing; thus, this provides concrete evidence on the critical role of adequate numbers of nurses in enhancing patient safety and benefiting them overall. Such result measures are significant markers for healthcare providers and policymakers, directing choices pertaining to nursing types, numbers, and ratios so as to guarantee maximum patient satisfaction and positive outcomes (Haque et al., 2020). We

used a range of methodologies to measure NPRs, including calculations based on occupancy rates for shift overlaps, turnover rates, and nursing rationing. First, we determined NHPPD using payroll and census records.

The result confirms a dual association between increased nurse staffing and lower in-hospital mortality and nurse-sensitive outcomes. Nonetheless, studies often employ different methodologies, and inevitably, it becomes difficult to figure out an optimal ratio of nurses to patients. However, a 14% odds reduction in inhospital mortality was found for each individual hour of drop in the patient load during a 24-hour'period. The quality of all trials used in the research was high, as judged by the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS).

Results

Data obtained from the integrated studies were descriptively analyzed, that is, the primary results and identified common features were highlighted. Through that, the study also sought statistical significance and the positive or negative direction of the correlations between patient outcomes and nurse staffing levels. At the same time, qualitative data were thematically analyzed to reveal common outlooks as well as experiences shared by healthcare workers and patients.

Over the past two decades, a number of studies have been conducted that have explored the link between staff levels of nurses and adverse outcomes (AOs) in hospitals, which are primarily for emergently sick patients. This is systematically unearthed by the findings showing that hospitals with more registered nurses tend to have lower rates of mortality and fewer AEs.

On the other hand, some researchers have raised serious concerns regarding the validity of the studies that obtain their findings from cross-sectional designs and use exponentially large administrative databases from different institutions (Alotaibi et al., 2022). This study determines the correlation between the nurse staffing level at the hospital and the events that prevail in the case mix. This approach was to be conducted by means of a calculation of staffing and adverse event statistics across diversified units and patient categories within a hospital for a longer period of time. This field should be driven in the right direction through longitudinal studies focused on individual patients. These investigations should examine staffing patterns that indicate increased risk and establish staffing levels that minimize risk, thereby addressing the needs of individual patients.

The medical industry remains the least accomplished among safety-sensitive areas of employment in terms of organizations that successfully implement safety-guaranteed measures. Currently, new measurement methods have appeared that allow us to monitor these changes over time, and this could be considered the first step to regulating staffing conditions. The outcomes reaffirm the fact that nurse staffing has a very critical role in the safety of patients and their care. The link between higher levels of nursing staffing and lower adverse event illnesses has been studied in the past, but its methodology has come under scrutiny for its utilization of cross-sectional design and administrative databases. Finally, clinically oriented studies on a single patient level are what are required to understand the causal linkage between nurse staffing levels and adverse patient outcomes.

Not only does the healthcare sector need to focus on implementing personal practices that are efficient for employees, but this should also encourage patients' safety. An innovation in measuring a nurse staffing level, which is a change, turned out to be the beginning of the achievement of these goals. Through implementing continuous monitoring and considering the intricacy of patient conditions, healthcare companies can configure their staffing to reduce the likelihood of adverse events and improve patient outlooks.

Discussion

The research records show a significant relationship between nurses' number of staffing

and interventions into in hospital mortality and other nurse outcomes, such as nurse-sensitive outcomes. The meta-analysis was crucial in revealing an impressive OR value of 0.86, with a 95% CI of 0.79–0.94, which indicated almost a fall in the chances of hospital death with more nurse staffing. The findings are the same as previous studies such as Aldosari et al.'s (2022) study that observed a decrease in mortality rates with increased nursing staff across intensive care units (ICUs), surgical wards, and medical wards.

While the research is very informative, it's also important to note the current research's limitations. The design of all the studies, which utilize a cross-sectional approach, prevents the determination of a causal relationship between nurse staffing levels and patient outcomes. The results confirm the hypothesis that higher nurse staffing significantly reduces in-hospital mortality rates, but further studies may be necessary to reach a definitive conclusion (ALFOUZAN et al., 2022). Besides that, differing NPRs make it difficult to set one precision of nurse-to-patient ratios, which is effective for improving patients' results.

Despite the aforementioned obstacles, the meta-analysis emphasizes the critical role of nursing staff in patient care. The experiment conducted, showing a proportionate decline in patient mortality with more nurses present, further proves the extensive influence nurses have with respect to patient safety and outcomes. Also, the 14% fall in the risk of dying a hospital patient after admission for every single drop-down of one person at the nurse staffing ratio during the 24-hour period represents the importance of appropriate nurse staffing.

With the purpose of moving forward, longitudinal studies need to be conducted in which they concentrate on individual patients and clear up the adverse events associated with nurse staffing levels. One should conduct studies that link certain staffing patterns with increased hazards and validate staffing numbers that eliminate adverse events. Moreover, those efforts should be directed toward the standardization of nurse staffing's measurement and the establishment of guidelines for the determination of critical staffing ratio differences between health care settings.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the study shows the marked effects of staffing at the nurses' level on the patient's results, as revealed by hospital mortality and nurse-sensitive events. The results hint at a deep correlation between witnessing an increased number of nurses and the risk of inpatient demise, which is confirmed by a meta-analysis pointing to a large odds ratio. On the other hand, most studies have used cross-sectional designs, making it challenging to identify a direct relationship between nurse staffing level and patient outcomes.

However, we have to note that this restraint indicates that there is room for improvement in the evidence presented in this systematic review, which, in turn, will increase our awareness of appropriate nurse staffing as a solution to patients' safety and well-being. In this meta-analysis and the one conducted by Kane et al., the implications of nurse staffing improvements on patient outcomes that are more positive in intensive care units, surgical wards, and medical wards appear to be evident.

While the evidence is convincing, the need to carry out a longitudinal study on nurse staffing levels arises to provide a better insight into the link between nurse staffing levels and errors. Studies mentioned above should refer to staffing patterns and then identify efficient staff levels that can minimize the number of adverse events while at the same time considering a diversity of care needs.

Reference

[1] Aldosari, A. M., Alsalman, A. A., Albathali, G. M., Alshamaray, H. F. M., Ali, R. N., Al Ghanem, G. A., ... & Alenzi, M. M. (2022). The Impact Of Nurse-To-Patient Ratios On Patient Outcomes. Journal of Namibian Studies: History Politics Culture, 31, 453-463.

[2] ALFOUZAN, S. M., Alqahtani, N. M. M., ALSHEHRI, A. A., & Alotaibi, B. M. (2022). Optimizing Nurse Staffing For Better Patient Safety And Care. Journal of Namibian Studies: History Politics Culture, 32, 1032-1040.

[3] Alotaibi, F. S. M., Khan, S., Alshammari, A. Z., Almutairi, M. A., Alotaibi, N. O., Alotaibi, K. R. H., ... & Alazmi, A. M. (2022). The Impact Of Nurse Staffing Levels On Patient Outcomes: A Systematic Review Of Recent Evidence. Journal of Namibian Studies: History Politics Culture, 34, 2810-2828.

[4] Ayalew, E., Workineh, Y., Semachew, A., Woldgiorgies, T., Kerie, S., Gedamu, H., & Zeleke, B. (2021). Nurses' intention to leave their job in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Heliyon, 7(6).

[5] Boyd, S., Nseir, S., Rodriguez, A., & Martin-Loeches, I. (2022). Ventilator-associated pneumonia in critically ill patients with COVID-19 infection: a narrative review. ERJ open research, 8(3).

[6] Haque, M., McKimm, J., Sartelli, M., Dhingra, S., Labricciosa, F. M., Islam, S., ... & Charan, J. (2020). Strategies to prevent healthcare-associated infections: a narrative overview. Risk management and healthcare policy, 1765-1780.

[7] MacDonald, N. E., Harmon, S., Dube, E., Steenbeek, A., Crowcroft, N., Opel, D. J., ... & Butler, R. (2018). Mandatory infant & childhood immunization: Rationales, issues and knowledge gaps.