Journal of Positive Psychology & Wellbeing
2022, Vol. 6, No. 3, 555-559

http://journalppw.com
ISSN 2587-0130

Surgical Dental Intervention For Implants, Responsibility Of Nursing, Pharmacist Radiology And Operation Room Technician: Review

Dr. Mohanad Abdulwahab Alsaadi[1], Majdi Abu Ramadan[2], Danyah Omar Dobi[3], Waleed Saad N Alotaibi[4], Najem Aegab Alotaibi[5], Maha Hassan Al Somali[6], Nader Saad Zied Almutairi[7], Afaf Abad Almihmadi[8], Shlash Sultan Alshammary[9], Alanood Saady Almaarik[10], Ghali Jaed M Almotairi[11], Ahmed Saleh Alzahrani[12], Qasem Khaled Aljarari[13], Salman Dakhilallah Al Thumairy[14], Abdullah Tuwayrish Alharthi[15], Ayesh Ali Almutiry[16]

[1]*Consultant in Dentistry, King Abdulaziz Hospital*
[2]*Registrar Prosthodontics, Al Diriyah Hospital, Ministry Of Health*
[3]*General Dentist, Jeddah First Health Cluster, Adham General Hospital*
[4]*Dentist, Makkah Health Cluster*
[5]*Dentist, King Abdulaziz Hospital*
[6]*General Dentist, North Riyadh Dental Clinics Complex*
[7]*Dental Assistant, Bukayriyah General Hospital Qassiem*
[8]*Masturah Primary Health Care, Nursing*
[9]*Nursing Specialist, Primary Health Care Sababh*
[10]*King Salman Specialist Hospital, Nursing Specialist*
[11]*Technician – Radiological, East Jeddah Hospital*
[12]*Technician Operation, Control Department - Health Affairs Directorate*
[13]*Pharmacy Technician, King Abdulaziz Hospital Jeddah*
[14]*Pharmacy Technician, Ministry of Health*
[15]*Technician-Operation Rooms, King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital, Taif*
[16]*Pharmacy Technician, East Jeddah Hospital*

Abstract

Late dental implant issues include peri-implantitis, which is one of the most common dental implant complications. As a result of the fact that the disease is brought on by a bacterial infection, anti-infective peri-implantitis treatment options are necessary in order to halt the growing marginal bone loss and ensure that the damaged implant continues to function normally. Surgical therapies have frequently resulted in improved treatment outcomes, despite the fact that nonsurgical therapy options tend to have a limited degree of predictability. During the time that implant surgery has been utilized in clinical settings, numerous modifications of the surgical procedure that is used to install dental implants have been developed. Among these are variances in the timing of implant placement in relation to the removal of the tooth, as well as variations in the manner in which the bone site of the recipient is prepared. In addition, the members of the nursing staff, the pharmacist, the radiology technician, and the operation room technician work together to constitute an essential component of these processes.

Keywords: *Peri-implantitis, arthritis, diagnosis.*

Introduction

Dentures or bridges have traditionally been used to replace missing teeth and the oral tissues that support them. This allows for the restoration of chewing function, speech, and aesthetics. A different option is provided by dental implants. These implants are placed into the jaw bones in order to provide support for a dental prosthesis. They are able to remain in place due to the close proximity of bone growth onto their surface [1]. This direct structural and functional connection between living bone and implant surface, which is referred to as osseointegration, was initially described by Branemark [2]. It is without a doubt one of the most significant scientific advances in dentistry that has occurred over the course of the previous 20 years. There are a few possible causes for tooth loss, including oral illness, trauma, or the absence of teeth from birth. There are also a number of individuals who have had a more extensive loss of oral and facial tissues as a result of significant cancer surgery. These individuals may be candidates for osseointegrated implants, which may offer an improvement over the therapeutic modalities that have been utilized in the past [2].

It is becoming increasingly common for patients to get familiar with implant treatment, and as a result, their anticipation of having this kind of treatment is growing. Over the course of the past few years, a wide variety of implant designs have been introduced to the market, and the clinical applications of osseointegrated implant retained prostheses have significantly grown. In addition, there has been a rise in the number of surgical procedures that are utilized to implant implants. There are numerous variations, each of which has strong advocates, with surgeons asserting that a particular approach will result in superior implant success. There is, however, a great deal of debate, and this particular field is fraught with controversy [3].

The use of dental implant therapy is fast becoming increasingly widespread in the management of missing teeth. It is also regarded the standard of care in the prosthetic treatment of cases that are fully edentulous.1. The treatment planning phase is the first step in implant therapy. During this phase, the oral and occlusal problems are reviewed clinically, and the prosthetic requirements are analyzed. After that, a radiographic examination is carried out in order to assess the characteristics of the bone and the teeth that are linked with the edentulous region. After that, a prosthetic treatment plan is developed, which includes the type of prosthesis that will be used to replace the missing tooth or teeth, as well as the number, size, and position of the implants that will be used to support the prosthesis (the implant treatment plan). The surgical phase is the next phase in implant therapy, and it is at this phase that the implants are properly positioned. The postoperative phase, which includes the follow-up and prosthetic stages, is subsequently entered after the operation has been completed. During the follow-up stage, adequate time is provided for the bone to mend and for the implant(s) to become osseointegrated into the bone. Following the completion of the bone healing process, the prosthetic stage becomes active, during which the implant-supported prosthesis is created. A long-term follow-up is performed after the prosthesis has been inserted in order to continue monitoring the patient's oral condition as a whole. The surgical portion of the procedure involves the attachment of a prefabricated prosthesis to the implants in cases when immediate implant loading is being performed. It has been demonstrated that implant therapy has a high success rate when the appropriate treatment strategy and case selection are utilized. Imaging is an essential component of implant diagnostics, which serves as the foundation for both the selection of patients and the strategy for their treatment. During the operational and postoperative periods, imaging is also a vital tool to have at your disposal. The most popular imaging modalities that are utilized in this context are panoramic and periapical radiographs, which are two-dimensional (2-D) imaging modalities, cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT), and multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) [4,5]. Furthermore, there are a number of other imaging modalities that can be utilized.

Review:

Imaging postoperatively is performed with the purpose of verifying and assisting in the ideal location and orientation of the implants, which was defined during the phase of treatment planning. The use of MDCT at this phase is not typically beneficial, with the exception of situations that are particularly complicated and only in situations when the implantation of implants is carried out in a facility that is equipped with MDCT. Additionally, preoperative treatments are performed in order to identify, on the basis of a prosthetically driven treatment plan, the optimal implant position, size, and angulation, as well as to determine whether or not the residual ridge (the bone in the jawbone that remains after the tooth has been removed) requires augmentation or reduction interventions [6].

The information regarding the medication that is delivered by the pharmacist in the operating room is necessary for the nurses and doctors. Furthermore, they have a very high demand for the stationing of pharmacists in their establishment. It has been hypothesized that these services could be extremely effective in maximizing the effectiveness of pharmacological therapy and minimizing the risk of unwanted effects. In addition, pharmacists have the ability to provide contributions regarding the rational utilization of drugs, the management of safety, the reduction of the workloads of other medical staff, and the medical economics through the provision of pharmaceutical care in operating rooms as well as general wards. It has been claimed that the placement of pharmacists in the operating room could be an essential component of hospital administration due to the fact that it would reduce the risk of medication failure and the associated costs [7].

In the two studies that were conducted to determine whether or not two or four implants would be more suitable for the support of a mandibular overdenture, one of the studies failed to demonstrate any significant difference in implant failure or marginal bone levels regarding implants, and the other study failed to demonstrate any significant difference in patient satisfaction. Also, both studies revealed morbidity in terms of altered feeling in the region of the lower lip and chin that is supplied by the mental nerve, but neither study was able to demonstrate any significant difference between the two situations. It is possible to create altered sensation during implant insertion surgery to the jaw by causing trauma to either the mental nerve in the front mandible or the inferior alveolar nerve in the posterior mandible. Both of these nerves are located in the mandible. This is a significant component of the morbidity that occurs after surgery. According to the findings of one of the research, a percentage of patients experienced a change in feeling prior to the implantation of implants. Within the vicinity of the mental foramen, it was hypothesized that this could have been brought about by the application of pressure to the denture bearing area. The selection of an incision for implant placement surgery was the subject of just two research that were available for inclusion in this evaluation. Both of these investigations presented information that was solely related to implant failure, and none of these research was able to reveal any significant differences between the crestal and vestibular incisions that were used for implant placement. Both of the trials were rather small, with each one consisting of only 10 patients. Furthermore, the processes for randomization and allocation concealment were not obvious in either of the investigations [8,9].

The treatment plan needs to be translated to the surgical field once it has been decided that the optimal position of the implants within the CT images has been found. In the course of the surgical procedure, it may be difficult to arrive at an accurate determination of the planned implant sites and angulations. This determination may be made easier with the use of surgical guides that are built manually or via the utilization of computer-aided implant surgery (CAS). The potential advantages of CAS are particularly obvious in situations where minimally invasive surgery, also known as flapless surgery, is planned, as well as in instances that are complex and/or require a high level of aesthetics. In total, there are two different forms of CAS [10].

A systematic difference between the CT numbers in the reconstructed picture and the actual attenuation coefficients of the object is what we refer to as an artifact during the reconstruction process. When it comes to CT imaging for implantology, the artifacts that cause the most concern are streaking and beam-hardening/missing data artifacts. Beam-hardening and/or streak artifacts may be caused by high-density materials, such as amalgam restorations, dental implants, reconstructive plates and screws, and cast metals used in prosthodontic applications. These artifacts may make it more difficult to visualize the anatomy that is next to these materials. When placed at the horizontal level of the CT examination, metallic jewelry or thyroid collars have the potential to induce streaking as well. There is a possibility that the bone and dental structures that are closely next to such materials will appear absent to varying degrees. Therefore, artifacts created by objects crestal to the bone (such as amalgam restorations and crowns) reduce visibility of only the crest of the bone, with limited impact on the accuracy of linear measurements of the jaws. This is because the effect of beam-hardening and streaking is mostly directed at the horizontal level. Dental implants, metallic posts placed within the roots of the teeth, and reconstruction plates, on the other hand, have the potential to reduce the visibility of the bone that is close to the artificial tooth. It is possible that minor changes in marginal and peri-implant bone may be obscured by beam-hardening artifacts that are present near titanium implants. It is possible for metallic artifacts to create picture degradation that is so severe that it renders the images nondiagnostic, depending on the severity of the artifacts and their particular position. There are a number of IRTs that have been demonstrated to lessen the metallic artifacts that are brought about by dental restorations; nevertheless, the clinical impact of these IRTs requires further investigation. Therefore, when there are metallic items in close proximity to the region of interest, it is necessary to examine whether or not the artifacts are likely to undermine the diagnostic task that CT is meant to be utilized for [11].

Conclusion:

Simplification and standardization of interventions are critical challenges to improving performance in implant dentistry. Research on immediate implant placement was the most reported surgical intervention in the anterior maxilla. Hard tissue augmentation was frequently reported simultaneously with or before implant placement. Also they reporeted the important role nursing, pharmacist radiology and operation room technician and their responsibility. Pharmacy services have traditionally consisted of dispensing, provision of drug information and inventory management practices. Pharmacist's impact on the implementation of medication safety standards, drug therapy optimization, and other clinical interventions has been adequately reviewed in settings of general wards and considered as standard practice; however, these activities in the operating room have not become the standard practice. As well the nursing and OR technacians, inside the OR.

Reference

[1] Tamimi D, Koenig L, Al-Ekrish A, et al. Specialty im- aging. Dental implants. Altona (Australia): Amirsys Inc.-Elsevier; 2014.

[2] Harris D, Horner K, Gro¨ndahl K, et al. EAO guide- lines for the use of diagnostic imaging in implant dentistry 2011. A consensus workshop organized by the European Association for Osseointegration at the Medical University of Warsaw. Clin Oral Im- plants Res 2012;23(11):1243–53.

[3] Tyndall DA, Price JB, Tetradis S, et al. Position state- ment of the American Academy of Oral and Maxillo- facial Radiology on selection criteria for the use of radiology in dental implantology with emphasis on cone beam computed tomography. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2012;113(6):817–26.

[4] Gaetti-Jardim EC, Santiago-Junior JF, Goiato MC, et al. Dental implants in patients with osteoporosis: a clinical

reality? J Craniofac Surg 2011;22(3): 1111–3.
[5] Bongartz G, Golding S, Jurik A, et al. EUR 16262: European guidelines on quality criteria for computed tomography. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities; 2000.
[6] GE medical systems. Advantage dentascan oper- ator manual 2182400-100. Vol Revision 4: General Electric Company; 2010.
[7] Au-Yeung K, Ahuja A, Ching A, et al. Dentascan in oral imaging. Clin Radiol 2001;56(9):700–13.
[8] Hunt BW, Sandifer JB, Assad DA, Gher ME. Effect of flap design on healing and osseointegration of dental implants. The International Journal of Periodontics and Restorative Dentistry 1996;16:583–93.
[9] Wismeijer D, Van Waas MAJ, Vermeeren JIJF, MulderJ, Kalk W. Patient satisfaction with implant-supported mandibular overdentures. A comparison of three treatment strategies with ITI-dental implants. International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 1997;26:263–7.
[10] Wismeijer D, van Waas AJ, Vermeeren WK. Patients' perceptions of sensory disturbances of the mental nerve before and after implant surgery: a prospective study of 110 patients. British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 1997;35:254–9.
[11] De Crop A, Casselman J, Van Hoof T, et al. Analysis of metal artifact reduction tools for dental hardware in CT scans of the oral cavity: kVp, iterative recon- struction, dual-energy CT, metal artifact reduction software: does it make a difference? Neuroradiology 2015;57(8):841–9.
[12] Cremonini C, Dumas M, Pannuti C, et al. Assess- ment of linear measurements of bone for implant sites in the presence of metallic artefacts using cone beam computed tomography and multislice computed tomography. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2011;40(8):845–50.